Exhibit A

| | Page 1 | Page 3 |
|--|---|---|
| 1 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION |
| 3 | CENTRAL DIVISION | 3 SHONDEL CHURCH, et al.,) |
| 4 | SHONDEL CHURCH, et al.,) |) |
| |) | 4 Plaintiffs,) |
| 5 | Plaintiffs,) |) |
| 6 |) Vacan Na | 5 vs.) Case No.) 17-04057-CV-C-NKL |
| O | vs.) Case No.) 17-04057-CV-C-NKL | 6) |
| 7 |) | STATE OF MISSOURI, et al.,) |
| | STATE OF MISSOURI, et al.,) | 7) |
| 8 |) | Defendants.) |
| ^ | Defendants.) | 8 9 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JUSTIN CARVER. |
| 9 10 | | 9 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JUSTIN CARVER, 10 produced, sworn, and examined on the 11th day of |
| 11 | | 11 December, 2017, between the hours of nine o'clock in |
| 12 | | the morning and four o'clock in the afternoon of that |
| 13 | | date at the law offices of ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES, |
| 14 | | 14 2511 Broadway Bluffs, Suite 201, Columbia, Missouri |
| 15 | | 15 65201, before LISA BALLALATAK, a Certified Court |
| 16 | VIDEOTADED DEDOCITION OF HISTIN CARVED | 16 Reporter within and for the State of Missouri, in a 17 certain cause now pending IN THE UNITED STATES |
| 17 18 | VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JUSTIN CARVER TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS | 17 certain cause now pending IN THE UNITED STATES 18 DISTRICT COURT, WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI, CENTRA |
| 19 | DECEMBER 11th, 2017 | 19 DIVISION, wherein SHONDEL CHURCH, et al. are the |
| 20 | ,, | 20 Plaintiffs and STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. are the |
| 21 | | 21 Defendants. |
| 22 | | 22 |
| 23 | | 23 |
| 24 25 | | 24 25 |
| | | |
| | Page 2 | Page 4 |
| 1 | Page 2 INDEX OF EXAMINATION | 1 APPEARANCES |
| 1 2 | _ | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: |
| | _ | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP |
| 2 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street |
| 2 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 |
| 2 3 4 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com |
| 2 3 4 5 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 9 (650) 614-7478 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 8 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 8 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 9 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 8 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 9 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 Exhibit No. 20 (Rule 4-5.1) 141 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 8 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: 12 MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM 13 1000 W Nifong Boulevard, Suite 100 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 Exhibit No. 20 (Rule 4-5.1) 141 Exhibit No. 21 (Budget Request) 142 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 8 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: 12 MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 Exhibit No. 20 (Rule 4-5.1) 141 Exhibit No. 21 (Budget Request) 142 Exhibit No. 22 (Senate Committee Report) 147 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 8 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: 12 MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM 13 1000 W Nifong Boulevard, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 14 573-777-9977 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 Exhibit No. 20 (Rule 4-5.1) 141 Exhibit No. 21 (Budget Request) 142 Exhibit No. 22 (Senate Committee Report) 147 Exhibit No. 23 (Representation Motion) 153 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: 12 MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM 13 1000 W Nifong Boulevard, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 14 573-777-9977 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 Exhibit No. 20 (Rule 4-5.1) 141 Exhibit No. 21 (Budget Request) 142 Exhibit No. 22 (Senate Committee Report) 147 Exhibit No. 23 (Representation Motion) 153 Exhibit No. 24 (Motion Re: Conference) 156 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: 12 MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM 13 1000 W Nifong Boulevard, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 14 573-777-9977 15 For The State of Missouri and 16 Governor Greitens: 17 MR. STEVEN ALAN RAMSEY |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 Exhibit No. 20 (Rule 4-5.1) 141 Exhibit No. 21 (Budget Request) 142 Exhibit No. 22 (Senate Committee Report) 147 Exhibit No. 23 (Representation Motion) 153 Exhibit No. 24 (Motion Re: Conference) 156 Exhibit No. 25 (Suggestions Attachment) 157 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 8 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: 12 MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM 13 1000 W Nifong Boulevard, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 573-777-9977 15 For The State of Missouri and 16 Governor Greitens: 17 MR. STEVEN ALAN RAMSEY ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 Exhibit No. 20 (Rule 4-5.1) 141 Exhibit No. 21 (Budget Request) 142 Exhibit No. 22 (Senate Committee Report) 147 Exhibit No. 23 (Representation Motion) 153 Exhibit No. 24 (Motion Re: Conference) 156 Exhibit No. 25 (Suggestions Attachment) 157 Exhibit No. 26 (Williams Conference) 171 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: 12 MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM 13 1000 W Nifong Boulevard, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 14 573-777-9977 15 For The State of Missouri and 6 Governor Greitens: 17 MR. STEVEN ALAN RAMSEY ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 18 MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE P. O. Box 899 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 Exhibit No. 20 (Rule 4-5.1) 141 Exhibit No. 21 (Budget Request) 142 Exhibit No. 22 (Senate Committee Report) 147 Exhibit No. 23 (Representation Motion) 153 Exhibit No. 24 (Motion Re: Conference) 156 Exhibit No. 25 (Suggestions Attachment) 157 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: 12 MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM 13 1000 W Nifong Boulevard, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 14 573-777-9977 15 For The State of Missouri and 16 Governor Greitens: 17 MR. STEVEN ALAN RAMSEY ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE P. O. Box 899 19 221 West High Street |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 Exhibit No. 20 (Rule 4-5.1) 141 Exhibit No. 21 (Budget Request) 142 Exhibit No. 22 (Senate Committee Report) 147 Exhibit No. 23 (Representation Motion) 153 Exhibit No. 24 (Motion Re: Conference) 156 Exhibit No. 25 (Suggestions Attachment) 157 Exhibit No. 26 (Williams Conference) 171 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: 12 MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM 13 1000 W Nifong Boulevard, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 14 573-777-9977 15 For The State of Missouri and 6 Governor Greitens: 17 MR. STEVEN ALAN RAMSEY ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 18 MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE P. O. Box 899 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 Exhibit No. 20 (Rule 4-5.1) 141 Exhibit No. 21 (Budget Request) 142 Exhibit No. 22 (Senate Committee Report) 147 Exhibit No. 23 (Representation Motion) 153 Exhibit No. 24 (Motion Re: Conference) 156 Exhibit No. 25 (Suggestions Attachment) 157 Exhibit No. 26 (Williams Conference) 171 Exhibit No. 27 (Administrative Office E-mails) 180 | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: 12 MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM 13 1000 W Nifong Boulevard, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 14 573-777-9977 15 For The State of Missouri and 6 Governor Greitens: 17 MR. STEVEN ALAN RAMSEY ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL' 18 MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL' 18 MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE P. O. Box 899 19 221 West High Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 (573) 751-2590 steven.ramsey@ago.mo.gov |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 Exhibit No. 20 (Rule 4-5.1) 141 Exhibit No. 21 (Budget Request) 142 Exhibit No. 22 (Senate Committee Report) 147 Exhibit No. 23 (Representation Motion) 153 Exhibit No. 24 (Motion Re: Conference) 156 Exhibit No. 25 (Suggestions Attachment) 157 Exhibit No. 26 (Williams Conference) 171 Exhibit No. 27 (Administrative Office E-mails) 180 Reporter's Note: The original exhibits were attached | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 6 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: 12 MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM 13 1000 W Nifong Boulevard, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 14 573-777-9977 15 For The State of Missouri and 16 Governor Greitens: 17 MR. STEVEN ALAN RAMSEY ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 18 MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL 18 MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL 19 221 West High Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 20 (573) 751-2590 |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | INDEX OF EXAMINATION Examination by Mr. Scherzer 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Ramsey 191 Cross-Examination by Ms. Shipma 233 Redirect Examination by Mr. Scherzer 240 INDEX OF EXHIBITS EXHIBITS: Exhibit No. 17 (Case Conference Transcript) 13 Exhibit No. 18 (12/29/16 E-mail String) 89 Exhibit No. 19 (October '17 E-mail String) 133 Exhibit No. 20 (Rule 4-5.1) 141 Exhibit No. 21 (Budget Request) 142 Exhibit No. 22 (Senate Committee Report) 147 Exhibit No. 23 (Representation Motion) 153 Exhibit No. 24 (Motion Re: Conference) 156 Exhibit No. 25 (Suggestions Attachment) 157 Exhibit No. 26 (Williams Conference) 171 Exhibit No. 27 (Administrative Office E-mails) 180 Reporter's Note: The original exhibits were attached | 1 APPEARANCES 2 For the Plaintiffs: 3 MR. AARON SCHERZER ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 4 51 West 52nd Street New York, New York 10019 5 (212) 506-5000 ascherzer@orrick.com 6 7 MR. ANTHONY TARTAGLIO ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 (650) 614-7478 ttartaglio@orrick.com 10 11 For the Defendants MSPD System: 12 MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM 13 1000 W Nifong Boulevard, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 14 573-777-9977 15 For The State of Missouri and 16 Governor Greitens: 17 MR. STEVEN ALAN RAMSEY ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 18 MISSOURI ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE P. O. Box 899 19 221 West High Street Jefferson City, Missouri 65101 20 (573) 751-2590 steven.ramsey@ago.mo.gov |

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

| | Page 5 | | Page 7 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | (The deposition commenced at 8:59 a.m.) | 1 | My understanding is that the reason that I was |
| 2 | VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the record. | 2 | sought to be deposed was I could testify to |
| 3 | Today's date is December 12th, 2017, and the time is | 3 | limitations that she might have had as a result of, |
| 4 | 8:59 a.m. This is the video-recorded deposition of | 4 | you know, kind of the injuries in the accident. |
| 5 | Justin Carver in the matter of Shondel Church, et | 5 | Q. Got it. And you haven't been deposed in |
| 6 | al. v. State of Missouri, et al., Case No. | 6 | your role at the MSPD, though? |
| 7 | 1704057-CV-C-NKL in the United States District Court | 7 | A. Correct. |
| 8 | for the Western District of Missouri, Central | 8 | Q. Have you testified in any other cases at |
| 9 | Division. This deposition is being held at Alaris | 9 | trial? |
| 10 | Litigation Services at 2511 Broadway Bluffs Drive, | 10 | A. I don't believe I've testified at trial. |
| 11 | Columbia, Missouri. The court reporter's name is | 11 | I've certainly testified in court a number of times. |
| 12 | Lisa Ballalatak. My name is Chris Tobin. I'm the | 12 | • |
| 13 | - | 13 | Q. Got it. And we'll get to that later. I'm |
| 14 | legal videographer, and we are with Alaris Litigation Services. | 14 | aware of one particular instance we'll certainly talk about later. |
| 15 | Would the attorneys present please state | 15 | A. Okay. |
| 16 | their names for the record. | 16 | |
| | MR. SCHERZER: Aaron Scherzer for the | 17 | Q. And have you taken depositions yourself?A. Yes. |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | plaintiffs. | 18 | Q. Okay. About approximately how many? |
| 19 | MR. TARTAGLIO: Anthony Tartaglio, also | 19 | A. No idea. More than I can count on my |
| 20 | for plaintiffs. | 20 | fingers and toes. |
| 21 22 | MR. RAMSEY: Steven Alan Ramsey for the State of Missouri and Governor Greitens. | 21 | Q. Got it. So more than ten or 20? |
| 23 | MS. SHIPMA: Jacqueline Shipma for the | 22 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | MSPD defendants. | 23 | Q. Okay. So then you're very familiar with |
| 25 | VIDEOGRAPHER: Would the court reporter | 25 | how this works. I'm going to ask you questions |
| 23 | VIDEOGRAFIIER. Would the Court reporter | 23 | relevant to the case. You're required to answer |
| | | | |
| | Page 6 | | Page 8 |
| 1 | _ | 1 | _ |
| 1 2 | Page 6 please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, | 1 2 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. |
| | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, | 1 2 3 | _ |
| 2 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on | 2 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. |
| 2 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, | 2 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. |
| 2 3 4 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: | 2 3 4 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all |
| 2 3 4 5 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION | 2 3 4 5 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: | 2 3 4 5 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all A. I understand. Q make sense? Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all A. I understand. Q make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all A. I understand. Q make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How are you doing? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, and — before you answer, and if you have any |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How are you doing? A. Fine. Thank you. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, and — before you answer, and if you have any questions about my questions, don't hesitate to make |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How are you doing? A. Fine. Thank you. Q. Other than when we just met in the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, and — before you answer, and if you have any questions about my questions, don't hesitate to make that clear. If you need to take a break, obviously, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How are you doing? A. Fine. Thank you. Q. Other than when we just met in the hallway, have we met previously? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all A. I understand. Q make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, and before you answer, and if you have any questions about my questions, don't hesitate to make that clear. If you need to take a break, obviously, feel free to do so; I just ask that you try to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How are you doing? A. Fine. Thank you. Q. Other than when we just met in the hallway, have we met previously? A. Not to my knowledge. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, and — before you answer, and if you have any questions about my questions, don't hesitate to make that clear. If you need to take a break, obviously, feel free to do so; I just ask that you try to answer the pending question before you do so. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How are you doing? A. Fine. Thank you. Q. Other than when we just met in the hallway, have we met previously? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. Have you ever been deposed before? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, and — before you answer, and if you have any questions about my questions, don't hesitate to make that clear. If you need to take a break, obviously, feel free to do so; I just ask that you try to answer the pending question before you do so. A. Understand. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How are you doing? A. Fine. Thank you. Q. Other than when we just met in the hallway, have we met previously? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. Have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, and — before you answer, and if you have any questions about my questions, don't hesitate to make that clear. If you need to take a break, obviously, feel free to do so; I just ask that you try to answer the pending question before you do so. A. Understand. Q. Your attorney might object — Ms. Shipma |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How are you doing? A. Fine. Thank you. Q. Other than when we just met in the hallway, have we met previously? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. Have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Q. And how many times? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, and — before you answer, and if you have any questions about my questions, don't hesitate to make that clear. If you need to take a break, obviously, feel free to do so; I just ask that you try to answer the pending question before you do so. A. Understand. Q. Your attorney might object — Ms. Shipma might object. Unless, of course, she instructs you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: G. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How are you doing? A. Fine. Thank you. Q. Other than when we just met in the hallway, have we met previously? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. Have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Q. And how many times? A. Just once. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, and — before you answer, and if you have any questions about my questions, don't hesitate to make that clear. If you need to take a break, obviously, feel free to do so; I just ask that you try to answer the pending question before you do so. A. Understand. Q. Your attorney might object — Ms. Shipma might object. Unless, of course, she instructs you not to answer, you should still go ahead and answer |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning. Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How are you doing? A. Fine. Thank you. Q. Other than when we just met in the hallway, have we met previously? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. Have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Q. And how many times? A. Just once. Q. And what kind of case was that? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, and — before you answer, and if you have any questions about my questions, don't hesitate to make that clear. If you need to take a break, obviously, feel free to do so; I just ask that you try to answer the pending question before you do so. A. Understand. Q. Your attorney might object — Ms. Shipma might object. Unless, of course, she instructs you not to answer, you should still go ahead and answer the question. Does that make sense? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning, Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How are you doing? A. Fine. Thank you. Q. Other than when we just met in the hallway, have we met previously? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. Have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Q. And how many times? A. Just once. Q. And what kind of case was that? A. It was a civil case in the late 1990s in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, and — before you answer, and if you have any questions about my questions, don't hesitate to make that clear. If you need to take a break, obviously, feel free to do so; I just ask that you try to answer the pending question before you do so. A. Understand. Q. Your attorney might object — Ms. Shipma might object. Unless, of course, she instructs you not to answer, you should still go ahead and answer the question. Does that make sense? A. Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | please swear in the witness. JUSTIN CARVER, of lawful age, being produced, sworn, and examined on behalf of the Plaintiffs deposes and says: EXAMINATION BY MR. SCHERZER: Q. Good morning, Mr. Carver. A. Good morning, Q. My name is Aaron Scherzer, and, as you know, I represent the plaintiffs in this case. How are you doing? A. Fine. Thank you. Q. Other than when we just met in the hallway, have we met previously? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Okay. Have you ever been deposed before? A. Yes. Q. And how many times? A. Just once. Q. And what kind of case was that? A. It was a civil case in the late 1990s in the city of Chicago. I was dating a gal who had | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | truthfully and to the best of your ability. Remember, obviously, that you're here under oath. Does that all — A. I understand. Q. — make sense? Okay. A. Yes, sir. Q. As you know, there's a court reporter here transcribing the deposition, so just for ease of the record, and, obviously, there's video as well, please wait until I finish the question, if you can, and — before you answer, and if you have any questions about my questions, don't hesitate to make that clear. If you need to take a break, obviously, feel free to do so; I just ask that you try to answer the pending question before you do so. A. Understand. Q. Your attorney might object — Ms. Shipma might object. Unless, of course, she instructs you not to answer, you should still go ahead and answer the question. Does that make sense? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And, of course, if you need a break |

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

| Page 9 | Page 11 |
|---|---|
| 1 deposition? | 1 type. |
| 2 A. I met with Ms. Shipma, and I reviewed the | 2 Q. Got it. And does all of that data pertain |
| data from my office, in terms of cases how many | 3 to your district or is it statewide? |
| 4 cases did we open, how many cases do we have open | 4 A. Purely my district. |
| 5 now. | 5 Q. Okay. |
| 6 Q. Okay. And when for how long did you | 6 A. There are there's kind of a wall setup |
| 7 meet with Ms. Shipma? | 7 so that I cannot access data for another district. |
| 8 A. About two hours. | 8 Q. Got it. |
| 9 Q. Okay. And you said you reviewed data. | 9 A. But I can access my district only. |
| 10 What in what form were those | 10 Q. And your understanding is that each |
| 11 A. Electronic. | district defender has access to the data for his or |
| 12 Q. Electronic data. Okay. Did you review | 12 her district? |
| 13 any other documents? | 13 A. Correct. |
| 14 A. After I had received the notice of | 14 Q. Do other attorneys in your office have |
| depositions and before I met with Ms. Shipma, I | access to that data or just the district defender? |
| 16 reviewed items that I had submitted to Ms. Shipma | 16 A. Everybody in my office has access to the |
| that I understand were disclosed to the parties as a | 17 same data. |
| part of the discovery process. | 18 Q. Got it. Okay. |
| 19 Q. Got it. Any items in particular that you | 19 A. Well if I can qualify that. |
| 20 recall that you reviewed? | 20 Q. Sure. |
| A. There was an e-mail thread that was | A. There are certain management views that I |
| initially an e-mail that I sent to the court late | 22 can see kind of over and above case data that |
| December of 2016 with a couple of responses. There | employees would not be able to access, personnel |
| were there was a motion for caseload conference. | 24 records, things of that kind. But purely in terms |
| There's a transcript in relationship to that. There | of the case data, what I have access to is what my |
| Page 10 | Page 12 |
| 1 was a motion to decline representation. There may | 1 employees have access to. |
| 2 have been something else. I can't remember. Those | 2 Q. And |
| 3 are the items that come to my mind. | 3 A. Does that make sense? |
| 4 Q. That's very helpful. And did those | 4 Q. Yes. |
| 5 documents refresh your recollection about what's | 5 A. Okay. |
| 6 transpired? | 6 Q. And is it your understanding that that |
| 7 A. Yes. | 7 data, then, is transmitted to the central office |
| 8 Q. And when you say "electronic data," just | 8 from time to time or daily or |
| 9 to return to that, can you just tell me more about | 9 A. My understanding is that the central |
| 10 that? | office has access to all of it at any given point in |
| 11 A. Sure. All of our case work is conducted | 11 time. |
| in software called Lotus Notes. It's a case | 12 Q. Okay. |
| management database or system, and, you know, | A. Well, I say that some people within the |
| 14 within that, say, client Joe Blow, certainly, he'll | 14 central office have access to it at any given point |
| have all of the pleadings associated with this case, | 15 in time. For example, my supervisor my direct |
| court dates, memos of the case, file action items to | supervisor is Ellen Blau. I understand that she is |
| the investigator. So there's that specific | able to access the data from my office for the |
| case-related items with regard to specific clients, | 18 Columbia trial office for all of the other offices |
| but then there are also views that I can access that | 19 that she supervises. There may be people in our |
| will tell me how many cases does this lawyer have | administrative office who don't have access to that |
| open now? How many cases did we open last year? | 21 information because they don't need it. |
| How many cases did we close last year? There are | 22 Q. Got it. |
| you know, probably guesstimate, two dozen different | 23 A. Does that make sense? |
| O.A | |
| views that I can access to slice down our case data more specifically. How many per venue, by case | 24 Q. Yes. Perfect. Thank you. In terms of the documents that you reviewed, did you bring any |

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

| | Page 13 | | Page 15 |
|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | of those documents or any other documents with you | 1 | a second? |
| 2 | here today? | 2 | MR. SCHERZER: Sure. |
| 3 | A. No, sir. | 3 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:11 a.m. |
| 4 | Q. Okay. I want to turn I'll just do this | 4 | We're off the record. |
| 5 | slightly out of order, given the documents that | 5 | (Discussion off the record.) |
| 6 | we're discussing. I want to turn to what I'm going | 6 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:13 a.m., and |
| 7 | to mark as Plaintiff's Exhibit 17. | 7 | we're back on the record. |
| 8 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 17 was marked for | 8 | Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) Okay. Mr. Carver, by |
| 9 | identification.) | 9 | who are you employed? |
| 10 | Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) I'll give you a chance | 10 | A. The State of Missouri. Specifically, the |
| 11 | to just glance at it. Obviously, not read the whole | 11 | Missouri State Public Defender System. |
| 12 | thing, since it's 60 pages. | 12 | Q. And what's your current title? |
| 13 | A. Yes. I'm with you. Thank you. | 13 | A. District defender of Area 19. |
| 14 | Q. Okay. Do you recognize this document? | 14 | Q. And how long have you been in that |
| 15 | A. I do. | 15 | position? |
| 16 | Q. And what is it? | 16 | A. Since the end of September 2014. |
| 17 | A. This is the transcript of a sort of a | 17 | Q. Okay. And prior to that, did you serve |
| 18 | part 1 of a case conference hearing that was held in | 18 | with the MSPD in another capacity? |
| 19 | Cole County in November 2017. | 19 | A. I did. I was the district defender in the |
| 20 | Q. Okay. And do you see the date on the | 20 | Fulton office, which I think was Area 12, and I was |
| 21 | middle of the first page? | 21 | the district defender there I started as an |
| 22 | A. I do. It says November 7. | 22 | assistant public defender in Fulton in 2002 |
| 23 | Q. And the case name is State of Missouri v. | 23 | either in 2005 or 2006 I can't remember I was |
| 24 | Quentin Williams; is that right? | 24 | promoted to district defender, and so from that |
| 25 | A. Yes, sir. | 25 | 2005 to 2006, up until 2014, I was the district |
| | Page 14 | | Page 16 |
| 1 | Q. And do you see the judge on the top of the | 1 | defender in Fulton. |
| 2 | page? | 2 | Q. Okay. And then in 2014, you switched and |
| 3 | A. Yes, sir. Judge Joyce. | 3 | became district defender in Area 14? |
| 4 | Q. Okay. So I want to get much more into | 4 | A. Nineteen. |
| 5 | detail on this later on and, obviously, I haven't | 5 | Q. Nineteen. Sorry. |
| 6 | asked you background questions first, but I'm asking | 6 | A. Yes, sir. |
| | you about this now for the following reason. Can | 7 | Q. And why did you make that switch? |
| 7 | | 1 | |
| 7 8 | you turn to page 8 see the Bates page numbers on | 8 | A. The entire time I had been working in |
| | you turn to page 8 see the Bates page numbers on the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. | 8 9 | • • |
| 8 | | 1 | A. The entire time I had been working in |
| 8 9 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. | 9 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to |
| 8 9 10 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the | 9 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in |
| 8 9 10 11 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the 82. | 9 10 11 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in Jefferson City, and I took the anecdote [sic] of, |
| 8 9 10 11 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the 82. Q. Yes. | 9 10 11 12 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in Jefferson City, and I took the anecdote [sic] of, you know, I could drive five minutes to the office |
| 8 9 10 11 12 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the 82. Q. Yes. A. Yes. | 9 10 11 12 13 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in Jefferson City, and I took the anecdote [sic] of, you know, I could drive five minutes to the office or I could drive 30 minutes to the office. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the 82. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So you see there are a number of | 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in Jefferson City, and I took the anecdote [sic] of, you know, I could drive five minutes to the office or I could drive 30 minutes to the office. Driving five minutes to the office sounds great. So and, |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the 82. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So you see there are a number of exhibits | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in Jefferson City, and I took the anecdote [sic] of, you know, I could drive five minutes to the office or I could drive 30 minutes to the office. Driving five minutes to the office sounds great. So and, you know, as a practical matter, all of the time I |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the 82. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So you see there are a number of exhibits A. Yes? | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in Jefferson City, and I took the anecdote [sic] of, you know, I could drive five minutes to the office or I could drive 30 minutes to the office. Driving five minutes to the office sounds great. So and, you know, as a practical matter, all of the time I had been working in Fulton, if the kid got sick at |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the 82. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So you see there are a number of exhibits A. Yes? Q affidavits and caseload printouts. | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in Jefferson City, and I took the anecdote [sic] of, you know, I could drive five minutes to the office or I could drive 30 minutes to the office. Driving five minutes to the office sounds great. So and, you know, as a practical matter, all of the time I had been working in Fulton, if the kid got sick at school, all of that fell on my wife. So for the |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the 82. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So you see there are a number of exhibits A. Yes? Q affidavits and caseload printouts. A. Yes. | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in Jefferson City, and I took the anecdote [sic] of, you know, I could drive five minutes to the office or I could drive 30 minutes to the office. Driving five minutes to the office sounds great. So and, you know, as a practical matter, all of the time I had been working in Fulton, if the kid got sick at school, all of that fell on my wife. So for the family dynamic perspective, it would be more helpful |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the 82. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So you see there are a number of exhibits A. Yes? Q affidavits and caseload printouts. A. Yes. Q. Do you I'm asking you about this early | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in Jefferson City, and I took the anecdote [sic] of, you know, I could drive five minutes to the office or I could drive 30 minutes to the office. Driving five minutes to the office sounds great. So and, you know, as a practical matter, all of the time I had been working in Fulton, if the kid got sick at school, all of that fell on my wife. So for the family dynamic perspective, it would be more helpfut to have me in Jefferson City. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the 82. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So you see there are a number of exhibits A. Yes? Q affidavits and caseload printouts. A. Yes. Q. Do you I'm asking you about this early on in the deposition on the chance that | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in Jefferson City, and I took the anecdote [sic] of, you know, I could drive five minutes to the office or I could drive 30 minutes to the office. Driving five minutes to the office sounds great. So and, you know, as a practical matter, all of the time I had been working in Fulton, if the kid got sick at school, all of that fell on my wife. So for the family dynamic perspective, it would be more helpfut to have me in Jefferson City. Q. Got it. And which counties are included |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the 82. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So you see there are a number of exhibits A. Yes? Q affidavits and caseload printouts. A. Yes. Q. Do you I'm asking you about this early on in the deposition on the chance that obviously, you don't have it with you. Would | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in Jefferson City, and I took the anecdote [sic] of, you know, I could drive five minutes to the office or I could drive 30 minutes to the office. Driving five minutes to the office sounds great. So and, you know, as a practical matter, all of the time I had been working in Fulton, if the kid got sick at school, all of that fell on my wife. So for the family dynamic perspective, it would be more helpfut to have me in Jefferson City. Q. Got it. And which counties are included in District 19? |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | the bottom, page 82. It's Bates 4. A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the 82. Q. Yes. A. Yes. Q. So you see there are a number of exhibits A. Yes? Q affidavits and caseload printouts. A. Yes. Q. Do you I'm asking you about this early on in the deposition on the chance that obviously, you don't have it with you. Would someone in your office be able to get this these | 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. The entire time I had been working in Fulton, I lived in Jefferson City and just drove to Fulton, and, you know, the vacancy came open in Jefferson City, and I took the anecdote [sic] of, you know, I could drive five minutes to the office or I could drive 30 minutes to the office. Driving five minutes to the office sounds great. So and, you know, as a practical matter, all of the time I had been working in Fulton, if the kid got sick at school, all of that fell on my wife. So for the family dynamic perspective, it would be more helpfu to have me in Jefferson City. Q. Got it. And which counties are included in District 19? A. Cole County, Miller County, and Moniteau |

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

| 1 | | , | |
|----|--|----|--|
| | Page 17 | | Page 19 |
| 1 | A. It means that I am the supervisor in that | 1 | expectations for the lawyers that are not, like, |
| 2 | office and that I'm responsible for hiring, for | 2 | reduced to writing in some sort of formal document. |
| 3 | doing in-house training, for, you know, any employee | 3 | You know what I mean? |
| 4 | discipline issues. I deal with those in | 4 | Q. Uh-huh. So you communicate those verbally |
| 5 | coordination with our human resource office and with | 5 | with your line attorneys? |
| 6 | general counsel. Invoices, budgets you know, | 6 | A. Right. Right. Yeah. |
| 7 | there are administrative components to the thing. | 7 | Q. Do you do that individually, or are there |
| 8 | In addition to that, I'm a lawyer, and, you know, | 8 | team meetings? |
| 9 | the reality of our caseload situation is, I have to | 9 | A. In a variety of formats. I mean, you |
| 10 | carry a caseload, and so as part of that, I go to | 10 | know for example, last week we had an office |
| 11 | court and do my best to work on my cases. | 11 | meeting, and, you know, as a part of that, there's |
| 12 | Q. And you mentioned that you report to | 12 | sort of administrative issues that were coming up in |
| 13 | Ellen Blau; is that correct? | 13 | the context of Cole County, so I we talked about |
| 14 | A. Correct. | 14 | what those issues were, and I gave the lawyers |
| 15 | Q. And what is her title? Do you know? | 15 | several ways that they can handle the issue. But, |
| 16 | A. I believe she is her official title is | 16 | ultimately, I didn't mandate that they handle it in |
| 17 | the trial division director. | 17 | any specific manner but gave them, you know, kind of |
| 18 | Q. Okay. And she's located in the central | 18 | some Hey, these are the different ways that you |
| 19 | office? | 19 | can deal with it. You know, deal with it one way or |
| 20 | A. She she supervises the entire state, | 20 | another, but don't just ignore it. |
| 21 | and so commonly when I call her, she's in her car | 21 | Q. Got it. Got it. Do you talk to most of |
| 22 | driving, so I know that from time to time, she | 22 | the line attorneys in your office every day? |
| 23 | does work out of the Columbia office. You know, | 23 | A. No. Part of that is you know, right |
| 24 | primarily, I think that there's an administrative | 24 | now my caseload is in Miller County, and so I |
| 25 | office in St. Louis or there's at least a desk | 25 | spend right now I'm spending a considerable |
| | | | |
| | Page 18 | | Page 20 |
| 1 | that she utilizes in St. Louis, but she spends a lot | 1 | amount of time outside of the office going to court |
| 2 | of time on the road. | 2 | in Miller County, going to the Miller County jail, |
| 3 | Q. And you mentioned some of this previously, | 3 | and so there are lawyers who I may see once a week. |
| 4 | but as the district defender, do you have | 4 | Q. Got it. How many days a week do you |
| 5 | discretionary authority over some things that your | 5 | estimate you're spending in Miller County? |
| 6 | line attorneys don't have discretion over, in terms | 6 | A. Hmm. That's hard to say. I'm going to |
| 7 | of day-to-day management of the office? | 7 | say one or two, just for court. In addition, there |
| 8 | A. Are you talking about, like, you know, how | 8 | are other things that take me down there, jail |
| 9 | things work within the office and stuff like that? | 9 | visits, things come up, I need to go, so |
| 10 | Q. Uh-huh. Yes. | 10 | Q. So with court and the jail visits, do you |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | think two or three days a week? Does that seem |
| 12 | Q. Are you familiar with the standard | 12 | right? |
| 13 | litigation practices in your office? | 13 | A. Probably. But it you know, certainly, |
| 14 | A. What do you mean? | 14 | it's going to vary. |
| 15 | Q. Are you familiar with either with, say, | 15 | Q. It depends. |
| 16 | policies and procedures that you've set up or team | 16 | A. There may be a week where I don't go there |
| 17 | members in your office have set up for how your | 17 | at all, but then there may be other weeks where I |
| 18 | office operates and how the line attorneys operate? | 18 | may be there four times, so right. But that's |
| 19 | A. We don't have, like, any sort of formal | 19 | two or three is probably a decent rough estimate. |
| 20 | policies or procedures that are Area 19 specific. | 20 | Q. Okay. Does your you mentioned the |
| 21 | Q. Okay. | 21 | Lotus Notes program for attorney workload. |
| 22 | A. You know, there are the agency has sort | 22 | A. Uh-huh. |
| 23 | of standards and guidelines that all employees are | 23 | Q. Does your office gather statistics about |

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

Fax: 314.644.1334

how many hours attorneys spend on various tasks, or $% \left\{ 1,2,...,n\right\}$

24

25

24

25

sort of directed to follow. I have my own, you

know, sort of supplementary or additional

did it at any point?

| | Page 21 | | Page 23 |
|----------|--|----------|--|
| 1 | A. It did. For a considerable period of | 1 | yourself, handle? |
| 2 | time, every lawyer in the agency was required to log | 2 | A. Off the top of my head, I couldn't tell |
| 3 | their time in five-minute increments. And over | 3 | you how many cases a year. I did look at my |
| 4 | sort of in the time that I've been with the agency, | 4 | caseload yesterday, and I can tell you that as of |
| 5 | there was a time where we started and we continued | 5 | yesterday, I had 213 open cases. |
| 6 | it, and then it stopped. And then we started it | 6 | Q. Okay. |
| 7 | again, and it continued, and then it stopped. I | 7 | A. And that would include everything from |
| 8 | can't I can't recall, you know, when we started, | 8 | I had seven misdemeanor cases that were open, all |
| 9 | when we stopped; when we started, when we stopped. | 9 | the way up to one murder case. |
| 10 | Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge of | 10 | Q. Got it. |
| 11 | why you stopped this most recent time? | 11 | A. Predominantly, those are felony cases. |
| 12 | A. What I was told by Director Barrett was | 12 | About 150 are felonies. |
| 13 | that we had been doing it long enough that we | 13 | Q. 150 felonies, and does that mean 63 |
| 14 | accumulated, you know, a substantial body of data, | 14 | misdemeanors, or is there some other category? |
| 15 | and if what he articulated was, he felt like we | 15 | A. Probation violation cases. Some of the |
| 16 | have all of the data we need. You know, right now | 16 | probation violation cases are probation violations |
| 17 | additional data would not be beneficial, and logging | 17 | cases on felony charges. There are some misdemeanor |
| 18 | the time just gives the lawyers one more thing to | 18 | cases. |
| 19 | do, and, frankly, their time is short. Personally, | 19 | Q. Okay. But you don't have in your head an |
| 20 | I was finding it would take me 20 minutes a day to | 20 | exact breakdown of the remaining 63 how many are |
| 21 | log all of my time, and when you're trying to | 21 | probation violations, how many are misdemeanors? |
| 22 | squeeze every hour out of every day, you know, if | 22 | A. I want to say about 30 to 35 of the 213 |
| 23 | you aggregate that, you know, 330 lawyers or | 23 | are probation violations. |
| 24 | whatever we have, you know, 300 working days of the | 24 | Q. Okay. |
| 25 | year or whatever, that's a pretty good amount of | 25 | A. As of yesterday, I had seven misdemeanor |
| | Page 22 | | Page 24 |
| 1 | productivity that's lost just typing in data that's | 1 | cases. |
| 2 | really not that we're really not getting anything | 2 | Q. All right. |
| 3 | out of any additional benefit out of. | 3 | A. So now I'm trying to do math in my head |
| 4 | Q. Since you'd already logged it for such a | 4 | while we're talking. |
| 5 | long period | 5 | Q. Right. |
| 6 | A. Correct. | 6 | A. So I would say I said, you know, sort |
| 7 | Q. Got it. And so, in other words, the | 7 | of initially as a ballpark, 150 would be felony |
| 8 | your understanding of the rationale is that it was | 8 | cases. Trying to do the math, about 170, it sounds |
| 9 | taking time away from cases and representing | 9 | like, is a better estimate of how many open felonies |
| 10 | clients? | 10 | I have right now. |
| 11 | A. Correct. | 11 | Q. And is that 213 that you have open as of |
| 12 | Q. Okay. Okay. I'll move on away from the | 12 | yesterday, is that atypical of what your caseload |
| 13 | background section, just to note that any remaining | 13 | has been in the last, say, three months, or does |
| 14 15 | questions that I ask, unless I indicate otherwise, | 14 15 | that seem does your caseload seem about what it's |
| 16 | are about your district and rather than the state as a whole. And by "your district," I mean, | 16 | been for the past three months? A. A couple of months ago, about September or |
| 17 | obviously, District 19, not the Fulton office. | 17 | October, somewhere in there, it had spiked up to |
| 18 | A. Fair enough. | 18 | 300, and so it's actually been it's been a little |
| 19 | Q. All right. | 19 | bit higher, at least within this calendar year. |
| 20 | A. Okay. | 20 | This calendar year has been a complete mess for my |
| 21 | Q. So you mentioned that in addition to all | 21 | office. |
| 22 | • | | |
| | of your responsibilities as district defender, you | 22 | Q. And when you say it spiked to 300 cases. |
| 23 | of your responsibilities as district defender, you also have your own caseload; is that right? | 22 | Q. And when you say it spiked to 300 cases, was that just for a one-month period or for longer? |
| 23 24 | | | |
| | also have your own caseload; is that right? | 23 | was that just for a one-month period or for longer? |

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

2

4

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

1

2

12

Page 25

Q. And what was the cause of that spike?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A. We've had an unusual amount of turnover in the Area 19 office in calendar year 2017. We lost -- thus far this year, we've lost five lawyers. In -- you know, we've been hiring replacements as we go. One of the replacement lawyers that I hired, he's Army Reserves. We had him, you know, kind of on staff for two months, and then he got mobilized. And so as of July, he went out on military duty, and we're not expecting him back until July 2018.

So – and, frankly, even preceding
January 2017, we had a lawyer go out on leave in
September of 2016. So, I mean, effectively, we've
been shorthanded at least one lawyer, sometimes two,
since 2016, and so the turnover has substantially
contributed to my caseload. The other thing that
has contributed to my caseload is -- you know, we've
sort of changed how we're doing things in Area 19
in an attempt to manage the caseload of the
assistant public defenders. And, you know, you note
kind of through the documents that you received in
discovery that the -- about the only plan that we
could cook up was to communicate the situation to
the courts, and if they were going to order the

Page 27

- defender offices in other parts of the state for
 - family reasons. The lawyer that left in June, I
- 3 believe it was, retired. He had a good number of
 - years of service in and was eligible to retire, and
- 5 he did. The lawyers that left more recently in
 - August or September, one went to work for the
- 7 attorney general's office, another went into private
- 8 practice. Both -- in spite of the caseload controls
- 9 that we implemented in January, both remained 10 concerned about their caseload and didn't feel like
 - concerned about their caseload and didn't feel like they were practicing law the way they wanted to, had other opportunities, and took them.
 - Q. Okay. And that -- do you talk to each of those attorneys -- did you talk to each of those attorneys before they left the office?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And is that the basis for your knowledge of why they left?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Are you concerned by this turnover?
- A. Oh, absolutely. Yeah. Absolutely. And the turnover -- you know, the turnover has been a
- problem with the agency as long as I've been around.
 - And, you know, there's turnover that's just going to
 - happen, that you really can't avoid, but there's

Page 26

judges is, Look, if we're telling you we can't take any more cases and you put us in it anyway, I'm going to assign it to myself and not continue to assign cases to an assistant public defender who has already got too many. And so it -- that has been an additional factor in my caseload sort of spiraling in 2017.

public defenders to represent, what I told the

- Q. Got it. And you said you had five attorneys leave in the past year. Do you mean in calendar year in 2017?
- A. Correct. Yes, sir.
- Q. And how many attorneys total line attorneys, not counting yourself, does the office have?
- A. There's seven line attorneys not counting myself.
- Q. So five of the seven left within the past year?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Is that -- what was the basis for that turnover?
- A. A variety of reasons. The first two to
 leave in early 2017 both had babies, and Grandma and
 Grandpa lived in other parts of the state, and so
 they -- each of those lawyers transferred to public

- Page 28
- turnover that absolutely is avoidable. And, you
- know, the most common reasons lawyers cite in
- 3 leaving is either pay or caseload or both. And, you
- 4 know, the problem is, is that there's so many --
- 5 there's so many problems. You know, we --
- 6 typically, the lawyers that come to the public
- 7 defender's office are coming with little legal
- 8 experience, because, frankly, we pay bottom dollar.
- 9 You know, I've never had a senior partner at a major
- 10 law firm apply for a job as a public defender.
- 11 Likewise, I've never had a sitting circuit judge
 - apply for a job as a public defender. It just -- I
- mean, it's not happened in the entire time I've been
- doing this, at least in my office. You know,
- 15 typically, we get people who are looking for some
- experience. We spend a substantial amount of time
- and money training those lawyers to get them up to
- speed as quickly as possible. You know, when they
- leave and go on to private practice or go into other
- 20 public service positions or what have you, you know,
- 21 all of the investment in that lawyer is effectively
- lost. Also, that lawyer is going to leave behind a
- 23 block of cases. Those have to get reassigned,
- 24 typically, within the office, and that causes, then,
- 25 for the lawyers that remain that are already

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

2.4

2.4

Page 29

struggling with their caseload, now we're giving them that many more cases. It causes a significant interruption in the representation, in terms of the client relationship.

You know, right now we've got clients who are on their fourth or fifth lawyer. And, you know, from -- you know, frankly, if it were my kid who kept getting a new lawyer every two or three months and the lawyer says, Look, hey, give me some time; I've got to get up to speed. You know, I'll look at the thing, I'll come talk to your child in jail, and they get up to speed, and they go talk to the child in jail, and then they leave, and then the case gets reassigned -- I mean, if that had been my child, I would be furious. And we see that happening, like, over and over again. You know, for -- a lot of our clients have distrust in the legal system and have distrust in public defenders, and so we kind of start with the -- you know, sort of with a creditability gap in the eyes of a lot of our clients anyway, and when they have that experience with the public defender's office, they don't have any faith in their representation.

You know, from an efficiency perspective, the new lawyer has to duplicate all of the work that

caseload?

A. Right.

Q. And you said that your office pays bottom dollar. Are you – first of all, is the salary for, say, a – you know, a first-year, lowest level assistant public defender, is that the same across the state, or does it vary office by office?

A. Same statewide.

Q. Okay. And do you know what that rate is?

Page 31

A. \$39,000 a year and change.

Q. Okay. And the – do you know what the rate is for even the most senior assistant public defender?

A. I want to say -- and I'm just going off memory. This is a ballpark. About 64, 65,000.

Q. Okay.

whatever it is.

A. And under our existing sort of structure, you know, once they hit that amount, there's no room for advancement, unless they go into management or, like, the death penalty division or the commitment defense unit.

Q. Okay. So someone could be an attorney for 30 years and still be making --

A. They could have tried a hundred murder cases, and we'll still pay them \$65,000 a year or

Page 30

was done before. You know, the fact that the old lawyer read the police reports doesn't help the new lawyer; the new lawyer has got to read them all over again. You know, all the videos, they've got to watch them all over again. Got to go meet with the client, talk to them all over again. So there's a significant inefficiency there.

You know, we've had clients who end up saying, To heck with it; I'll just cut a deal with the prosecutor and go to prison pro se. I mean, the turnover is -- it creates significant issues, sort of in a number of different areas. And I spend a ton of time hiring, just -- so ...

Q. Because you're responsible for the hiring for the district office?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. And that's something you need to do on top of all of your other responsibilities?

A. Right.

Q. Okay. And when you say -- you said the two primary reasons, based on what these folks are telling you for why they leave, is pay and caseload; is that right?

A. Correct.

Q. And I assume that means low pay and high

Page 32

Q. Okay. And then the other thing that you mentioned was that some individual defendants could have four or five lawyers during the course of the case. I understand how that is, but just for the record, could you explain how that is that that happens based on the turnover?

A. Absolutely. So say the client, Bob, is assigned Public Defender No. 1, and Public Defender No. 1 then quits. I'm going to hire a replacement for Public Defender No. 1 and try to find a new lawyer within the office for Client Bob. Client Bob now gets Public Defender 2, whoever that is -- maybe it's the new person; maybe it's somebody else. If No. 2 quits, I got to do it all over again. And so on and so forth.

The other thing that happens is -- at least in the Area 19 office, you know, we try to assign our cases geographically, in that we've got one lawyer that does Moniteau County. Right now the only lawyer getting new Miller County cases is me, and everybody else is getting new cases out of Cole County. And that's an efficiency thing. You know, from a client-relationship perspective, you know, it would be better if Bob had one lawyer in

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 33

Cole, Miller, and Moniteau, in the event that Bob had cases in all three. But, frankly, we're just not able to do that because of the volume of cases that we've brought. So if Bob's got charges in all three counties, Bob is going to have three different 6 public defenders from my office. If two lawyers in Cole County quit, for example, I will seek to hire replacements, but at that point, the question of which lawyer goes to what county is going to be up for grabs, and I may take a lawyer out of Moniteau County, put them in Cole County, and then place the

> It's sort of -- frankly, it depends on a million and one different things, but every now and then, we have to move a lawyer from one jurisdiction to another, and that, then, can interrupt -- I mean, that just -- that will cause an interruption in the relationships for the clients whose lawyer quit, but it's also, then, going to interrupt the cases in which the lawyer was sort of pulled out and transferred back in.

> > Does that make sense?

new person in Moniteau County.

Q. No, that makes sense.

A. Okay. Fair enough. I'm not sure if I explained that very well.

Page 35

triple-booked on a regular basis, in that I've got to be in Moniteau County at nine o'clock and I've got to be in Cole County at nine o'clock, for example.

Q. Got it. And when someone leaves your office, is their position filled right away?

A. Filled as quickly as I can do anything, but right away, no. I mean, it may take -- you know, understand when a lawyer leaves -- hopefully, we get 30 days' notice. That's what we ask for. Generally -- generally, lawyers have been good about giving it. You know, when -- so I've got to -- as soon as I receive a notice, the first thing I do is request permission to post that vacancy, which, generally, is approved right away. The position will get posted the following Wednesday. We leave it open for two weeks to allow all applicants to apply, and then we start the interview process. And, you know, realistically, as a practical matter, I never have the replacement on board, you know, at -- on the -- on or by the lawyer that's leaving, on or by the next day. Generally, we'll go a couple of weeks or a month before the replacement starts. Sometimes that's because they have to move and relocate; sometimes that's because it takes me

Page 34

- Q. No, no.
- A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And have you either received directly or heard about complaints from individual defendants, when they -- or their family, when they have re- -have four or five different attorneys that -- from your office that have been on their cases during the pendency of the case?
 - A. Absolutely. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And just in -- all right. Well, I'll scratch that. I'll move on.

And when someone leaves -- I'm sorry. To go back to what you were saying, about how you divide up based on geography. You said that's for efficiency sake. Can you just explain for the record why that is?

A. Sure. The lawyers and myself spend a considerable amount of time in court, and the court dockets -- the judges in county -- in Cole County, for example, don't coordinate their dockets with themselves very well. They definitely don't coordinate their dockets with judges in Miller County and Moniteau County. And if I assigned cases by client instead of by county, the lawyer would find themselves double- and

Page 36

- forever to finish the hiring process. Sometimes that's -- you know, it could be a variety of reasons.
 - Q. Okay. And during that time -- in between when an attorney has left and when you've hired their replacement, your office is obviously short-staffed; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.

Q. And who picks up the cases from the attorney who has left in that interim period?

A. Well, prior to January 1, 2017, we would reassign the cases internally as best as we could. As of January 1, 2017, we sort of changed that. What we would do is assign out those cases, to the extent that we could, without making -- without having the individual lawyers take more cases than they could. Invariably, there was always a gap of cases that we could not reassign internally, and on those cases, we would file a motion to decline representation or a motion for caseload conference or whatever.

Q. Okay. And I'll certainly get into much more detail on that --

- A. Sure.
 - Q. later on. And even just given the

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

| | Page 37 | | Page 39 |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | logistical delays in posting, do putting that | 1 | office? |
| 2 | aside, do you sometimes have difficulty finding | 2 | A. That's my impression. |
| 3 | someone to take the position? | 3 | Q. And you said within this has gotten |
| 4 | A. Absolutely. Yes. Especially this year. | 4 | much worse within the past year; is that right? In |
| 5 | Hiring has gotten much more difficult within the | 5 | terms of recruiting? |
| 6 | public defender's office. The applicant pools are | 6 | A. Yes. Absolutely. |
| 7 | shrinking and the applicants within the pool is less | 7 | Q. Okay. |
| 8 | than what it had been in years past. | 8 | A. Yeah. I mean, it's kind of funny, you |
| 9 | Q. And you said hiring within the public | 9 | know, like, back in 2008, when the economy, you |
| 10 | defender's office. Do you mean your office or | 10 | know, completely fell apart, I remember hiring in |
| 11 | statewide or both? | 11 | those times, and everybody wanted to be a public |
| 12 | A. Well, that's been my experience in my | 12 | defender. You know, we'd get a hundred applicants |
| 13 | office, and in talking to other district defenders | 13 | for a position, even in Fulton, you know? |
| 14 | in other offices, that's what they tell me too. | 14 | Q. Uh-huh. |
| 15 | Q. Got it. And when someone applies, are | 15 | A. And now the economy has certainly turned |
| 16 | they applying to Area or District 19, or are they | 16 | around, and, you know, sort of as as this year |
| 17 | applying to the MSPD system overall? | 17 | has unfolded and the public defender struggles have |
| 18 | A. Whichever they like. So on our | 18 | sort of become more known in the community, you |
| 19 | application for employment, on the bottom of the | 19 | know, I I had fewer applicants for the position |
| 20 | first page, the applicant is given the opportunity | 20 | that we just filled than the ones that we had |
| 21 | to check geographic regions of preference. So they | 21 | even even, say, like, in January of this year |
| 22 | could check Jefferson City, Columbia, St. Louis, | 22 | of 2017, so |
| 23 | Kansas City, or they could check all, or if they | 23 | Q. Got it. |
| 24 | want to go work in West Plains, they could just | 24 | A. And there's not been in my opinion, |
| 25 | check the West Plains box. So, I mean, it's sort of | 25 | there has not been, like, a huge economic swing in |
| | | | |
| | Page 38 | | |
| | 1 age 30 | | Page 40 |
| 1 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that | 1 | Page 40 those intervening months. |
| 1 2 | _ | 1 2 | _ |
| | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that | | those intervening months. |
| 2 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices | 2 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic |
| 2 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, | 2 3 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. |
| 2 3 4 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the | 2 3 4 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. |
| 2 3 4 5 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. | 2 3 4 5 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty | 2 3 4 5 6 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another — there was another individual who was on |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another — there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my impression is that the public defender's office is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another — there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or A. In September of 2016, one of my lawyers |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my impression is that the public defender's office is not the pick of most graduates right now. And, you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another — there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or A. In September of 2016, one of my lawyers went on leave, was scheduled to return in January of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my impression is that the public defender's office is not the pick of most graduates right now. And, you know, there's been a lot of adverse publicity about | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another — there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or A. In September of 2016, one of my lawyers went on leave, was scheduled to return in January of 2017. Instead of returning to my office, he |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my impression is that the public defender's office is not the pick of most graduates right now. And, you know, there's been a lot of adverse publicity about the public defender's office. You know, I mean, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another — there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or A. In September of 2016, one of my lawyers went on leave, was scheduled to return in January of 2017. Instead of returning to my office, he actually ended up transferring to another office, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my impression is that the public defender's office is not the pick of most graduates right now. And, you know, there's been a lot of adverse publicity about the public defender's office. You know, I mean, it's sort of in the media that we're declining | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or A. In September of 2016, one of my lawyers went on leave, was scheduled to return in January of 2017. Instead of returning to my office, he actually ended up transferring to another office, and so he left my office. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my impression is that the public defender's office is not the pick of most graduates right now. And, you know, there's been a lot of adverse publicity about the public defender's office. You know, I mean, it's sort of in the media that we're declining cases, that we're all overwhelmed, that you know, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or A. In September of 2016, one of my lawyers went on leave, was scheduled to return in January of 2017. Instead of returning to my office, he actually ended up transferring to another office, and so he left my office. Q. Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my impression is that the public defender's office is not the pick of most graduates right now. And, you know, there's been a lot of adverse publicity about the public defender's office. You know, I mean, it's sort of in the media that we're declining cases, that we're all overwhelmed, that — you know, the Hinkebein decision certainly caused quite a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or A. In September of 2016, one of my lawyers went on leave, was scheduled to return in January of 2017. Instead of returning to my office, he actually ended up transferring to another office, and so he left my office. Q. Okay. A. That's one of the lawyers that ended up |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my impression is that the public defender's office is not the pick of most graduates right now. And, you know, there's been a lot of adverse publicity about the public defender's office. You know, I mean, it's sort of in the media that we're declining cases, that we're all overwhelmed, that — you know, the Hinkebein decision certainly caused quite a stir. So it's an agency that's not getting a lot of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or A. In September of 2016, one of my lawyers went on leave, was scheduled to return in January of 2017. Instead of returning to my office, he actually ended up transferring to another office, and so he left my office. Q. Okay. A. That's one of the lawyers that ended up leaving. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my impression is that the public defender's office is not the pick of most graduates right now. And, you know, there's been a lot of adverse publicity about the public defender's office. You know, I mean, it's sort of in the media that we're declining cases, that we're all overwhelmed, that — you know, the Hinkebein decision certainly caused quite a stir. So it's an agency that's not getting a lot of positives out there in the community right now. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another — there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or A. In September of 2016, one of my lawyers went on leave, was scheduled to return in January of 2017. Instead of returning to my office, he actually ended up transferring to another office, and so he left my office. Q. Okay. A. That's one of the lawyers that ended up leaving. Q. Got it. Okay. So you currently have six |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my impression is that the public defender's office is not the pick of most graduates right now. And, you know, there's been a lot of adverse publicity about the public defender's office. You know, I mean, it's sort of in the media that we're declining cases, that we're all overwhelmed, that — you know, the Hinkebein decision certainly caused quite a stir. So it's an agency that's not getting a lot of positives out there in the community right now. Q. And so to clarify, it's — the same | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another — there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or A. In September of 2016, one of my lawyers went on leave, was scheduled to return in January of 2017. Instead of returning to my office, he actually ended up transferring to another office, and so he left my office. Q. Okay. A. That's one of the lawyers that ended up leaving. Q. Got it. Okay. So you currently have six line attorneys plus yourself in the office? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my impression is that the public defender's office is not the pick of most graduates right now. And, you know, there's been a lot of adverse publicity about the public defender's office. You know, I mean, it's sort of in the media that we're declining cases, that we're all overwhelmed, that you know, the Hinkebein decision certainly caused quite a stir. So it's an agency that's not getting a lot of positives out there in the community right now. Q. And so to clarify, it's – the same reasons that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another — there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or A. In September of 2016, one of my lawyers went on leave, was scheduled to return in January of 2017. Instead of returning to my office, he actually ended up transferring to another office, and so he left my office. Q. Okay. A. That's one of the lawyers that ended up leaving. Q. Got it. Okay. So you currently have six line attorneys plus yourself in the office? A. Correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | up to the applicant. And it's my understanding that HR will forward that application to the offices within the geographic preference that are hiring, you know, within the period of time relevant to the application. Q. And you mentioned there's often difficulty finding applicants and qualified applicants. Do you have a sense of why that is? A. Well – yes. I mean, pay, caseload. The legal market has certainly turned around since, you know, the crash in 2008 or whenever it was, and my impression is that the public defender's office is not the pick of most graduates right now. And, you know, there's been a lot of adverse publicity about the public defender's office. You know, I mean, it's sort of in the media that we're declining cases, that we're all overwhelmed, that — you know, the Hinkebein decision certainly caused quite a stir. So it's an agency that's not getting a lot of positives out there in the community right now. Q. And so to clarify, it's — the same reasons that cause — many of the same reasons that cause your attorneys to leave — low pay, high | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | those intervening months. Q. Okay. So I want to go back to some basic questions about your office. A. Uh-huh. Q. So you have seven attorneys in the office, although one of them is on leave in the Army Reserves; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Okay. And you mentioned that there's another — there was another individual who was on leave, but is that individual back or A. In September of 2016, one of my lawyers went on leave, was scheduled to return in January of 2017. Instead of returning to my office, he actually ended up transferring to another office, and so he left my office. Q. Okay. A. That's one of the lawyers that ended up leaving. Q. Got it. Okay. So you currently have six line attorneys plus yourself in the office? A. Correct. Q. With — plus this individual who is on |

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

| | Page 41 | | Page 43 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | has been on leave. | 1 | smaller law firms? |
| 2 | Q. Got it. And how many of those you | 2 | A. So the one I can think of I think he's |
| 3 | mentioned already that you handle felony cases. How | 3 | a solo now. Another one that I can think of is a |
| 4 | many of those six line attorneys handle felony | 4 | partner in a firm, but it's a you know, it's |
| 5 | cases? | 5 | Jeff City, like, every firm is kind of small, you |
| 6 | A. All of them. | 6 | know what I mean? You know, I would be surprised if |
| 7 | Q. Okay. And how many of them handle | 7 | the entire firm had five lawyers. Like, I I |
| 8 | misdemeanors? | 8 | don't think that they have that many people. |
| 9 | A. I believe all of them do. | 9 | Q. Okay. And just to tie up this juvenile |
| 10 | Q. How many of those attorneys handle | 10 | piece, when you said there – there are two or three |
| 11 | juvenile cases? | 11 | cases at any given time in Miller County |
| 12 | A. Just one. | 12 | A. Correct. |
| 13 | Q. Does that attorney handle only juvenile | 13 | Q is that is your sense that that's |
| 14 | cases or | 14 | the total number of juvenile cases in Miller County |
| 15 | A. No. Also handles a regular mix of | 15 | at any time, or is someone else receiving some of |
| 16 | felonies, misdemeanors, probation violations. When | 16 | those cases? |
| 17 | I say "regular mix," not serious felonies, though. | 17 | A. My sense is that there are probably a |
| 18 | That lawyer has been practicing she passed the | 18 | bunch of other cases out there that we're not seeing |
| 19 | February bar, joined us in about June. | 19 | and we're not getting. |
| 20 | Q. Okay. And so she then picked | 20 | Q. Okay. |
| 21 | immediately picked up all of the juvenile cases that | 21 | A. And, likewise, in Moniteau County, I can't |
| 22 | were in the office or | 22 | think of the juvenile case that we've had out there. |
| 23 | A. Right. But, typically, we've got two to | 23 | Maybe we've had one. Surely at some point they've |
| 24 | three open at any given point in time. | 24 | detained a juvenile. I have no idea what, if any, |
| 25 | Q. Okay. And that's two or three cases in | 25 | counsel that juvenile had. |
| | Page 42 | | Page 44 |
| | - | | |
| 1 | all three some in all three counties? | 1 | Q. Okay. |
| 2 | A. Generally, the juvenile cases that we get | 2 | A. And, you know, even in the Cole County |
| 3 | are in Miller County only. In Cole County, we do | 3 | world where, you know I had a case in Cole County |
| 4 | not receive a single juvenile case, and the reason | 4 | where a 16-year-old had been certified, and I did |
| 5 | for that is that the county actually has a contract | I - | - |
| 6 | | 5 | not do the certification because it was, you know, |
| | with some private lawyers where they pay the private | 6 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing |
| 7 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the | 6 7 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. |
| 8 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. | 6 7 8 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about |
| 8 9 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in | 6 7 8 9 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, |
| 8 9 10 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. | 6 7 8 9 10 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? |
| 8 9 10 11 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private | 6 7 8 9 10 11 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law firms or | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, certainly, if a juvenile applied |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law firms or A. Yes. Yes. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, certainly, if a juvenile applied for public defender services and they qualified, we |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law firms or A. Yes. Yes. Q. And are those private lawyers who do | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, certainly, if a juvenile applied for public defender services and they qualified, we would represent them. I don't recall having |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law firms or A. Yes. Yes. Q. And are those private lawyers who do solely juvenile cases, or it's a mix of it's part | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, certainly, if a juvenile applied for public defender services and they qualified, we would represent them. I don't recall having received any Moniteau juvenile cases. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law firms or A. Yes. Yes. Q. And are those private lawyers who do solely juvenile cases, or it's a mix of it's part of their caseload? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, certainly, if a juvenile applied for public defender services and they qualified, we would represent them. I don't recall having received any Moniteau juvenile cases. Q. And when you say that, you mean since the |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law firms or A. Yes. Yes. Q. And are those private lawyers who do solely juvenile cases, or it's a mix of it's part of their caseload? A. Generally, it's a mix of whatever. You | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, certainly, if a juvenile applied for public defender services and they qualified, we would represent them. I don't recall having received any Moniteau juvenile cases. Q. And when you say that, you mean since the time that you took over the district defender |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law firms or A. Yes. Yes. Q. And are those private lawyers who do solely juvenile cases, or it's a mix of it's part of their caseload? A. Generally, it's a mix of whatever. You know, like they'll do some family, they'll do | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, certainly, if a juvenile applied for public defender services and they qualified, we would represent them. I don't recall having received any Moniteau juvenile cases. Q. And when you say that, you mean since the time that you took over the district defender position in 2014? |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law firms or A. Yes. Yes. Q. And are those private lawyers who do solely juvenile cases, or it's a mix of it's part of their caseload? A. Generally, it's a mix of whatever. You know, like they'll do some family, they'll do some criminal, maybe they'll do a little bit of | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, certainly, if a juvenile applied for public defender services and they qualified, we would represent them. I don't recall having received any Moniteau juvenile cases. Q. And when you say that, you mean since the time that you took over the district defender position in 2014? A. Correct. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law firms or A. Yes. Yes. Q. And are those private lawyers who do solely juvenile cases, or it's a mix of it's part of their caseload? A. Generally, it's a mix of whatever. You know, like they'll do some family, they'll do some criminal, maybe they'll do a little bit of civil stuff, and then some juvenile appointments. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, certainly, if a juvenile applied for public defender services and they qualified, we would represent them. I don't recall having received any Moniteau juvenile cases. Q. And when you say that, you mean since the time that you took over the district defender position in 2014? A. Correct. Q. Okay. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law firms or A. Yes. Yes. Q. And are those private lawyers who do solely juvenile cases, or it's a mix of it's part of their caseload? A. Generally, it's a mix of whatever. You know, like they'll do some family, they'll do some criminal, maybe they'll do a little bit of civil stuff, and then some juvenile appointments. Q. I'm sorry. When I my question earlier | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, certainly, if a juvenile applied for public defender services and they qualified, we would represent them. I don't recall having received any Moniteau juvenile cases. Q. And when you say that, you mean since the time that you took over the district defender position in 2014? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, there may be one or two out there. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law firms or A. Yes. Yes. Q. And are those private lawyers who do solely juvenile cases, or it's a mix of it's part of their caseload? A. Generally, it's a mix of whatever. You know, like they'll do some family, they'll do some criminal, maybe they'll do a little bit of civil stuff, and then some juvenile appointments. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, certainly, if a juvenile applied for public defender services and they qualified, we would represent them. I don't recall having received any Moniteau juvenile cases. Q. And when you say that, you mean since the time that you took over the district defender position in 2014? A. Correct. Q. Okay. |
| 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | lawyer, you know, like, a reduced rate, and the private lawyer will represent an indigent juvenile. And so as a result, we get zero juvenile cases in Cole County. Q. And when you say "contract with a private lawyer," are those private lawyers who are at law firms or A. Yes. Yes. Q. And are those private lawyers who do solely juvenile cases, or it's a mix of it's part of their caseload? A. Generally, it's a mix of whatever. You know, like they'll do some family, they'll do some criminal, maybe they'll do a little bit of civil stuff, and then some juvenile appointments. Q. I'm sorry. When I my question earlier wasn't clear. When I said that I mean, are these | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | not do the certification because it was, you know, the appointed lawyer, and the certification hearing was not well done. Q. Okay. So just speaking about Moniteau County, you're not aware of who, if anyone, is representing the juveniles in that county? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, certainly, if a juvenile applied for public defender services and they qualified, we would represent them. I don't recall having received any Moniteau juvenile cases. Q. And when you say that, you mean since the time that you took over the district defender position in 2014? A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. I mean, there may be one or two out there. I'd have to go back and look, but I can't think of a |

| | Page 45 | | Page 47 |
|--|--|---|--|
| 1 | Q. Okay. Other than yourself, how long has | 1 | first week of practicing anywhere as a lawyer? |
| 2 | the most experienced attorney in your office been | 2 | A. Correct. |
| 3 | practicing? | 3 | Q. Okay. And then in the next two or three |
| 4 | A. The most experienced attorney started | 4 | weeks, since it's only been three or four weeks, he |
| 5 | practicing approximately 2010. And then after that, | 5 | received an additional 20 cases? |
| 6 | the next most experienced attorney has been | 6 | A. Correct. |
| 7 | practicing a little over three years. | 7 | Q. And you have said you're not aware of the |
| 8 | Q. Okay. And how long has the least | 8 | exact number of felony cases, which is certainly |
| 9 | experienced attorney in your office been practicing? | 9 | fine. Do you know if he's receiving if he has |
| 10 | A. He started practicing November 13th. | 10 | any cases that are A, B felonies, or is it just |
| 11 | Q. Okay. So less than a month? | 11 | A. He does have one. And I think it was a |
| 12 | A. Correct. | 12 | situation where he had been assigned the client on a |
| 13 | Q. And is that attorney carrying felony | 13 | misdemeanor or C and D felony, and then an A or B |
| 14 | cases? | 14 | drug case arose after he had already entered on |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | behalf of the client and did something to engage in |
| 16 | Q. Okay. Do you I'm not holding you to an | 16 | the representation. For continuity purposes, we |
| 17 | exact number, but do you have an estimate of how | 17 | made the decision to assign him to A and B drug |
| 18 | many cases that attorney is handling? | 18 | case, too. |
| 19 | A. He's got about 70 cases open right now. I | 19 | Q. Are you aware of any other A and B case |
| 20 | couldn't tell you off the top of my head how many | 20 | that he has? |
| 21 | are felonies or misdemeanors or probation | 21 | A. No. |
| 22 | violations. | 22 | Q. Are you concerned about the fact that this |
| 23 | Q. And are those primarily cases — new cases | 23 | brand new attorney has 70 open cases within his |
| 24 | that were opened within the past month or cases that | 24 | first three and a half weeks in the office? |
| 25 | he took over from someone else in your office? | 25 | A. Including some felonies? |
| | Page 46 | | Page 48 |
| 1 | A. Typically, the bulk of them say, 50 | 1 | Q. Yes. |
| 2 | were cases that had been sort of that he took | 2 | A. And an A or B drug felony that could |
| 3 | over from somebody else who had left or were ones | 3 | incarcerate his client for up to 15 years in prison? |
| 4 | that came in after somebody left and we filed a | l . | |
| 5 | | 4 | Yeah. Absolutely. And, you know, I've got another |
| | motion on but then were able to open up when he | 5 | Yeah. Absolutely. And, you know, I've got another lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of |
| 6 | motion on but then were able to open up when he started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, | | - |
| | | 5 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of |
| 6 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, | 5 6 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. |
| 6 7 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he | 5 6 7 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand |
| 6 7 8 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. | 5 6 7 8 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his |
| 6 7 8 9 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases — going to the 50 cases | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's |
| 6 7 8 9 10 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases — going to the 50 cases that were already existing in your office, how long after he started did he pick up those — did he pick up all those 50 — sorry. Scratch that. | 5 6 7 8 9 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's caseload situation. And that's about the best that |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases — going to the 50 cases that were already existing in your office, how long after he started did he pick up those — did he pick | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's caseload situation. And that's about the best that we can do at this particular moment to keep his |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases — going to the 50 cases that were already existing in your office, how long after he started did he pick up those — did he pick up all those 50 — sorry. Scratch that. Did he pick up all of those 50 cases at once? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's caseload situation. And that's about the best that we can do at this particular moment to keep his caseload down. I certainly don't want it to grow |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases — going to the 50 cases that were already existing in your office, how long after he started did he pick up those — did he pick up all those 50 — sorry. Scratch that. Did he pick up all of those 50 cases at once? A. Yes. | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's caseload situation. And that's about the best that we can do at this particular moment to keep his caseload down. I certainly don't want it to grow any larger. I would like him to have more time under his belt learning how to practice law, but, as I mentioned, things are a mess right now, so |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases — going to the 50 cases that were already existing in your office, how long after he started did he pick up those — did he pick up all those 50 — sorry. Scratch that. Did he pick up all of those 50 cases at once? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And how long after he started did | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's caseload situation. And that's about the best that we can do at this particular moment to keep his caseload down. I certainly don't want it to grow any larger. I would like him to have more time under his belt learning how to practice law, but, as I mentioned, things are a mess right now, so Q. Right. So I take it, obviously, if you |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases — going to the 50 cases that were already existing in your office, how long after he started did he pick up those — did he pick up all those 50 — sorry. Scratch that. Did he pick up all of those 50 cases at once? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And how long after he started did he pick up those cases? | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's caseload situation. And that's about the best that we can do at this particular moment to keep his caseload down. I certainly don't want it to grow any larger. I would like him to have more time under his belt learning how to practice law, but, as I mentioned, things are a mess right now, so Q. Right. So I take it, obviously, if you — if you could, you would give him you would give |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases — going to the 50 cases that were already existing in your office, how long after he started did he pick up those — did he pick up all those 50 — sorry. Scratch that. Did he pick up all of those 50 cases at once? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And how long after he started did he pick up those cases? A. We started assigning him cases out of | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's caseload situation. And that's about the best that we can do at this particular moment to keep his caseload down. I certainly don't want it to grow any larger. I would like him to have more time under his belt learning how to practice law, but, as I mentioned, things are a mess right now, so Q. Right. So I take it, obviously, if you — if you could, you would give him — you would give him many fewer cases to start; is that fair? |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases — going to the 50 cases that were already existing in your office, how long after he started did he pick up those — did he pick up all those 50 — sorry. Scratch that. Did he pick up all of those 50 cases at once? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And how long after he started did he pick up those cases? A. We started assigning him cases out of those 50 the very first day. And it probably took a | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's caseload situation. And that's about the best that we can do at this particular moment to keep his caseload down. I certainly don't want it to grow any larger. I would like him to have more time under his belt learning how to practice law, but, as I mentioned, things are a mess right now, so Q. Right. So I take it, obviously, if you if you could, you would give him you would give him many fewer cases to start; is that fair? A. Yes. Yes. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases — going to the 50 cases that were already existing in your office, how long after he started did he pick up those — did he pick up all those 50 — sorry. Scratch that. Did he pick up all of those 50 cases at once? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And how long after he started did he pick up those cases? A. We started assigning him cases out of those 50 the very first day. And it probably took a few days for staff to click all of the buttons and | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's caseload situation. And that's about the best that we can do at this particular moment to keep his caseload down. I certainly don't want it to grow any larger. I would like him to have more time under his belt learning how to practice law, but, as I mentioned, things are a mess right now, so Q. Right. So I take it, obviously, if you if you could, you would give him you would give him many fewer cases to start; is that fair? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Okay. But the reason you started to |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases — going to the 50 cases that were already existing in your office, how long after he started did he pick up those — did he pick up all those 50 — sorry. Scratch that. Did he pick up all of those 50 cases at once? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And how long after he started did he pick up those cases? A. We started assigning him cases out of those 50 the very first day. And it probably took a few days for staff to click all of the buttons and make — you know, do all of the stuff that we have | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's caseload situation. And that's about the best that we can do at this particular moment to keep his caseload down. I certainly don't want it to grow any larger. I would like him to have more time under his belt learning how to practice law, but, as I mentioned, things are a mess right now, so Q. Right. So I take it, obviously, if you if you could, you would give him you would give him many fewer cases to start; is that fair? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Okay. But the reason you started to say this, but just if you could explain the |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases going to the 50 cases that were already existing in your office, how long after he started did he pick up those did he pick up all those 50 sorry. Scratch that. Did he pick up all of those 50 cases at once? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And how long after he started did he pick up those cases? A. We started assigning him cases out of those 50 the very first day. And it probably took a few days for staff to click all of the buttons and make you know, do all of the stuff that we have to do to transfer a file, but, effectively, within | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's caseload situation. And that's about the best that we can do at this particular moment to keep his caseload down. I certainly don't want it to grow any larger. I would like him to have more time under his belt learning how to practice law, but, as I mentioned, things are a mess right now, so Q. Right. So I take it, obviously, if you if you could, you would give him you would give him many fewer cases to start; is that fair? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Okay. But the reason you started to say this, but just if you could explain the reason you can't do so is because everyone in your |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | started. I would say approximately 20 were, like, brand-spanking-new cases that came in after he started. Q. So those 50 cases — going to the 50 cases that were already existing in your office, how long after he started did he pick up those — did he pick up all those 50 — sorry. Scratch that. Did he pick up all of those 50 cases at once? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And how long after he started did he pick up those cases? A. We started assigning him cases out of those 50 the very first day. And it probably took a few days for staff to click all of the buttons and make — you know, do all of the stuff that we have | 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | lawyer the lawyer who has three-plus years of experience, and she's got 120 cases. I've got 213. I mean, we're doing the best we can to keep brand new lawyer's caseload down. I'm concerned about his caseload situation. I'm concerned about everybody's caseload situation. And that's about the best that we can do at this particular moment to keep his caseload down. I certainly don't want it to grow any larger. I would like him to have more time under his belt learning how to practice law, but, as I mentioned, things are a mess right now, so Q. Right. So I take it, obviously, if you if you could, you would give him you would give him many fewer cases to start; is that fair? A. Yes. Yes. Q. Okay. But the reason you started to say this, but just if you could explain the |

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

Fax: 314.644.1334

there's a single lawyer in my office right now who

25

Q. Okay. And, again, this is within his

25

1

2

9

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 49

- can take more cases. And, you know, all year we've 1 2 been filing motions to decline representation or a 3 motion to appoint -- we filed motions to appoint a
- 4 state lawyer who is employed by the Public Service 5
- Commission or Department of Revenue or motions to
- 6 withdraw based on our caseload or motions for
- 7 caseload conference, and we've received a lot of
- 8 sympathy and a lot of moral support. And, you know, 9 there are judges who are talking about doing

10 something and contemplating doing something, and at 11 this point, we haven't received significant caseload 12 relief. We've received some.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Judge Joyce in Cole County told us, You're not taking any more criminal nonsupport cases until we can get some representation on these people charged with A and B felony cases first. So for months in Cole County we've not been representing people in criminal nonsupport cases, some of which

And, you know, there are other things -there are sort of smaller things that have been done to try and help us. You know, frankly, it's sort of like -- and I appreciate all of the help that we can get, because I will take all of the help that I can get. You know, you can take an ice cream scoop to

Page 51

3 contempt at proceeding. Alternatively, a person can 4 be charged with a crime of failing to pay child 5 support. There's a misdemeanor offense of failing 6 to pay child support and a felony offense of failing 7 to pay child support. If it's a civil contempt 8 proceeding, under Chapter 600, they're ineligible

that one is ordered to pay can be dealt with in a

couple of different ways. One is in the form of a

- for public defender services, even though they may
- 10 have a right to counsel. If it's a criminal 11
- proceeding, provided that they meet the financial 12 criteria, they would be eligible for public defender
- 13 services, certainly; the right to counsel would 14 attach, but at least in Cole County right now,
- 15 there's sort of this informal administrative order
- 16 that's not written down on any piece of paper that's 17 basically a judge saying, You guys aren't doing any
- 18 more criminal nonsupport cases until I tell you 19 20 Q. And what's the most severe penalty that

someone facing a criminal nonsupport case could A. Four years in the department of corrections, if they have no prior felony

convictions. If they have prior felony convictions,

Page 50

the iceberg that sank the Titanic, and the ship is still probably going to go down. It might go down slower, I don't know, but that's kind of where we are. I mean, the -- really, what it would take to get our office caseload down to a rational level would be very dramatic, and at this point in time, at least in the legal communities that I run in, we don't have anybody talking about -- or we don't have anybody doing the dramatic relief that would be

Q. Okay.

necessary.

A. Interestingly, on the Cole County nonsupport cases, effectively, those litigants are forced to proceed pro se.

> VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me one moment. THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

VIDEOGRAPHER: Can you move your -- could you move your tie over?

THE WITNESS: It's hidden. I apologize. VIDEOGRAPHER: Yeah. No problem. No problem. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) What is a criminal nonsupport case?

A. In Missouri, failing to pay child support

Page 52

even if they're unrelated, it could carry up to seven years in the department of corrections and a fine or probation or a combination thereof.

Q. And approximately how many criminal nonsupport cases are there in Cole County?

A. I don't know because we're not doing them anymore. I mean -- and I'm not trying to be flip about it or anything.

Q. I understand.

A. Off the top of my head, I can't tell you. But, you know, commonly, the -- you know, typically, in a criminal nonsupport case on a first offense, typically, the state is not requesting and the court is not inclined to place the person in prison. Typically, the judge is going to place the person on probation with the condition that they pay, and so then there are, invariably, on some cases, probation violations related to the person's alleged failure to pay. If you were to combine the criminal nonsupport charges plus the probation violations, there would be a substantial number, but I cannot give you an estimate off the top of my head.

Q. Just to get a ballpark, during the -- do you think there are more than 50 a year in Cole County?

13 (Pages 49 to 52)

2

4

6

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

Page 53

1 A. This is a wild ballpark -- and talking 2 only about ones in which my office -- you know, 3 which they requested and received a public defender. 4 Wild ballpark, I would say, in a year, there may be 5 50 cases that we would do. Some folks in those 6 cases are going to retain private counsel. And, you 7 know, sort of one of the limitations -- at least, 8 when I get a criminal nonsupport case, I can only 9 deal with the criminal side of the case; I can't do 10 anything on the family domestic relations side of 11 the case. So I cannot get in the family case and 12 request a modification of the child support amount, 13 for example, or an alteration of the custody 14 arrangement or any of the stuff, I can only deal 15 with the criminal nonsupport charge. I don't even 16 know if that was responsive to the question, but it 17 was tangential -- a lot of clients because of that, 18 if they can find a way to borrow some money from Mom 19 or whatever, may hire a lawyer on the private side 20 who can do both, kind of, dynamics of the case.

Q. Okay. So when you — but your wild ballpark — I'm not holding you to this — you think there are 50 cases or there would be 50 cases in a given year that would have gone to the public defender —

Page 55

- $1 \qquad \hbox{ the execution of the sentence and place the person} \\$
 - on probation, on a probation violation, the court
- 3 can't change that three-year sentence. The court
 - could either execute the three-year sentence,
- 5 continue the probation, continue the probation with
 - additional special conditions, continue the
- 7 probation with a period of shock detention in the
- 8 county jail, or there are a couple of other
 - sentencing statutes that the court could implement.
- The court could place the person in the department
- of corrections for 120 days, retain jurisdiction for
- 12 120 days, then consider releasing them on probation.
- There are a couple of other squirrely sentencing statutes such as that.
 - Q. Okay. But, in general, the is it correct that individuals facing probation violations on these criminal nonsupport charges could face up to four or seven years, as you described previously?
 - A. Correc
 - Q. Okay. Would it be possible for them to face more than seven years on a probation violation on a criminal nonsupport case?

A. If the imposition of the sentence had been suspended on a criminal nonsupport case -- and is going to be an exceeding rare sentence, but say the

Page 54

A. Correct.

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. -- that now aren't going to the public defender?

A. Correct. Be it a criminal nonsupport charge or a probation violation on a criminal nonsupport charge.

Q. Got it. Because they're also not doing their probation violations on the criminal nonsupport charges?

A. Correct.

Q. And how much time could someone face, maximum, on the probation violation on the criminal nonsupport charge?

nonsupport charge?

A. It depends on how the probation was set up. If the imposition of the sentence were suspended, the range of punishment on the probation violation is the range of punishment on the underlying charge. So say it's a felony criminal nonsupport charge charged as a first felony offense; the range of punishment would be one day to one year in the county jail or two to four years in the department of corrections or a fine or probation. That would be the range of punishment on the suspended position of the sentence. If the court were to impose a prison sentence but then suspend

Page 56

- $1 \qquad \hbox{ judge suspended the imposition of sentence on the} \\$
 - criminal nonsupport case, but the client had a suspended execution of the sentence in another
- suspended execution of the sentence in anothercounty or a suspended imposition of sentence in
- 5 another county -- probation is revoked in the other
- 6 county, and a five-year sentence is executed in the
- other county. On the probation violation on the
- 8 criminal nonsupport, if no sentence has been fixed,
- 9 if the court suspended the imposition of the
- sentence, that court could take that four- or
- 11 seven-year sentence and run it consecutive to the
- 12 previously imposed sentence, such that four plus
- 13 five would be nine or seven plus five would be 12.
- 14 If the court had suspended the execution of the
- sentence, whether it would be concurrent or
- 16 consecutive would be driven by what the judge said
- at the time of sentencing, when the execution was
- suspended. That is a pretty rare circumstance, but
- that's the only thing that comes to mind, in terms
- of whether the court could increase -- have a
 - consequence that carries bigger than seven years.
- 22 And in no event could -- I mean, the range of
- 23 punishment fixed by the legislature is up to four or
- seven, if they're a persistent felony offender, and
 - so the judge can't change that; the only thing that

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

Fax: 314.644.1334

21

25

Page 57

the judge could do would be to tack it on and run it consecutive to something else.

Q. Got it. And you said that typically in these cases the defendant is — first-time felony offender wouldn't be necessarily facing prison time. I assume when you meant "typically," you mean in the cases that your office had taken previously; is that right?

A. Right. Yeah. I mean, usually -- and it's been my experience on criminal nonsupport cases, really, what the prosecutor wants is, they want the person to pay. The prosecutor knows if the prosecutor puts in them in prison, they're not going to be able to pay, and so, typically, in most cases, generally -- this is gross generalization, but, you know, generally, what the state is going to seek to do is request probation with the condition that the person pay such that if down the road they don't pay, then they're in danger of going to prison.

Q. Got it. And to clarify, you — your office, then, isn't — wouldn't represent them at this point now, even on that probation violation —

23 A. Correct.

2.4

- Q. -- that might occur?
- A. Correct.

Page 59

- the prosecutor may be like, Look, we're not going to do probation on this. And in another sort of more rare instance, you know, if the accused wants to go
- rare instance, you know, if the accused wants
 to trial, I've practiced in front of at least one
- judge who would say, You know, look, never. Only
- 6 once did he say it on the record, I'm not inclined
- to grant probation after trial. And so I -- in kind
 of thinking back in time, I can think of one client
- 9 who went to trial on a criminal nonsupport charge.
 10 The first trial resulted in a hung jury; second
- trial, he was convicted, court imposed a four-year prison sentence, and he went and served the time.
 - Q. All right. And if an individual now in Cole County wanted to exercise his or her right to trial, and if they weren't able to afford a private lawyer, your understanding is they would need to represent themselves?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay.

A. I -- if -- I specifically heard the judge doing that docket tell someone, Look, you're not getting an appointed lawyer. You can represent yourself or you can hire someone. And that's me paraphrasing his words, but those were the options that that judge had laid out.

Page 58

Q. Okay.

A. Unless some judge somewhere tells me I'm going to, that's kind of the standing order is, that I'm to not.

Q. And, obviously, you're not aware, since your office now isn't representing, of what's happening — whether that practice of not seeking prison time initially is still happening for those who are now representing themselves pro se. Is that —

A. I don't have personal knowledge as to whether that practice has changed. My -- I mean, if I had to guess, I would say that it probably has not, but I don't know.

Q. Okay. Are you aware of criminal nonsupport cases that your office had either initially or probation violations of criminal nonsupport cases where the individual was facing time in prison?

A. I can think of a couple of situations -you know, one, if the person had a prior or prior
convictions for criminal nonsupport, you know,
then -- you know, if the prosecutor had been dealing
with this person for the last 15 years, and they've
consistently failed to pay on multiple cases, then

Page 60

- Q. And that was to an individual, as you said, who was facing either four or perhaps seven years in prison?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And did the did that judge explain the reason for there not being a public defender available to that individual?

A. He's — I've heard him explain it a couple of different ways. I've heard him say, Well, the public defenders say they're too busy, sort of in a condescending type of manner, or the public defenders just don't want to take your case or the — you know, but I've also heard him say something to the effect of, you know, the public defenders are not available for new cases. And, again, that's me paraphrasing his words, but I've heard him sort of explain that a couple of different ways

- Q. And so you've been in the courtroom, obviously, when this has happened on at least some of the occasions when this has happened?
- A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. And when did this I know it's not a written policy. When did this practice in Cole County of your office not taking criminal

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

Page 61

nonsupport cases begin?

2.4

2.0

A. I'm going to say April or May. And the reason that that comes to my mind was, I recall sitting in Judge Joyce's courtroom next to a lawyer who left us in June, and that was when Judge Joyce -- she asked, What are you guys doing here? We said, We're here for the nonsupport. She said, Why are you doing nonsupport when we've got all of these people charged with, like, you know, real felonies, real crimes that we can't get public defender representation on? You guys aren't taking any more nonsupport cases. Because I know that that lawyer left us in about June. I want to say this was in April or May. And so the only way that we would have taken any additional nonsupport cases since then is if Judge Joyce or Judge Schollmeyer told us specifically, I'm now ordering you to take this one. But, generally, as a rule, we are not

- Q. And that was something, it sounds like, suggested and instituted by Judge Joyce?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And that was just to clarify, that was in response to concerns about the about the case Judge Joyce's concerns about the caseload

Page 63

just looking for probation with the condition that you pay, or the judge may say, Hey, look, why don't you talk to the prosecutor, see if you guys can work something out. Or the judge will just ask the prosecutor in the court, Prosecutor, what's your recommendation? Well, we're looking for probation. And then that -- and then the accused decides to go forward on their own, is sort of how that generally unfolds.

Was that responsive to your question?

- Q. Yes. And when you say "decides to go forward on their own," you mean decides if they can't afford an attorney, they don't have a choice, other than to go forward on their own; is that right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. And you're mostly in court in Miller County; is that right?
- 19 A. Yes. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. So -- and this is happening in Cole County?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. But you can recall several instances, even in the limited time that you're in Cole County court, where you've witnessed an individual in a

Page 62

overload in your office?

A. Well -- yes. So it was an overload thing and it was -- you know, we were filing motions to decline representation or to appoint counsel on -- even serious cases, A and B felonies. There were people in jail at that point who we filed these motions on. And, you know, the -- kind of the response of most of our Cole County judges was they said, Well, we're neither going to grant or deny the motion; we're just going to continue it out and hope that your staffing situation resolves.

So there were all of these cases that floated for months and months and months and months, and we weren't entering, but yet then judge saw us, you know, on this nonsupport docket on cases that we had already entered in, and judge told us, Yeah, you're not -- no more of those, so ...

Q. Okay. And in the times that you've been in the courtroom, which sounds like several times, do you recall what — when a defendant is told he or she doesn't have access to the public defender in this criminal nonsupport case, what they've said in response?

A. A lot of times the prosecutor will go up to them and talk to them and say, Hey, look, I'm

Page 64

criminal nonsupport being told that they don't have access to a public defender; is that right?

- A. Correct. Correct.
- Q. All right.

A. And, you know, right now what I'm seeing in Miller County is, I'm filing these motions for caseload conference and -- you know, so cases are sort of dragging on. Also -- and kind of preceding that, I filed motions to withdraw, citing my caseload or motions to decline representation citing my caseload. You know, so clients -- or applicants for public defender services have been in jail for months. Now in Miller County, we're seeing people decide to, just on their own, talk to the prosecutor pro se, strike a deal, and go to prison.

There was a fellow just the other day in Miller County who I represented on a criminal charge and had a probation violation. I entered on the criminal charge way before all of this unfolded. He picked up the probation violation; we filed, you know, a motion of some kind or another. He sat in jail a couple of months. Eventually he decided that he just wanted to go to prison. He talked to the prosecutor on his own, ended up waiving counsel on the probation violation, waived counsel on the

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

Page 65

criminal charge that I was in, went to prison.

There's another fellow -- been in jail for a couple of months in Miller County. We filed the caseload motions. Again, he decided after sitting in jail for a couple of months, I just want to have my probation revoked and go to prison. There's another fellow who appeared in the associate docket within the last week or two, charged with a new offense, and we either filed a motion or told him we were going to file a motion, and he's like -- he just went over and talked to the prosecutor, and they cut a deal, he waived his preliminary hearing, he sat on an arraignment docket for a plea with -- either tomorrow or the 19th.

- Q. So I'm going to ask some more questions about that.
 - A. Sure.

2.4

- Q. So going back to the first individual you mentioned who you represented on the criminal and then the probation violation. Had you entered appearances on both of those?
- A. Only the criminal, not on the probation violation.
- Q. Okay. And then that individual engaged in a -- plea negotiations with the prosecutor pro se --

Page 67

Page 68

- you can. I'm not sure I don't know that that's a good idea, but that's what he ended up doing. The prosecutor here and he, you know, sort of struck this deal in court, they had the case recalled, they added the other criminal case to the docket. He admitted on the probation violation and pled guilty pro se.
- Q. All in that same courtroom?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. On that day while you were there?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And so did he turn to the prosecutor and discuss the -- a plea deal --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- at the counsel table or --
 - A. Well -- I mean, the way that that courtroom is laid out, you know, the table where the prosecutor sits is not far away from where the inmate sits. For example, behind you there's a glass window. If instead of that window there were a wooden half wall, and that's where the jury box was, that's where he was seated. So the prosecutor could turn around and engage with the person right
 - Q. And where were you located in that

Page 66

was that in court that that happened?

A. Yes.

- Q. And you or someone from your office was in that courtroom or --
 - A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Okay. Tell me more about the logistics of how that -

A. The gentleman appeared in jail on the probation violation. Again, sort of my announcement to the court was that we either had filed a motion for caseload conference or were filing a motion for caseload conference, and we'd not entered it on the probation violation. And so I asked that that case been set over to some date after what we anticipated the case conference hearing date to be. And -- you know, and at that point on the probation violation, I think he had been in jail for two months on the probation violation. And that's -- and so he -- you know, the judge did, the gentleman sat back down, and he called me back over, and he's like, Look, I want to go to prison on this right now. I'm like, I'm not going to enter my appearance and send you to prison right now. And he expressed that he wanted to waive counsel and wanted to talk to the prosecutor, and so, you know -- I mean, that's --

courtroom?

A. Well, I was probably sitting at the other table on the far side of the thing or, you know, up in front of the judge. Once they started talking -- once he told me, Hey, I -- you know, I -- I've changed my mind, I don't really want you right now; I want to talk to the prosecutor on my own. At that point, I stepped out of the thing.

Q. And did he say why it was that he, as you just said, didn't want you right now?

A. My impression was he didn't want to sit in jail for another who knows how many months. In this county, this circuit judge only comes to town every two months, and so -- and that -- and that sort of presents its own issue because say he comes into town on the 1st of July, for example. His next date is going to be September 1. So if on July 2 someone gets arrested on one of his warrants, they're going to sit in jail until September 1, and then he'll bring them up to court, you know, if they want to -- if they'll -- they can apply for a public defender right then. If the case gets set over, it's going to be November 1.

Q. This judge -- is there only one judge in Miller County?

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

| | Page 69 | Page | e 7 1 |
|---|--|---|--------------|
| 1 | A. There are now three. | 1 another one of those cases that I picked up from | |
| 2 | Q. Okay. | 2 some other lawyer who picked it up from another | |
| 3 | A. And the presiding judge is Judge Hayden. | 3 lawyer I can't recall off the top of my head. | |
| 4 | You know, so he'll come every other month. New | 4 But I had been in the criminal case for quite a | |
| 5 | circuit judge is Judge Hamner. He will come on his | 5 while. The probation case was much newer. | |
| 6 | cases on the months that Judge Hayden is not there. | 6 Q. And you said you picked it up from | |
| 7 | So, for example, if Judge Hayden is July 1, | 7 somebody else. You mean another lawyer in your | |
| 8 | September 1; Judge Hamner will come August 1, | 8 office? | |
| 9 | October 1. Does that make sense? | 9 A. Correct. | |
| 10 | Q. Uh-huh. | 10 Q. Okay. Was that a lawyer if you recall, | |
| 11 | A. Okay. They within the last couple of | was that a lawyer who had left the office that you | |
| 12 | years added a third circuit judge to that circuit, | 12 picked it up from? | |
| 13 | and that judge has a docket every month. It'll be | 13 A. Yes. | |
| 14 | towards the end of the month. But depending on who | Q. Okay. And so the probation case-ballpark, | |
| 15 | you happen to be on probation in front of you | not an exact number, do you about how old was | the |
| 16 | know, if you happen to be on probation in front of | probation case? Do you know at the time? | |
| 17 | one of the judges who comes only every other month, | 17 A. Ballpark? | |
| 18 | that's going to have pretty substantial impact on | 18 Q. Yeah. | |
| 19 | for how long you're incarcerated in the event of a | 19 A. Two months, maybe a little more. | |
| 20 | violation. | Q. Okay. And had you been able to visit this | |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: I'm going to need a break at | individual since he had picked up the probation | |
| 22 | some point, so when you get to a segue | 22 case? | |
| 23 | MR. SCHERZER: We can take a break now. | 23 A. No. | |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you. | Q. And was that and why was that? | |
| 25 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:35 a.m., and | 25 A. I am drowning in cases and I'm horribly | |
| | Page 70 | Page | 72 |
| 1 | we are off the record. | 1 behind on jail visits. | |
| _ | | | |
| 2 | (A recess was taken.) | 2 Q. Okay. So given your other given your | |
| 3 | (A recess was taken.) VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and | Q. Okay. So given your other given your very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, | |
| | | | |
| 3 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and | 3 very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, | |
| 3 4 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate with | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate with him in some other fashion other than an in-prison | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate wit him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate wit him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate wit him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate wit him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there in court? | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate wit him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these two months. He had been free for a period of time, | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there in court? A. No. I think he was — I think he was | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate wit him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these two months. He had been free for a period of time, and during that period of time that he was free, I | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there in court? A. No. I think he was — I think he was sentenced that day, but once he resolved to go pro | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate wit him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these two months. He had been free for a period of time, and during that period of time that he was free, I had no substantive contact with him himself. Before | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there in court? A. No. I think he was I think he was sentenced that day, but once he resolved to go pro se and it was clear that he was in accord with the | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate wit him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these two months. He had been free for a period of time, and during that period of time that he was free, I had no substantive contact with him himself. Before that, he had been incarcerated, I believe, on the | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there in court? A. No. I think he was I think he was sentenced that day, but once he resolved to go pro se and it was clear that he was in accord with the arrangement that he and the prosecutor had entered | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate wit him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these two months. He had been free for a period of time, and during that period of time that he was free, I had no substantive contact with him himself. Before that, he had been incarcerated, I believe, on the criminal case, and then I did have contact with | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there in court? A. No. I think he was I think he was sentenced that day, but once he resolved to go pro se and it was clear that he was in accord with the arrangement that he and the prosecutor had entered into, I turned my attention to, you know, the other | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate wit him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these two months. He had been free for a period of time, and during that period of time that he was free, I had no substantive contact with him himself. Before that, he had been incarcerated, I believe, on the criminal case, and then I did have contact with him but it had probably been estimate, | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there in court? A. No. I think he was I think he was sentenced that day, but once he resolved to go pro se and it was clear that he was in accord with the arrangement that he and the prosecutor had entered into, I turned my attention to, you know, the other 30-some-odd cases that I had on the docket. | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate wit him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these two months. He had been free for a period of time, and during that period of time that he was free, I had no substantive contact with him himself. Before that, he had been incarcerated, I believe, on the criminal case, and then I did have contact with him but it had probably been estimate, probably a year since I had substantive private | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there in court? A. No. I think he was — I think he was sentenced that day, but once he resolved to go pro se and it was clear that he was in accord with the arrangement that he and the prosecutor had entered into, I turned my attention to, you know, the other 30-some-odd cases that I had on the docket. Q. Got it. And you said you had represented | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate with him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these two months. He had been free for a period of time, and during that period of time that he was free, I had no substantive contact with him himself. Before that, he had been incarcerated, I believe, on the criminal case, and then I did have contact with him but it had probably been estimate, probably a year since I had substantive private contact with him outside of a courtroom. | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there in court? A. No. I think he was — I think he was sentenced that day, but once he resolved to go pro se and it was clear that he was in accord with the arrangement that he and the prosecutor had entered into, I turned my attention to, you know, the other 30-some-odd cases that I had on the docket. Q. Got it. And you said you had represented him for a couple of months — or you had entered | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate with him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these two months. He had been free for a period of time, and during that period of time that he was free, I had no substantive contact with him himself. Before that, he had been incarcerated, I believe, on the criminal case, and then I did have contact with him but it had probably been estimate, probably a year since I had substantive private contact with him outside of a courtroom. Q. Okay. | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there in court? A. No. I think he was — I think he was sentenced that day, but once he resolved to go pro se and it was clear that he was in accord with the arrangement that he and the prosecutor had entered into, I turned my attention to, you know, the other 30-some-odd cases that I had on the docket. Q. Got it. And you said you had represented him for a couple of months — or you had entered appearance at least on his behalf a couple of months | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. G. And had you been able to communicate with him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these two months. He had been free for a period of time, and during that period of time that he was free, I had no substantive contact with him himself. Before that, he had been incarcerated, I believe, on the criminal case, and then I did have contact with him but it had probably been estimate, probably a year since I had substantive private contact with him outside of a courtroom. Q. Okay. A. And that's frankly, that's not unusual | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there in court? A. No. I think he was — I think he was sentenced that day, but once he resolved to go pro se and it was clear that he was in accord with the arrangement that he and the prosecutor had entered into, I turned my attention to, you know, the other 30-some-odd cases that I had on the docket. Q. Got it. And you said you had represented him for a couple of months — or you had entered appearance at least on his behalf a couple of months prior on the criminal case; is that correct? | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate with him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these two months. He had been free for a period of time, and during that period of time that he was free, I had no substantive contact with him himself. Before that, he had been incarcerated, I believe, on the criminal case, and then I did have contact with him but it had probably been estimate, probably a year since I had substantive private contact with him outside of a courtroom. Q. Okay. A. And that's frankly, that's not unusual right now for my caseload. | |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 10:54 a.m. and we're back on the record. Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I just want to go back to that individual that you were just speaking about. Do you know how much time he got in prison as a result of his negotiations with the prosecutor? A. I do not. Q. Is that because he was sentenced on a different day other than the day that you were there in court? A. No. I think he was — I think he was sentenced that day, but once he resolved to go pro se and it was clear that he was in accord with the arrangement that he and the prosecutor had entered into, I turned my attention to, you know, the other 30-some-odd cases that I had on the docket. Q. Got it. And you said you had represented him for a couple of months — or you had entered appearance at least on his behalf a couple of months | very high caseload, as you've indicated previously, and your responsibilities to those clients, you hadn't been able to visit him in prison? A. Correct. Correct. Q. And had you been able to communicate with him in some other fashion other than an in-prison visit? A. Well, he had been in jail for the two months preceding this very recent court date. I don't believe I visited with him at all during these two months. He had been free for a period of time, and during that period of time that he was free, I had no substantive contact with him himself. Before that, he had been incarcerated, I believe, on the criminal case, and then I did have contact with him but it had probably been estimate, probably a year since I had substantive private contact with him outside of a courtroom. Q. Okay. A. And that's frankly, that's not unusual right now for my caseload. | h |

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

Page 73

was facing -- what kind of criminal charge was he facing?

2.0

2.3

A. It was a drug charge. I can't remember if it was a simple possession charge or a possession with the intent to distribute. Simple possession would be a Class C felony that carries up to 7 years in the department of corrections. Possession with the intent at the time relevant to his case would have carried five to 15 years in the department of corrections. That since changed on January 1, 2017, but his case preceded that.

Q. Okay. And so what was happening with his criminal case during that year?

A. There was work that I had identified that I needed to do: Depositions, investigation -- didn't do it.

Q. And that was because of the tremendous caseload that you have discussed previously?

A. Correct. It -- I mean, right now I am -- even when I can get to talk to a client, commonly, I will identify work that needs to be done on the case, investigation, things to pursue. Actually doing it is a whole other matter.

Q. Okay. And is that represented -- is that experience that you're describing, to your

Page 75

incarcerated in the Cole County jail, sat there for three years with no contact with his lawyer.

Q. Sorry to interrupt. When you say "his lawyer," do you mean an attorney – a line attorney from your office?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay.

A. Since that case has been reassigned to me -- and it's probably been a year since I've talked to him -- he's no longer in the Cole County jail; he's in the department of corrections on another matter.

You know, circling back before January 1, 2017, there was a client who was in the Miller County jail for four years, tells us he saw his lawyer once, and I believe him, because there are no notes of any kind in the file. When I look at the file, it would appear that no work was done in those four years. Subsequently, that lawyer left; it was reassigned to another lawyer in my office. That lawyer, after getting in the case and getting involved in the thing -- ultimately, that case ended up being dismissed on a speedy trial violation five years and nine months after the gentleman was incarcerated. You know, it's one of

Page 74

knowledge, as their supervisor, is that representative of the other attorneys in your office?

A. No. And that's because I'm attempting to control their caseload. But the consequence to me controlling their caseload and the consequence to me telling the judges I'm not going to keep dumping it on an assistant public defender, I'm going to give it to myself. You know, consequence is that my caseload has spiraled out of control. I've got more cases now than anybody in the office by a considerable number.

Q. Prior to the time that you've instituted the case control, which I believe — that was January 2017?

A. Yes.

Q. Prior to that time, with this experience, that you — when there were no caseload — or not these caseload controls for the line attorneys in your office, was the experience you're now describing representative of what was happening with your line attorneys?

A. My line attorneys were experiencing exactly what I'm experiencing. You know, we had – goodness. There had been a fellow who was

Page 76

these cases where, frankly, the work that my office did — I would describe it as grossly ineffective, but that's forever going to escape any Strickland Review because there was never any sentence to the department of corrections that was imposed.

There are a significant number of cases like this where clients have just languished for a year or two years without substantive work being done on their case or without any contact with their lawyer, without knowing what's going on with the case. And that was something that was going on, you know, when I took over the Jeff City office at the end of 2014. You know, we've done a variety of things to address that and try to prevent that from happening. You know, right now, I don't believe that that is happening with regard to my individual lawyers and their caseload, but, you know, right now, I'm absolutely not doing what I need to be doing for my clients.

Q. And so going to this individual who spent five years and nine months waiting in jail, what was happening at the court dates, if you — if you know during that time?

A. What the docket entry says was trial stricken and reset. Nine months later, there'd be

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 77

- 1 another docket entry, trial stricken and reset. One 2 of the reasons it was dismissed on a speedy trial
- 3 violation was the docket entries did not articulate
- 4 who was requesting a continuance or why, and when
- 5 the new lawyer took over four years into the case,
- 6 ultimately, at some point thereafter was reassigned
- 7 to a different judge as well. The judge that had it 8 at the time of the dismissal had no history with the
- 9 case and couldn't tell what the continuances were 10 for. But the docket entries were exceedingly
- 11 sparse.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

6

22

23

24

25

- Q. And you said there are a number of other cases that you can think of just off the top of your head that are similar in nature, in terms of what happened to individual defendants?
- A. There was another client in the Miller County jail when I took over the Jeff City office at the end of 2014 who had been in jail for 16 months, never talked with his lawyer. You know -- even right now, you know, circling back to kind of my personal experience -- yesterday I was in the office
- 22 trying to put files together for my Tuesday docket 23 in Miller County, because I've got to have somebody
- 24 cover because I'm tied up with something else. You
- 25 know, in going through those, most of the cases, I

Page 79

- couple examples you've just given about long periods of time with no work and no client contact is representative of what your office is -- what attorneys in your office were forced to do in the years prior to when you instituted this caseload control; is that right?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And it's now representative of what you're forced to do on your own cases, given the number of cases you've taken on; is that right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And you mentioned that your office -- you described your office in that case and in these similar cases as grossly ineffective. And is that because of the caseload that your line attorneys are experiencing or were experiencing prior to the caseload controls?
- A. Yes. I mean, I don't know how you could describe a guy sitting in jail for four years with no work being done on the case. I mean, I don't know how else you can describe that. It was a serious case. That client deserved representation.
- Q. And effective -- what you're describing is that client just wasn't receiving any representation at all?

Page 78

- 1 had not talked with the client. There was one that
- 2 I found where the client had been in jail at least
- 3 two months, maybe more, maybe three or four on a

wrote to my lawyer is, Please tell the judge I'm

- 4 probation violation matter. I've never been able to
- 5 get to the jail to see her. So the note that I
- 7 wildly ineffective. I don't know what's going to
- 8 happen with it. You know, right now, just sort of
- 9 understanding my schedule and stuff like that, the
- 10 best time I found to get to the Miller County jail
- 11 to meet with clients is on a Sunday, you know? But
- 12 you can only see so many people in one day, and, you
- 13 know, when you've got dozens and dozens and dozens
- 14 of people that are there, you are not going to be
- 15 able to meet with them all in a day. And if you go
- 16 back in another two weeks, you can meet with more of
- 17 them, but, you know, I've got clients scattered in
- 18 Miller County jail and most of the major
- 19 correctional settings and other county jails.
- 20 There's -- I'm working as much overtime as I can, 21
 - but I can't keep up.
 - Q. And so just to -- without -- you've given some helpful details about some of these stories. Without going into more detail about any of them, you mentioned that this is representative -- the

Page 80

- A. Nope.
- Q. And that was because of the case overload that your line attorneys were facing?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And that's representative of other cases in your office prior to the caseload controls?
- A. We had other cases not as dramatic, not four years old, but we had other cases where clients sat in jail for 12 months or more not having talked to their client -- not having talked to their
 - Q. And that individual that you were mentioning previously, the individual with the five years and nine months, do you recall what charge or charges he was facing?
 - A. Sodomy, rape, assault one of an infant --I can't recall if it was charged to statutory or forceable sodomy, but those were the charges. And sort of the charges evolved. At one point the assault was dismissed voluntarily. Since the assault has been -- so it was the -- the rape, sodomy that were dismissed on speedy trial violations. Since the assault has been refiled and that case is now pending.
 - Q. And that's because the assault had been

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

JUSTIN CARVER 12/11/2017 Page 81 Page 83 voluntarily dismissed in the interim or what? been ineffective on cases due to your caseload? 1 1 2 A. Yes. And I -- and I understand -- and --2 A. I've been telling my judges that 3 ves Yes 3 consistently all year as my caseload has grown. 4 Q. And so what class of offense are those 4 And, you know, generally, they express sympathy and 5 5 sodomy, rape -- what class of offense is that? tell me to do the best I can, and I do, but, you 6 A. This gentleman is charged with 6 know, at least in Miller County, I've been 7 7 unclassified ones that could carry an unlimited articulating that on a regular basis, you know, 8 number of years. A million years would be a 8 Judge, I can't possibly take more cases; I'm not 9 permissible sentence or life -- times two, because 9 doing a good job with the cases I've got. I'm not 10 10 talking to my clients now; don't give me more, and, there was one of each. I think it was one rape, one 11 11 consistently, I'm ordered in over my objection. sodomy. Maybe it was two sodomies. Facing 12 12 significant charges. Q. And what do the judges say, if anything, 13 Q. Facing up to his entire life in prison? 13 about the rationale for ordering you in, despite you 14 A Correct Yes 14 telling them that you would be ineffectively 15 Q. And didn't -- effectively didn't have any 15 representing that client? 16 representation for five years? 16 A. That -- they've sort of expressed 17 A. For at least four. 17 different things at different times. You know, 18 18 the -- one of the judges there has expressed that he Q. At least four. 19 A. At least four. And then that lawyer left 19 doesn't feel like he has any other good options. He 20 and it got reassigned. And, actually, the lawyer 20 feels like it's not the responsibility of the 21 that it was reassigned to then did eventually get 21 private bar, and that the private bar did not make 22 into the thing and start doing good substantive 22 this mess and -- I've asked him to appoint 23 23 work. When I say "eventually," you know, 2017 was a state-employed lawyers. You know, pick on some 24 bad year for my specific office. The year that the 2.4 someone from the department of revenue, legislative 25 initial counsel left was 2014. That was another bad 25 research. His concern there is that he's got to Page 82 Page 84 1 year for my office. I can think of at least three 1 make sure that they're competent, and if you appoint 2 2 lawyers off the top of my head who left the Area 19 a tax lawyer on a case, they aren't going to know 3 public defender's office right about the same time. 3 anything about the criminal side of it. They aren't 4 And so all of those cases -- you know, when I came 4 going to know anything about criminal law. My 5 in at the end of 2014, it was a train wreck. 5 response to that is, Judge, if the person can read 6 Everybody had 2- or 300 cases each, and it took 6 the file and take the time to talk to the client, 7 forever to dig out from under that. 7 they've got a leg up over me now. I can do all of 8 8 Q. And so the other cases that you were the criminal law in the world, but I don't have time 9 9

speaking of prior to the caseload controls, that your line attorneys had similar experiences with clients going long stretches without any work being done on their cases, that wasn't confined to just one attorney in your office?

A. It was not.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Was that, to your knowledge, every attorney in the office or most attorneys?

A. It was -- there were several lawyers who I -- I saw that happened.

Q. Okay. Have you told other -- you mentioned that you instructed your -- one of the attorneys in your office who is covering for you tomorrow to tell the judge that you were wildly ineffective on that case due to your other caseload?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. Have you told other judges that you have

to work the case.

At other points in time, he said, Well, I'm not going to implement that remedy because that's going to be bad for the agency or it's going to cause you more problems or the legislature will never find you. At other points in times he's said, Really, the remedy is legislative; it's not judicial, and that tends to be a commonality. I mean, other judges have expressed to me that, you know, Look, I don't have the tools to solve this mess. Really, it's the legislature.

Q. Okay. And just going to the -- to that -to the tax lawyer that you were speaking of or someone from -- you know, as an example, if you had to actually -- if you had time to adequately work on your cases, presumably -- or do you agree with the judge that you would be more effective for that

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

Fax: 314.644.1334

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Page 85

criminal defendant since you have long practiced criminal law and are experienced in criminal law?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I think I would have a head start -understanding I've been practicing now criminal law 15 years, I would certainly have a head start over a lawyer who has not touched anything criminal law-related since criminal law class in law school, okay? Yes. But that sort of assumes a rational caseload. I mean, right now, you can know everything that there is to know about criminal law, but when you've got more than 200 cases, it's not going to do you any good, because you're not going to have time to talk to the clients, you're not going to have time to do the legal research, to file the motions, to visit the investigation, to visit the crime scene, to go look at the physical evidence, to effectively advise the client. I mean, you know, right now, my knowledge of criminal law on your average day is generally useless because I don't have time to -- I don't have time to pursue

Q. And how does that make you feel as someone who has been practicing for -- for 15 years, criminal law?

A. 2017 has certainly made me consider other

Page 87

- my five lawyers -- or my -- sorry -- seven lawyers 1
 - to practice ethically in hopes that it would stop
- 3 the bleeding and prevent some of the rampant
 - turnover. You know, I can't -- I can't build
- 5 anything in terms of a well-functioning office if
- 6 the foundation is always being taken out from
- 7 underneath me. I've got to find a way to retain
- 8 lawyers so that can I teach them how to try
- 9 complicated cases and do a good job of it. You
- 10 know, we've got murder cases, we've got rape cases,
- 11 we've got complex cases where the client deserves a
- 12 lawyer with significant experience. You know, right
- 13 now I'm assigning murder cases to lawyers who have
- 14 been practicing for two or three years. If I can't
- 15 find a way to retain the lawyers I've got, then I'm
- 16 going to be assigning murder cases to a lawyer 17
 - that's been practicing less than a year.
 - Q. And you're assigning it that way because the second senior line attorney has been practicing for two years? Is that --
 - A. Right. I've got one that's been practicing since 2010, but the second most senior lawyer has got three years under their belt.
 - Q. And you said that your hope is that after you instituted the caseload controls in January of

Page 86

employment options. Yeah. I mean, I'm not -- I'm certainly not practicing the way I want to be. My clients are given the short end of the stick. It's not fair to them. I would not want me as a lawyer right now. And -- I'm not sure how long I'm going to do this. Give me a rational caseload, I'll retire with the agency. 200 cases, I don't know how much longer ...

Q. And to follow up on that, you're -- you've taken on all of those cases in order to protect your line attorneys from the massive overloads that they were facing prior to your taking on all of those extra cases?

A. Yeah. And, you know, sort of what they'd expressed to me if I didn't, they were all going to quit. And, historically, what we've done in the public defender's office is the district defender has a reduced caseload -- typically, significantly reduced because of the management responsibilities, and we're going to flood the assistant public defender with an impossible number of cases, and we'll tell them to swim. And what I've seen in my time is that invariably we burn out lawyers and they quit. Part of the thought process behind this strategy was sort of -- it was an attempt to allow

Page 88

- 2017, that your lawyers would be able to practice ethically.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Is your opinion that prior to those caseload controls being implemented, your attorneys were not being able to practice according to their ethical responsibilities?

A. Well, I mean, that's -- they told me they felt like they were not. And when they brought that concern to my attention, yeah, we took a number of steps to deal with it. You know, and, frankly, when I took over the course in 2014, it was clear that there were problems then. How much of it was a caseload issue as compared to a -- you know, we've got positions we need to field issue, as compared to we're taking cases that we shouldn't be taking. That, it took some time for me to sort things out. It kind of was my impression when I took over in 2014 that there were a number of things going on, and so we -- we hired to fill the vacant positions, and that certainly helped. But that was one of the reasons that we were not -- not handling cases that we ought to be.

applications for public defender services. As a

We also changed how we screened

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

| | Page 89 | | Page 91 |
|---|--|--|---|
| 1 | result, that did reduce our caseload, and that | 1 | first time I communicated our caseload staffing |
| 2 | certainly helped. You know, and even after that, it | 2 | problems to the courts. |
| 3 | struck me that there was still a problem then, and | 3 | Q. Okay. So you believe you sent similar |
| 4 | so at different points in time, I was in contact | 4 | e-mails for at least the two years prior to this |
| 5 | with our management and was allocated some money to | 5 | e-mail? |
| 6 | contract out some of the cases. At another point in | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | time, when that didn't do the trick, I was allocated | 7 | Q. Okay. And to the to the judges and |
| 8 | an additional staff member, and that certainly | 8 | prosecutors in District 19? |
| 9 | helped. And when all of those things did not prove | 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | effective, you know, that was kind of when the | 10 | Q. Okay. I'd like to turn to and looking |
| 11 | lawyers came to me at the end of 2016 and said, Hey, | 11 | again at the Bates numbers in the bottom middle of |
| 12 | this is a problem. | 12 | the page to 39421. |
| 13 | Q. And so even after you tightened the | 13 | A. Yes. |
| 14 | requirements for indigency determinations and got an | 14 | Q. Okay. Can you read out for us the |
| 15 | additional step and the other things you've | 15 | first I guess it's two paragraphs starting |
| 16 | described, the problems still persisted in your | 16 | with, "I wish had I better news." |
| 17 | office in terms of caseload? | 17 | A. Sure. |
| 18 | A. Correct. Our head was still underwater; | 18 | "I wish I had better news. The struggles |
| 19 | it was just less so. | 19 | of the public defender office remain. Every single |
| 20 | Q. Okay. I'd like to show you what I'll mark | 20 | one of my lawyers has expressed to me that their |
| 21 | as exhibit Plaintiff's Exhibit 18. | 21 | caseload is too high and that they cannot |
| 22 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 18 was marked for | 22 | effectively handle the cases that they have. I have |
| 23 | identification.) | 23 | lawyers threatening to quit if I don't get their |
| 24 | Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) Let me know when you've | 24 | caseloads down to a level where they can be |
| 25 | had a chance to glance at this. | 25 | effective. Director Barrett tells me that the |
| | Page 90 | | Page 92 |
| 1 | A. Okay. I'm familiar with it. | 1 | agency turnover is now at 22 percent. I agree that |
| 2 | Q. Okay. Do you recognize this? | 2 | my lawyers are all overloaded. As they are all |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | overloaded, I can't reassign cases from one lawyer |
| 4 | Q. And what is it? | 4 | to another to fix the problem. Ethically, I can't |
| 5 | A. This is an e-mail thread that started with | | |
| _ | and the second s | 5 | ignore the problem, and neither can my lawyers. As |
| 6 | an e-mail that I sent to the courts and the | 6 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the |
| 7 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up | 6 7 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by |
| 7 8 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. | 6 7 8 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to |
| 7 8 9 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say | 6 7 8 9 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they |
| 7 8 9 10 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you | 6 7 8 9 10 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." |
| 7 8 9 10 11 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from | 6 7 8 9 10 11 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to |
| 7 8 9 10 11 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? A. Yes. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" Line? |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? A. Yes. Q. All right. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" Line? A. Sure. Stan Moore was a circuit judge in |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. Tangentially, prior to this e-mail, I had | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" Line? A. Sure. Stan Moore was a circuit judge in the 26th Judicial Circuit that serves Miller, |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. Tangentially, prior to this e-mail, I had a number of other e-mails from me to the court | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" Line? A. Sure. Stan Moore was a circuit judge in the 26th Judicial Circuit that serves Miller, Moniteau Counties, also Camden, Laclede, and Morgan |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. Tangentially, prior to this e-mail, I had a number of other e-mails from me to the court starting the end of 2014 telling them what was going | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" Line? A. Sure. Stan Moore was a circuit judge in the 26th Judicial Circuit that serves Miller, Moniteau Counties, also Camden, Laclede, and Morgan Counties. Judge Moore has since retired. Kenneth |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. Tangentially, prior to this e-mail, I had a number of other e-mails from me to the court starting the end of 2014 telling them what was going on with our caseload and staffing situation. I did | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" Line? A. Sure. Stan Moore was a circuit judge in the 26th Judicial Circuit that serves Miller, Moniteau Counties, also Camden, Laclede, and Morgan Counties. Judge Moore has since retired. Kenneth Hayden is the presiding judge of the 26th Circuit. |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. Tangentially, prior to this e-mail, I had a number of other e-mails from me to the court starting the end of 2014 telling them what was going on with our caseload and staffing situation. I did not save any of those e-mails, and, to my knowledge, | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" Line? A. Sure. Stan Moore was a circuit judge in the 26th Judicial Circuit that serves Miller, Moniteau Counties, also Camden, Laclede, and Morgan Counties. Judge Moore has since retired. Kenneth Hayden is the presiding judge of the 26th Circuit. Jon Kaltenbronn is associate court judge in |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. Tangentially, prior to this e-mail, I had a number of other e-mails from me to the court starting the end of 2014 telling them what was going on with our caseload and staffing situation. I did | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" Line? A. Sure. Stan Moore was a circuit judge in the 26th Judicial Circuit that serves Miller, Moniteau Counties, also Camden, Laclede, and Morgan Counties. Judge Moore has since retired. Kenneth Hayden is the presiding judge of the 26th Circuit. |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. Tangentially, prior to this e-mail, I had a number of other e-mails from me to the court starting the end of 2014 telling them what was going on with our caseload and staffing situation. I did not save any of those e-mails, and, to my knowledge, they're long gone. Like, when I received the discovery request from Ms. Shipma, I went back | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" Line? A. Sure. Stan Moore was a circuit judge in the 26th Judicial Circuit that serves Miller, Moniteau Counties, also Camden, Laclede, and Morgan Counties. Judge Moore has since retired. Kenneth Hayden is the presiding judge of the 26th Circuit. Jon Kaltenbronn is associate court judge in Miller County. At the time, those were the only judges serving my part of the 26th Circuit in |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. Tangentially, prior to this e-mail, I had a number of other e-mails from me to the court starting the end of 2014 telling them what was going on with our caseload and staffing situation. I did not save any of those e-mails, and, to my knowledge, they're long gone. Like, when I received the discovery request from Ms. Shipma, I went back through to look for them. I deleted them quite some | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" Line? A. Sure. Stan Moore was a circuit judge in the 26th Judicial Circuit that serves Miller, Moniteau Counties, also Camden, Laclede, and Morgan Counties. Judge Moore has since retired. Kenneth Hayden is the presiding judge of the 26th Circuit. Jon Kaltenbronn is associate court judge in Miller County. At the time, those were the only judges serving my part of the 26th Circuit in Miller County. Later in that header you see |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. Tangentially, prior to this e-mail, I had a number of other e-mails from me to the court starting the end of 2014 telling them what was going on with our caseload and staffing situation. I did not save any of those e-mails, and, to my knowledge, they're long gone. Like, when I received the discovery request from Ms. Shipma, I went back through to look for them. I deleted them quite some time ago, but I will tell you there were a number | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" Line? A. Sure. Stan Moore was a circuit judge in the 26th Judicial Circuit that serves Miller, Moniteau Counties, also Camden, Laclede, and Morgan Counties. Judge Moore has since retired. Kenneth Hayden is the presiding judge of the 26th Circuit. Jon Kaltenbronn is associate court judge in Miller County. At the time, those were the only judges serving my part of the 26th Circuit in Miller County. Later in that header you see Peggy Richardson. She was, at the time, the |
| 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | prosecutors December 29, 2016, with follow-up responses from some of the various parties. Q. And I believe this when you say earlier, when we were discussing which documents you reviewed, you said you reviewed an e-mail chain from December of 2016. Is this the e-mail chain that you were referring to that you recently reviewed? A. Yes. Q. All right. A. Tangentially, prior to this e-mail, I had a number of other e-mails from me to the court starting the end of 2014 telling them what was going on with our caseload and staffing situation. I did not save any of those e-mails, and, to my knowledge, they're long gone. Like, when I received the discovery request from Ms. Shipma, I went back through to look for them. I deleted them quite some | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | a practical matter, if I don't deal with the problem, my lawyers will find their own solution by finding new employment. I have to do something to get my lawyers' caseloads down to a level where they can be effective." Q. Okay. And I want to just track back to the two the "to" line on this e-mail. Can you just tell me who these individuals are in this "to" Line? A. Sure. Stan Moore was a circuit judge in the 26th Judicial Circuit that serves Miller, Moniteau Counties, also Camden, Laclede, and Morgan Counties. Judge Moore has since retired. Kenneth Hayden is the presiding judge of the 26th Circuit. Jon Kaltenbronn is associate court judge in Miller County. At the time, those were the only judges serving my part of the 26th Circuit in Miller County. Later in that header you see |

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

| | Page 93 | | Page 95 |
|----|--|----|--|
| 1 | been made third circuit judge in the 26th Judicial | 1 | Q. Okay. And you mentioned this previously, |
| 2 | Circuit. Judge Joyce is a circuit judge in the | 2 | but what precipitated this particular e-mail, if |
| 3 | 19th Judicial Circuit, which is Cole County. | 3 | anything? |
| 4 | Judge Beetem, circuit judge, Cole County. | 4 | A. The December 29, 2016, e-mail was |
| 5 | Thomas Sodergren, associate judge in Cole County. | 5 | precipitated by a number of lawyers walking into my |
| 6 | Dan Green is a circuit judge in Cole County. | 6 | office and saying, in very colorful language, we've |
| 7 | Judge Schollmeyer is an associate judge in | 7 | got too many cases, and if you don't stop giving us |
| 8 | Osage County. He comes to Cole County on some | 8 | this many cases, we're all going to quit. |
| 9 | special judge cases and criminal nonsupport cases. | 9 | Q. And how many of your attorneys, if you |
| 10 | Markrichardson@colcopa.com, he is the elected | 10 | recall, came into your office and said that? |
| 11 | prosecutor of Cole County. Benjamin Winfrey, the | 11 | A. Three, perhaps, four. |
| 12 | elected prosecutor of Miller county. The e-mail | 12 | Q. So at half or more than half of the |
| 13 | address prosecutor@moniteaucounty.org is the e-mail | 13 | attorneys in your office? |
| 14 | address that the elected prosecutor Shane Healea | 14 | A. About half. |
| 15 | uses for Case.net notifications and filings and | 15 | Q. Okay. And was that something that you had |
| 16 | stuff like that, so I use that as an e-mail for him. | 16 | heard from them previously not that that they |
| 17 | His last name, to my knowledge, is H-a-e-l-a-e | 17 | had sorry. Scratch that. |
| 18 | [sic] or H-e-a-l-e-a I can't remember which. | 18 | Was the fact that they had too many cases |
| 19 | Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And sorry. Just | 19 | something that you heard from the line attorneys in |
| 20 | shifting over on the page prior to that, 39420. | 20 | your office previously? |
| 21 | There's a "from," and is that your e-mail address? | 21 | A. Well, certainly, when it started in 2014, |
| 22 | A. That is I'm looking | 22 | everybody expressed that they had too many cases. |
| 23 | Q. Sorry. The bottom of 39420. | 23 | And, you know, at that point, like I said, there |
| 24 | A. Bottom of 39420. There's a from | 24 | were a number of things going on, and so we tried to |
| 25 | Judge Richardson to myself cc'ing in the other | 25 | deal with each of the things to get the caseloads |
| | Page 94 | | Page 96 |
| 1 | people who were in on that thread. | 1 | down, and we did. And, understand, all of these |
| 2 | Q. Oh, I'm sorry. The very, very bottom. | 2 | things sort of unfolded over time. You know, from |
| 3 | A. Oh, I apologize. Yes, I see that. | 3 | 2014 to 2016, we made significant progress in |
| 4 | Q. That just tracked over that page, but this | 4 | reducing the caseload of every single one of the |
| 5 | is obviously an e-mail that you sent to all of these | 5 | lawyers. You know so we were certainly so, |
| 6 | individuals? | 6 | yes. I mean, as a part of all of this, there was |
| 7 | A. Yes. | 7 | kind of a dialogue of, you know, we've got too many |
| 8 | Q. Is that effectively every judge and head | 8 | cases. Well, I you know, these are the things |
| 9 | prosecutor in the relevant counties that you | 9 | that we're doing to deal with it. But we did not |
| 10 | represent individuals in? | 10 | have sort of the formal caseload restriction until |
| 11 | A. Yes. With the sole exception of if there | 11 | the time period surrounding this e-mail. |
| 12 | was some random case that happened to have a special | 12 | I don't know if that was responsive to |
| 13 | judge out of some random county that I wasn't aware, | 13 | your question. I'm sorry. Did that answer |
| 14 | they were not included on this e-mail. But these | 14 | Q. Yeah. |
| 15 | were the judges who we would have seen for | 15 | A. I mean, certainly, between 2014 and 2016, |
| 16 | 99.5 percent of our cases. | 16 | lawyers complained to me about their caseload; we |
| 17 | Q. Okay. | 17 | were doing other things to address it that weren't |
| 18 | A. Perhaps all of them. | 18 | necessarily in this e-mail. |
| 19 | Q. And so in that now we're returning to | 19 | Q. Okay. But, obviously, at least from your |
| 20 | the body of the e-mail. The second sentence saying, | 20 | line attorneys' perspective, you hadn't addressed |
| 21 | "The struggles of the public defender office | 21 | the problem because half of them — or more than |
| 22 | remain." And was that referring to the other e-mail | 22 | half of them said they were going to quit if |
| 23 | that you sent previously indicating case overloads | 23 | something further wasn't done? |
| 24 | and problems in your office? | 24 | A. Right. It had not certainly had not |
| | | | |

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

Fax: 314.644.1334

fully addressed the problem. It was not as bad as

25

A. Yes.

25

Page 99 Page 97 it was in 2014, but it was not where it needed to be 1 1 it's not 2 2 Q. Okay. And then just in the paragraph 3 Q. Okay. And then can you just read the --3 above that, it -- there's a reference to the 4 4 just the first sentence of the paragraph that Jeff City office, which I assume is the Area 19 --5 5 begins, "As an agency." A. Yes. 6 6 A. "As an agency, the public defender's Q. -- office's current caseload is about 250 7 7 office has tried everything it can think of to get percent of its capacity. 8 its caseload under control." 8 9 Q. Okay. And I -- and in that -- you're 9 Q. And that's from the ABA RubinBrown study; 10 10 referring to the steps that you have taken is that right? 11 11 previously in your district office? A. Yes. 12 12 A. Over and above that. So the public Q. Okay. So then in the paragraph beginning 13 defender's office, in the time I've been with the 13 with, "Starting in January," towards the bottom of 14 agency, we've gone to the legislature and asked 14 that page -- can you -- can you read out that 15 nicely for more money and gone to the governor and 15 paragraph? 16 asked nicely for more money and we've gone to the 16 A. Sure. 17 Supreme Court and asked to be exempted from time 17 "Starting in January, when I receive a 18 18 standards and we've gone to the Supreme Court and qualifying application for public defender service, 19 19 I will do one of two things. If the applicant is in asked for caseload controls and we've passed an 20 20 administrative rule, and that was challenged in jail, I will assign the applicant a lawyer 21 21 court, and then we passed another administrative immediately until all of my lawyers have become 22 22 rule. And then we -- there was -- you know, there overloaded. If the applicant is not in jail, I will 23 23 was a statute that was passed. And, you know, there hold the application until the end of the month. If 2.4 was the Senate interim committee that got together 24 I have available lawyers at the end of the month, I 25 25 to study the thing. We've been studied by the will assign it to one of my lawyers. If I don't Page 98 Page 100 1 Spangenberg Group at least twice in the time we've 1 have available lawyers, I will be filing a motion to 2 2 been here. We had RubinBrown come in and study us appoint a lawyer who is employed by the State of 3 because the legislature said, Give us the Missouri 3 Missouri, such as a lawyer for DNR or the PSC, et 4 specific standard; give us some data; we need 4 cetera. After all, it is the state's responsibility 5 something other than your professional judgment. So 5 to provide counsel for those who cannot afford it; 6 we did the work; we got the data. And, you know, at 6 it is not the responsibility of the private bar. In 7 7 the event that the motion is overruled, we will not least as an agency, you know, in terms of having had 8 8 court hearings, having gone to the Supreme Court, refuse to provide representation. Instead, I will 9 having gone to the legislature, having gone to the 9 exercise my discretion to assign the case to myself. 10 10 governor -- I mean, you know, this is something that I will not assign additional cases to an already 11 we've been dealing with for -- as long as I've been 11 overburdened assistant public defender. If 12 12 around. And so that sentence was really more qualifying cases continue to come into my office at 13 13 intended to be a reference to all of the things that the same rate, my personal caseload will increase 14 the -- the agency has done in the preceding decade, 14 significantly. I am not Superman, and I know I 15 plus to try to deal with the caseload problem. 15 can't do it all. I could be forced to file 16 16 Q. Okay. And, obviously, you indicated that continuance motions and/or motions to withdraw. The 17 your -- you, in your capacity as district defender 17 immediate impact on your courts will be that when an 18 in Area 19, had also taken a number of steps to try 18 applicant is not in custody, I will not be able to 19 to address the caseload problem as well? 19 give you an immediate answer as to whether that 20 A. Yes. And, actually, it -- you know, 20 person qualifies. Obviously, this impacts the 21 further down in that paragraph there's a sentence 21 administration of your courts and the handling of

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

Fax: 314.644.1334

your dockets. This presents an inconvenience for

feel that you deserve a heads up. Nonetheless, as a

the courts and the applicants. For that reason, I

supervisory lawyer, I have a specific ethical

22

23

24

25

22

23

24

25

that begins with, "Internally, I have done

everything I can think of." And that's -- you know,

that's sort of a reference to me telling them, Hey,

look, I've done everything I can do, too. You know,

Page 101

obligation to monitor and control the caseloads of my assistants. I simply can't keep assigning my lawyer cases at the rate that I have been assigning them."

Q. Okay. And then can you read that – just the last sentence on that – the last two sentences, sorry, on that page?

A. Sure.

2.4

"It seems worth mentioning that I don't really love this plan. Frankly, I don't know what else to do."

Q. And so you've described some or previously what you are thinking when you sent this e-mail, but anything else you haven't said previously about your feelings about this e-mail or why it is you felt the need to send it?

A. Well, there are sort of a number of things that precipitated it. You know, the immediate spark was the lawyers walking into my office. Preceding that, in September of 2016, there was a management meeting that all of the district defenders in the state went to, along with senior management, and at least previously – preceding this September 2016 management meeting, the directive that we had received as a line district defender was that, you

Page 103

underwater; it's just a matter of how far underwater they are. Not hearing — in this, sort of, meeting with the local office, the assistant public defenders, and with senior management, not hearing any other solution that would really get my lawyer's caseloads down, this was sort of the decision that I made following the meeting — well, the solution, frankly, kind of stinks, but I don't know what else to do.

And so that was sort of what had preceded this e-mail to the courts. My fear was -- one of the options that we talked about in the meeting was lawyers on an individual caseload just litigating their caseload, filing motions to decline or motions to withdraw or what have you. You know, my impression was none of those were going to get granted, and it wasn't going to bring the lawyers any effective caseload relief, and then they'd all end up just quitting, and then -- you know? It wouldn't fix the problem.

The thought was, at least with this remedy, at least the assistant public defenders can practice consistent with the rules of professional conduct, or at least they have a fair shake of doing it, or we can all not, so. As between all of us

Page 102

- 1 know, we're going to -- that management will sort of 2 figure out the strategy for dealing with caseload
- 3 going forward; don't you all go rogue and do your
- 4 own things; we want to do this on a statewide basis
- 5 to be consistent. The upshot of the message from
- the September 2016 management meeting was, as I took
 it to be, we've exhausted all of our options; we
- 8 don't know what else to do; we now wish to empower 9 local offices to come up with their own solution to
 - the situation.

lawyers in their back pocket that they can

reallocate to Jefferson City. Every office is

When, you know, the lawyers came into my office and said, Hey, you keep giving us all of these cases, we're all going to quit, the first thing I did was contacted senior management -- well, I -- we called a meeting, we talked about it, and then I contacted senior management, and there was an additional meeting in the Jefferson City office preceding that September 29 e-mail where we kicked around a number of different options. And, frankly, my impression of the thing was nobody had any great options. Nobody -- I mean, really, this is something we've been dealing with for forever. The state public defender system doesn't have more

Page 104

- practicing ineffectively or just me, I'd rather it iust be me.
- Q. So prior to January 2017, before you instituted these caseload controls, your opinion was that the rest of the attorneys in your office were practicing ineffectively?
- A. Yes. In talking to him, I felt like they had too many cases, and so we had to -- and it -- you know, it wasn't a thing on their part. I think that it was -- even in spite of all of the things that we had done internally, in spite of all of the things that the agency had done since, you know, 2004 or whenever, they still had too many cases, and I had to address it; I had to do something to deal with it. And so immediately, come January 1, we put everybody on sort of a caseload diet where we limited the number of incoming cases every month, and when we believed they could not take additional cases, we cut them off.
- Q. Okay. And what happened to it?
 Obviously, you didn't sorry. I'll rephrase.
 Was your office sorry. I'll rephrase again.

What happened to the other cases that you would have previously been receiving in your office?

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 105

A. We filed motions to decline representation or motions to appoint a state lawyer or -- the motion was sort of an evolving thing over time, but, ultimately, it was some sort of motion to appoint somebody else, a motion to decline representation, or, Judge, you've got other options, too: You can take jail off the table or you can let the person -let the accused out of jail or you can continue the case or -- I can't remember all of the remedies that were in there. It was kind of a kitchen sink. Some of the affected applicants ultimately were able to retain counsel. Some of the affected applicants waived counsel and proceeded on their own. Some of the affected applicants we were able to get into their case at a later point in time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. When you say "get into their case at a later point in time," what -- can you tell me what that means?
- A. Enter an appearance, assign them a lawyer, and initiate representation.
- Q. Sorry. My question wasn't clear. And what would happen in the interim before you entered an appearance?
- A. Probably nothing. I'd certainly -- we would not engage in representation.

Page 107

Page 108

- of their right to counsel. So it sort of depends on -- yeah.
- Q. Right. Right. And do you have any sense of how many -- in how many cases you've filed one of these motions to decline representation or appoint another attorney?
- A. In various formats, whether it's a motion to decline representation or a motion to decline representation and appoint a state lawyer -- or now we're filing motions for caseload conference. There have been literally hundreds. As of yesterday, we had filed 120 about -- approximately -- caseload conference motions that were pending. And those -so these are people who meet the financial criteria for public defender and have an eligible case, but instead of entering, we filed a motion for caseload conference.
- Q. Okay. So 120 motions for caseload conferences and more than 100 motions for -- to decline representation or less than 100?
- A. More than 1500. The motions to decline representation or the motions to decline representation and appoint a state lawyer, I would estimate, ballpark, between 2- and 300 motions we've filed

Page 106

Q. Okay. And was there a waiting list of individuals waiting for representation from your office?

A. Well, we'd had applicants who had applied, and we'd filed a motion to decline or a motion to appoint somebody or what have you. I've gone round and round with people as to whether that would be -whether you call in a wait list or not -- I mean, I don't know what you want to call it, but what was happening, was some people would apply, and we could not take their case, so we would file a motion. Sometimes we'd be able to get into it later; sometimes the issue would sort of become moot from my perspective, in that they may hire a lawyer. But that was what was going on.

Q. Or it could become moot in some sense that they -- if they represented themselves, having waited too long, in their opinion, for a public defender to be assigned?

A. It would no longer be -- I mean, we would no longer have to get in the case, if the case was then closed.

Q. Right.

A. It would not become moot from a perspective of, you know, was this person deprived

Q. Okay. Is there any other broad -- so there's 2- and 300 of those motions; 120ish of the caseload conference motions. Any other broad category of motions you've filed in a similar event, or does that cover the waterfront, pretty much?

A. On my existing cases, we filed a number of motions to withdraw from representation based on caseload after we have entered. Does that make

Q. Uh-huh.

A. Okay.

Q. And you said "my cases." You mean cases where you, yourself, were appointed -- or have entered appearances in, not other attorneys in your office?

A. Correct.

Q. And those were clients that you were already representing that you filed a motion to withdraw due to your excessive caseloads?

A. There were motions that I entered previously. Following the entry, I received all of these additional appointments that were over my objection, and so then I sought to withdraw from cases that I had already entered into.

Q. And move to withdraw and appoint a private

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

Page 109 Page 111 attorney or move to withdraw and provide remedy as 1 Q. Okay. And, obviously, since you've 1 2 the court sees fit or ... 2 already described it, you understand that that's 3 A. Appoint a private lawyer, appoint a state 3 deleterious to the clients in that case, but you 4 4 lawyer, take jail off the jail. I mean, it's a have no choice, given your excessive caseload? 5 kitchen sink of proposed remedy. 5 A. Absolutely. But, you know, frankly, it 6 Q. Okay. And in those motions to withdraw, 6 would be deleterious to the client to have their 7 7 approximately how many, ballpark, of those motions lawyer switched around a year into a case, for 8 8 example, and be assigned someone who knows nothing to withdraw have you filed? about it. What I am telling my courts right now, 9 A. I'm not sure off the top of my head. I 9 10 10 really don't know. Judge, if you put me in this case, my representation Q. Okay. Do you think more than 30? 11 11 is going to be deleterious to this client. I cannot 12 12 A Yes do this client justice right now. I am not doing 13 Q. All right. More than 50, do you think? 13 justice on my existing cases. I'm not doing the 14 A. Fifty is a good starting guesstimate. One 14 work that I ought to be doing. And it's not a 15 of the things I've got on my staff's to-do list is 15 willful thing. I'm working overtime. I can't keep 16 go back and file them on all of the cases that I've 16 up. There are only so many hours in the day. 17 not previously filed one on. So depending on when 17 Q. And you're describing, for example, going 18 18 to jail visits on Sundays and the like. they can get that done, you know, they may be filing 19 19 more as we speak. I don't know. A. Yes. 20 20 Q. You're working on the weekends, as well, Q. When you say "all of the cases," do you 21 21 mean each and every one of your 213 cases? but you can't handle 213 cases? 22 22 A. I cannot. A. Except for ones that I had just been 23 23 appointed on within the last month or two, yes. Q. And that's in addition to the numerous 2.4 And, you know, my approach with that is going to 24 responsibilities you have as a district defender and 25 be -- you know, I don't -- the situation being what 2.5 that we've discussed previously, in terms of running Page 110 Page 112 1 it is, I'm never going to convince one of my judges 1 the office and hiring and budget and administration 2 2 that I should not have a caseload. And, frankly, I and supervision? 3 3 should probably have some small caseload. I would A. Correct. 4 never expect my courts to let me out of every single 4 Q. Okay. And just to tie up that last piece 5 5 one of my cases, but I do think that I -- at this about supervisor, in addition to all of the 6 point, my caseload being what it is, I think I'm 6 administrative tasks you have, you're also 7 obliged to seek leave to withdraw from all of my 7 responsible for supervising and training the 8 8 cases, and if that motion were granted as to 211 of line attorneys in your office; is that right? 9 my cases, I might not need to pursue it on the last 9 A. Yes. Some of whom are brand-spanking-new 10 two, but, you know, as a practical matter, I filed 10 to the practice of law. Like I said, I've got one 11 that motion a number of times; I've not successfully 11 lawyer that's been practicing less than a month. 12 12 had it be granted ever, so I'm not anticipating that I've got another lawyer who took the February bar, 13 13 it be granted on any of my -- in any of the future got the results in April or May or whenever, and 14 cases in which I file it. 14 started with us over the summer, and she's a very 15 Q. And is the basis for that motion --15 good lawyer. She is very new to the practice of 16 16 because I don't think we have one of those here, but law, and I should be spending much more time 17 is the basis for that saying that in that motion 17 training those two lawyers and the other lawyers 18 it's due to my excessive caseload? 18 that I have 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. Are there other supervisors in the office 20 Q. Okay. And so since you either have or are 20 who are responsible for supervising those two 21 planning to file it, essentially, in every one of 21 younger attorneys? 22 22 your cases, that includes cases that you have done A. I'm the only supervisor in the Area 19 23 23 substantive work on for however -- for months or office. We do have -- from time to time, there's 24 24 perhaps years? some informal mentoring that goes on. More

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

Fax: 314.644.1334

experienced lawyers will help the lesser -- not the

25

A. Yes.

25

JUSTIN CARVER 12/11/2017 Page 113 Page 115 lesser but the more junior lawyers. As I mentioned, 1 location, sorry, but what's her -- what firm or 1 2 my most experienced lawyers really aren't that 2 organization does she work for? 3 experienced. 3 A. Her firm is Carver & Michael in 4 Jefferson City, Missouri. 4 Q. Right. Okay. So just to sum up this --5 5 these motions, there are about - just doing - not Q. Okay. And was that appointment upon her 6 6 asking to do heavy math here, but if there are about request or was --7 7 A. No. 2- to 300 motions to decline and/or appoint counsel, 8 and there's 120-plus motions to -- for a caseload 8 Q. Okay. And do you think that -- was that a 9 conference, and there are 50-ish motions to 9 coincidence or -- as one of three lawyers not a 10 10 withdraw, my rough calculations are it's close to coincidence? A. I don't have personal knowledge as to the 11 500 cases in which you've moved in one fashion or 11 12 12 another to either get out -- not take a case or to judge's thought process behind that. 13 withdraw from a case that you or your office is 13 Q. Okay. 14 currently -- or would otherwise be representing a 14 A. We could speculate. 15 defendant? 15 Q. Yes. Fair enough. Okay. 16 A. That sounds about right. 16 Have any attorneys from the state -- you 17 Q. In fact, that number -- once your staff 17 mentioned one of the options was appointing someone 18 18 who is employed by the State of Missouri. To your gets around to filing a motion to withdraw in the 19 other 163 cases that you have is going to be 6- or 19 knowledge, has anyone employed by the State of 20 700 cases? 20 Missouri been appointed as a result of these 21 2.1 A. Absolutely. motions? 22 Q. Okay. And so, broadly speaking - we can 22 A. Not to my knowledge. 23 23 talk about some specific examples, but what is Q. Okay. So other than the three private 2.4 happening in those 500, soon to be 6- to 700 cases 24 attorneys, no other attorneys, to your knowledge, 25 that you've moved to decline or withdraw from in 2.5 have been appointed --Page 114 Page 116 1 some fashion? 1 A. Correct. 2 2 A. On the cases in which we'd filed the Q. - as a result of these motions? 3 motion to decline, most of those, as in all but a 3 A. Correct. Judge Joyce is contemplating 4 small number, either the courts ordered us -- denied 4 appointing private counsel but has been 5 the motion to decline and ordered us to represent. 5 contemplating that for many months. Preceding the 6 If we were ordered to do so, we did. Now, you know, 6 conference with her, if you can give me something 7 7 that says I can do it, I'll do it. I did provide my representation at this point is exceedingly 8 8 limited. I mean -- you know? The -- let's see. her a proposed order in May or June, and she did not 9 There are motions for caseload conference that are 9 make any appointments. 10 10 sort of out there that are pending. You know, Q. Okay. And in those -- in the cases where 11 11 there's a hearing in Miller County on December 22. you or your office is entering appearances over an 12 Most -- so what -- I mean, those are sort of out 12 objection, are you then engaging in active 13 13 there and they're floating. representation of those clients or simply entering 14 14 an appearance and waiting until your office has some

The motions to decline representation, generally, those have either been assigned somebody from my office or some have become moot, either in that the individual goes pro se or that they retain a private lawyer. I can think of a couple of cases in which, in response to that motion, a judge did appoint a private lawyer. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, I can think of three private lawyers in Cole County who were appointed in response to motions that we filed, one of who was my wife.

Q. And where does your wife work - not

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

Fax: 314.644.1334

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

time to actually work on those cases?

A. Well -- I mean, if we're ordered to

would rate as pretty terrible. The -- there are

represent, we're going to do the level best that we

can. I mean, understand right now my level best, I

times where we've been ordered by the court to

enter, and I've entered, but I really haven't been

able to do anything with the case. And as another

lawyer in my office was able to take on additional

that was actually able to work on the thing. So

cases, I would then reassign that case to the lawyer

| | JUSTIN CARVER 12/11/2017 | | | | | |
|----|--|----|--|--|--|--|
| | Page 117 | | Page 119 | | | |
| 1 | that, I know that has happened, certainly, a | 1 | they don't take that approach, then they sit in jail | | | |
| 2 | number of times. Other times, you know, in for | 2 | that much longer until I can get around to doing the | | | |
| 3 | example, in Miller County, I'm the only lawyer | 3 | work that needs to be done. Miller County is one of | | | |
| 4 | taking new cases in Miller County, so I'm simply not | 4 | the counties that will impose payment of a board | | | |
| 5 | going to be reassigning it to anybody else. If I'm | 5 | bill as a condition of probation. So every day that | | | |
| 6 | ordered to represent over my objection, I will file | 6 | they sit in jail, they're getting a bill for 38 | | | |
| 7 | an entry; I will do the best I can, but, you know | 7 | bucks a day. And if they sit in jail for six months | | | |
| 8 | I mean, right now, active representation, a client | 8 | because it takes me that long to work on the case, | | | |
| 9 | may sit in jail for two months before I can get down | 9 | that's \$38 times 180 days, that's going to be | | | |
| 10 | to see them. I mean, I don't consider that active | 10 | added to their board bill, and, of course, my | | | |
| 11 | representation. It's not a willful refusal thing on | 11 | clients are completely destitute. If they're | | | |
| 12 | my part; it's if you look at the list of people | 12 | free well, whether they're free or whether | | | |
| 13 | that I need to see and their corresponding court | 13 | they're in jail you know, a lot of times our | | | |
| 14 | dates, I you know, it it's going to take | 14 | clients, their life their whole life is on hold | | | |
| 15 | forever for me to get to people. | 15 | because of the criminal charges that they're facing. | | | |
| 16 | Q. And as you described, it's not just | 16 | Obviously, if they're in jail, they're at risk of | | | |
| 17 | meeting with your clients; it's also doing all of | 17 | losing their housing, their car is likely to get | | | |
| 18 | the investigative work that would be needed on any | 18 | repo'd, they may lose custody of their kids, they're | | | |
| 19 | individual case. You're unable to do that either? | 19 | likely to lose their job. When the landlord goes | | | |
| 20 | A. Oh, absolutely. Or legal research or | 20 | and evicts them, they're just going to throw all of | | | |
| 21 | filing motions or you know, there's so many | 21 | their stuff out in the street; there's no one to | | | |
| 22 | things. In Miller County, our judges tend to fix | 22 | pick it up you know; it's all going to get taken. | | | |
| 23 | fairly high bonds, but they will consider, like, a | 23 | So when a client, after sitting in jail four to six | | | |
| 24 | furlough for treatment, so if your client wants to | 24 | to 12 months or whatever, and they come out and they | | | |
| 25 | go to in-patient treatment for drugs or alcohol or | 25 | have absolutely nothing, I think they're much more | | | |
| | Page 118 | | Page 120 | | | |
| 1 | what have you which is fine, except that my | 1 | likely to get back into trouble and reoffend, and it | | | |
| 2 | ability to coordinate that is I mean, it's | 2 | makes it that much harder for them if they're | | | |
| 3 | virtually zero. Basically, I dedicate it to a staff | 3 | granted probation, it's going to make it that much | | | |
| 4 | member who has got other responsibilities, and she | 4 | harder to succeed on probation. You know, if | | | |
| 5 | does the best that she can. That's you know, | 5 | they're not in custody, a lot of times again, the | | | |
| 6 | there are clients who have simply asked the family | 6 | case has dramatic effect on them in that you | | | |
| 7 | to do it because their family will do it faster. | 7 | know, in Missouri, everything is all of our court | | | |
| 8 | Even when they get a furlough, there have been dates | 8 | records are all in Case.net; it's on the Internet. | | | |
| 9 | that they have not been able to get to simply | 9 | You know, a lot of employers have caught on to that, | | | |
| 10 | because I can't get a motion in front of the judge | 10 | and when an employer sees that guy has got a charge | | | |
| 11 | that fast. You know, getting and reviewing full | 11 | for possession of a controlled substance, that is | | | |
| 12 | discovery. On my desk, I've got a stack of CDs and | 12 | going to impact the accused's employment prospects, | | | |
| 13 | DVDs that have been sitting there for a couple of | 13 | even though they're presumed innocent while they're | | | |
| 14 | weeks; haven't looked at them, don't know what's on | 14 | going to court on the charge. You know, right now | | | |

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

them. Not going to have a chance to look at them

anytime soon. I mean, any of the substantive legal

Q. And that's the -- what are the effects of

we're already seeing in Miller County people saying,

Forget it, I don't want a public defender; I'll just

go to prison pro se; I'll just represent myself. If

continuances and delays and cases on the individual

work that I should be doing, right now, there isn't

time to get it done.

defendants and their cases?

A. Well -- I mean, if they're in jail --

drug tests at the crime lab are taking -- I don't know -- eight to 12 months for the drug test to get

complete. You know, you set a trial in Miller

County right now, the trial is going to be in the

summer of 2018. And, you know, you throw in an

for our client -- I mean, just that pending charge,

for it to go on that long, 18 months, has a horrible

dramatic effect. I mean, it can affect the custody

of their kids; it can affect -- may get kicked out

of public housing, if they're in public housing; it

overloaded public defender in all of this, you know,

2

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 121

- 1 may affect their job. You know -- and they've got 2 to show up for all of these court dates. In some
- 3 counties, they require bond supervision. So in
- 4 addition to showing up to court dates, they may have
- 5 to show up at a private probation office every week
- 6 and pay a supervision fee and -- you know,
- 7 obviously, if transportation is hard, it's going to
- 8 be difficult to do that. Man -- I mean, you know,
- 9 the -- you know, the consequences that are --
- 10 there's a huge list of the consequences, whether 11 you're in jail or not, you know, just because of the

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

So I don't even know if I -- I started talking, and then I lost track of your original question. I apologize.

delay associated with the public defender's office.

Q. No, no. That's -- so those are all tremendous personal consequences that these individuals can face, and then the other piece I'm hoping you can speak to is what consequences it has for their legal case continuance of months or years, in terms of the evidence and witnesses and all of those things.

A. Well, if it takes me two months to get the client in the county jail, and there's a video recording that they think is important to their case

Page 123

- 1 it and they find that they're going to be unable to
 - do that, we're going to be up the creek without a
- 3 paddle. That is a very important part of this case,
 - but we have no ability to get any additional
- 5 samples. I mean, that -- you know, just over and
 - above the legal consequences of witnesses going into
- 7 the wind or video being lost. You know, this one
- 8 client, if the blood is too old to test, it's going 9
 - to be a real problem in the case.

Q. And what charge is that individual facing?

- Q. You mentioned individual -- the other thing that is a result of these continuances and delays these individuals deciding to take plea deals pro se and go to prison rather than waiting longer for representation. It sounds like you're aware of multiple cases where that's happened.
 - A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. Okav.

A. And even in Miller County now, we're seeing some applicants -- some people come into court, and in lieu of filling out a public defender application, they say, Judge, I just want to represent myself. So even before I'm filing a caseload conference motion in some cases, people are

Page 122

- 1 from the quickie store or from Walmart or from what
- 2 have you, you know, most businesses only hold onto
- 3 those videos for so long. If I don't contact them,
- 4 you know, shortly after the thing, it may be erased
- and rerecorded over. So there's certainly the 6 possibility that, you know, material evidence on the
- 7 case could be lost. I've got a case right now where
- 8 it's a very important part of the case that blood be 9
 - tested. Preceding the -- I -- well, let me back up
 - to the beginning.

It's a serious charge. I had to find an expert -- took me forever because of my schedule and because there's a shortage in this specialty, but you put the two together, it took me six months to find an expert on the case, possibly longer. And then in talking to the expert, we identified, Hey, there's blood that needs to be tested, then we had to find a lab to test it. The lab that we found to test it, by the time I got done playing phone tag with the people I needed to talk to, told me, You know what, at this point, blood is going to be too

21

22 old; can't test it. As the thing stands now, we 23 think we have found another lab who can work with

24 the sample and then run the test that we need to be 25 run, but, frankly, if for some reason they get into

Page 124 saying, You know what, I'm not even going to go

there. Q. And is that because they've heard about the delays in public defender representation?

A. Most of the Miller County jail has received from me a motion to withdraw citing my caseload, a motion to decline representation citing my caseload, or a motion for caseload conference, and there's been a good amount of media about the thing. So between all of those sources, they're certainly aware of the situation.

Q. And do these individuals representing themselves and/or negotiating plea deals pro se to go to prison, does that raise sixth-amendment concerns for you as a public defender?

A. Oh, absolutely. And, frankly, the cases where I'm in them and representing people now.

Q. And that all stems from the case and work overload that you and your office is facing?

MR. SCHERZER: Do you want to take a break for a little while?

THE WITNESS: We can. And can I see if the affidavits came in, if you'd like.

MR. SCHERZER: Okay.

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

| Page 125 | Page 12 |
|--|--|
| 1 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:21 p.m. and | 1 A. Yes. |
| we're off the record. | 2 Q. Okay. And we've discussed already the |
| 3 (A lunch recess was taken.) | 3 problems that can result as a result of all of those |
| 4 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:03 p.m. and | 4 continuances, but |
| 5 we're back on the record. | 5 A. Right. |
| 6 Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) Mr. Carver, I want to | 6 Q worst you know, the best of the |
| 7 just return to Plaintiff's Exhibit 18 that we had | 7 worst possible worlds, perhaps? |
| 8 been discussing, and we'll move through it quickly | 8 A. Right. |
| 9 because we have a lot more to discuss, but if you | 9 Q. Okay. And then just turning to the e-mail |
| could turn to that page 39420 that we were looking | above that on that same page, 39420. |
| at previously, and let me know when you've gotten | 11 A. Yes. |
| there. | 12 Q. Which, I guess, if you turn to the page |
| 13 A. Okay. | before, 39419, you see at the bottom, that looks |
| Q. So that e-mail that you had been | 14 like it's from Ben Winfrey? |
| referencing previously, the e-mail from | 15 A. Yes. |
| Judge Richardson to you, the bottom of that page, do | Q. And can you remind us who Ben Winfrey is? |
| you see that e-mail? | 17 A. The Miller County prosecutor. |
| A. From Judge Richardson to me? | 18 Q. And it's addressed it's addressed to |
| 19 Q. Yes. | many or all of the same individuals who were on yo |
| 20 A. Yes. | 20 initial e-mail? |
| Q. Which is dated December 29th, 2016, at | 21 A. Yes. |
| 22 10:16 a.m.? | 22 Q. And it was sent if you're looking at |
| 23 A. Yes. | 23 39419 , that same day, December 29, 2016? |
| Q. And the subject, again, is Re: Public | 24 A. Yes. |
| defender struggles; is that right? | 25 Q. Okay. And can you read the second |
| Page 126 | Page 12 |
| 1 A. Yes. | 1 paragraph, "I do not " or sorry can you read |
| 2 Q. And it looks like it's in response to the | 2 the first clause, "In a related vein"? |
| 3 e-mail that we had been reading earlier? It's her | 3 A. "In a related vein of woe is us, the |
| 4 response to your e-mail? | 4 Miller County prosecutor's office regrets to |
| 5 A. Yes. | 5 announce the resignation of assistant prosecutor |
| 6 Q. Okay. And, in fact, she wrote back right | 6 Derek K, who has been granted a very gracious off |
| away because you sent that e-mail at 9:54 a.m., and | 7 by the Morgan County prosecuting attorney to vast |
| 8 she responded 22 minutes later; is that right? | 8 improve his situation in a county with less crime |
| 9 A. Yes. That's about right. | 9 than Miller. |
| Q. Okay. So just if you could read the | 10 Q. And can you read the next paragraph? |
| | 11 1 0 |
| 11 sentence that begins sort of on the four or five | 11 A. Sure. |
| • | |
| sentence that begins sort of on the four or five lines up from the bottom, the sentence, "We all know that." | |
| lines up from the bottom, the sentence, "We all know that." | "I do not have an ABA-designated study. The ABA does not care enough to perform a study |
| lines up from the bottom, the sentence, "We all know | v 12 "I do not have an ABA-designated study. |
| lines up from the bottom, the sentence, "We all know that." A. "We all know that on any given jury week, | "I do not have an ABA-designated study. 13 The ABA does not care enough to perform a study 14 about whether the prosecution is adequately funder 15 to perform its official duties as the minister of |
| lines up from the bottom, the sentence, "We all know that." A. "We all know that on any given jury week, there is no way the public defender can prepare for the number of cases that get set, so at least for | "I do not have an ABA-designated study. The ABA does not care enough to perform a study about whether the prosecution is adequately funde to perform its official duties as the minister of justice. Nonetheless, this prosecutor has |
| lines up from the bottom, the sentence, "We all know that." A. "We all know that on any given jury week, there is no way the public defender can prepare for the number of cases that get set, so at least for me, continuances will be pretty freely given." | "I do not have an ABA-designated study. The ABA does not care enough to perform a study about whether the prosecution is adequately funde to perform its official duties as the minister of justice. Nonetheless, this prosecutor has determined, based upon my expert opinion and |
| lines up from the bottom, the sentence, "We all know that." A. "We all know that on any given jury week, there is no way the public defender can prepare for the number of cases that get set, so at least for me, continuances will be pretty freely given." Q. Okay. So it seems like the response — | "I do not have an ABA-designated study. The ABA does not care enough to perform a study about whether the prosecution is adequately funde to perform its official duties as the minister of justice. Nonetheless, this prosecutor has determined, based upon my expert opinion and anecdotal evidence, that my office is at |
| lines up from the bottom, the sentence, "We all know that." A. "We all know that on any given jury week, there is no way the public defender can prepare for the number of cases that get set, so at least for me, continuances will be pretty freely given." Q. Okay. So it seems like the response — and I won't ask you to read the whole e-mail into | "I do not have an ABA-designated study. The ABA does not care enough to perform a study about whether the prosecution is adequately funde to perform its official duties as the minister of justice. Nonetheless, this prosecutor has determined, based upon my expert opinion and anecdotal evidence, that my office is at approximately 100,000 percent, our recommended |
| lines up from the bottom, the sentence, "We all know that." A. "We all know that on any given jury week, there is no way the public defender can prepare for the number of cases that get set, so at least for me, continuances will be pretty freely given." Q. Okay. So it seems like the response — and I won't ask you to read the whole e-mail into the record, given the time, but the response from | "I do not have an ABA-designated study. The ABA does not care enough to perform a study about whether the prosecution is adequately funder to perform its official duties as the minister of justice. Nonetheless, this prosecutor has determined, based upon my expert opinion and anecdotal evidence, that my office is at approximately 100,000 percent, our recommended carrying capacity by any standard. Please keep this |
| lines up from the bottom, the sentence, "We all know that." A. "We all know that on any given jury week, there is no way the public defender can prepare for the number of cases that get set, so at least for me, continuances will be pretty freely given." Q. Okay. So it seems like the response — and I won't ask you to read the whole e-mail into the record, given the time, but the response from Judge Richardson, is it fair to sum up, was, I agree | "I do not have an ABA-designated study. The ABA does not care enough to perform a study about whether the prosecution is adequately funde to perform its official duties as the minister of justice. Nonetheless, this prosecutor has determined, based upon my expert opinion and anecdotal evidence, that my office is at approximately 100,000 percent, our recommended carrying capacity by any standard. Please keep thi in mind as we venture out together in the new year |
| lines up from the bottom, the sentence, "We all know that." A. "We all know that on any given jury week, there is no way the public defender can prepare for the number of cases that get set, so at least for me, continuances will be pretty freely given." Q. Okay. So it seems like the response — and I won't ask you to read the whole e-mail into the record, given the time, but the response from Judge Richardson, is it fair to sum up, was, I agree you're too busy and — but I want you to at least | "I do not have an ABA-designated study. The ABA does not care enough to perform a study about whether the prosecution is adequately funde to perform its official duties as the minister of justice. Nonetheless, this prosecutor has determined, based upon my expert opinion and anecdotal evidence, that my office is at approximately 100,000 percent, our recommended carrying capacity by any standard. Please keep thi in mind as we venture out together in the new year and please be understanding of the state's own |
| lines up from the bottom, the sentence, "We all know that." A. "We all know that on any given jury week, there is no way the public defender can prepare for the number of cases that get set, so at least for me, continuances will be pretty freely given." Q. Okay. So it seems like the response — and I won't ask you to read the whole e-mail into the record, given the time, but the response from Judge Richardson, is it fair to sum up, was, I agree | "I do not have an ABA-designated study. The ABA does not care enough to perform a study about whether the prosecution is adequately funde to perform its official duties as the minister of justice. Nonetheless, this prosecutor has determined, based upon my expert opinion and anecdotal evidence, that my office is at approximately 100,000 percent, our recommended carrying capacity by any standard. Please keep thi in mind as we venture out together in the new year and please be understanding of the state's own limitations in counties other than Morgan." |

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

| Page 129 | | Page 13 |
|---|--|--|
| from Ben Winfrey just to you later in the day that | 1 | A. That's how I read that, yes. |
| same day, six or seven hours later, where he says he | 2 | Q. Okay. And are you aware of that |
| was joking and says I was not mocking or minimizing | 3 | particular statutory change or |
| your problem, we have both asked to do more with | 4 | A. In memory serves, I think it's just under |
| less; is that right? | 5 | a certain dollar amount. |
| A. Yes. | 6 | Q. Okay. |
| Q. Okay. And then if you could turn to | 7 | A. I think it's less than 150 bucks. |
| 39416. The bottom of that page, it looks like | 8 | Q. Okay. And so when he says, "I can't |
| there's an e-mail from Judge Schollmeyer to | 9 | appoint," what is he referring to? |
| Ashley Lute? | 10 | A. So when he says if it's if there is |
| A. Yes. | 11 | no risk of incarceration you know, there's |
| Q. And it looks like just above that it says | 12 | certain statutes that say you can get a fine only |
| Ashley Lute is associate circuit judge's secretary; | 13 | under Chapter 600; they are not persons charge |
| is that right? | 14 | with that are not eligible for a public defender. |
| A. Yes. I mean, I think officially she's one | 15 | Q. Okay. If they're not if there's no |
| of the court clerks, but she serves as his | 16 | possibility of jail time, they're ineligible for a |
| secretary. | 17 | public defender? |
| Q. Okay. And she forwarded that e-mail, | 18 | A. Correct. |
| also, to you on December 29th at 4:26; is that | 19 | Q. Okay. |
| right? | 20 | A. Tangentially I don't mean to |
| A. Yes. | 21 | interrupt I just got a text from my office |
| Q. And so, essentially, it looks like oh, | 22 | indicating they tried to send an e-mail to the staff |
| I see. Sorry. Because on just on 39417, it | 23 | up front, so I don't know if someone wants to step |
| looks like you ended up writing to Ashley Lute | 24 | out and check on that or not. |
| because your e-mail to the judge directly bounced | 25 | MR. SCHERZER: Sure. Yeah. We can take a |
| Page 130 | | Page 132 |
| back; is that right? | 1 | two-second break to do that. |
| A. Correct. | 2 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:12 p.m., and |
| Q. Do you see that sort of at the top? | 3 | we're off the record. |
| A. Yes. | 4 | (A recess was taken.) |
| Q. So then, essentially, this e-mail from | 5 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:16 p.m., and |
| Judge Schollmeyer was to you, just passed through | 6 | we're back on the record. |
| A a lata a distribution of a lata A | | |
| Ashley Lute; right? | 7 | Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) Okay. And then just the |
| A. Correct. | 7 8 | Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) Okay. And then just the last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, |
| | | |
| A. Correct. | 8 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what | 8 9 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the |
| A. Correct.Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? | 8 9 10 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? A. "I'm not going to appoint your office in | 8 9 10 11 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? A. "I'm not going to appoint your office in Class A DWRs, if they're eligible for reinstatement, | 8 9 10 11 12 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is an e-mail from you to Ms. Shipma in October of this |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? A. "I'm not going to appoint your office in Class A DWRs, if they're eligible for reinstatement, until I see that they aren't going to get | 8 9 10 11 12 13 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page — sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is an e-mail from you to Ms. Shipma in October of this year forwarding this e-mail chain; is that right? |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? A. "I'm not going to appoint your office in Class A DWRs, if they're eligible for reinstatement, until I see that they aren't going to get reinstated." | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is an e-mail from you to Ms. Shipma in October of this year forwarding this e-mail chain; is that right? A. Yes. |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? A. "I'm not going to appoint your office in Class A DWRs, if they're eligible for reinstatement, until I see that they aren't going to get reinstated." "DWR" is tangentially, it's driving | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is an e-mail from you to Ms. Shipma in October of this year forwarding this e-mail chain; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But the second e-mail and the first |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? A. "I'm not going to appoint your office in Class A DWRs, if they're eligible for reinstatement, until I see that they aren't going to get reinstated." "DWR" is tangentially, it's driving while revoked. | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is an e-mail from you to Ms. Shipma in October of this year forwarding this e-mail chain; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But the second e-mail and the first one, you know, in this chain from in 2016, is |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? A. "I'm not going to appoint your office in Class A DWRs, if they're eligible for reinstatement, until I see that they aren't going to get reinstated." "DWR" is tangentially, it's driving while revoked. Q. Okay. A. "Also, at the first of the year, | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is an e-mail from you to Ms. Shipma in October of this year forwarding this e-mail chain; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But the second e-mail and the first one, you know, in this chain from in 2016, is this e-mail from at the bottom of the page on |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? A. "I'm not going to appoint your office in Class A DWRs, if they're eligible for reinstatement, until I see that they aren't going to get reinstated." "DWR" is tangentially, it's driving while revoked. Q. Okay. | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is an e-mail from you to Ms. Shipma in October of this year forwarding this e-mail chain; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But the second e-mail and the first one, you know, in this chain from in 2016, is this e-mail from at the bottom of the page on 39415 from Daniel Green to you? A. Yes. |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? A. "I'm not going to appoint your office in Class A DWRs, if they're eligible for reinstatement, until I see that they aren't going to get reinstated." "DWR" is tangentially, it's driving while revoked. Q. Okay. A. "Also, at the first of the year, first-offense stealing will have no possibility of | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is an e-mail from you to Ms. Shipma in October of this year forwarding this e-mail chain; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But the second e-mail and the first one, you know, in this chain from in 2016, is this e-mail from at the bottom of the page on 39415 from Daniel Green to you? A. Yes. |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? A. "I'm not going to appoint your office in Class A DWRs, if they're eligible for reinstatement, until I see that they aren't going to get reinstated." "DWR" is tangentially, it's driving while revoked. Q. Okay. A. "Also, at the first of the year, first-offense stealing will have no possibility of jail, so I can't appoint. These things might help a | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page — sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is an e-mail from you to Ms. Shipma in October of this year forwarding this e-mail chain; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But the second e-mail and the first one, you know, in this chain from — in 2016, is this e-mail from — at the bottom of the page on 39415 from Daniel Green to you? A. Yes. Q. And can you remind us who Daniel Green is |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? A. "I'm not going to appoint your office in Class A DWRs, if they're eligible for reinstatement, until I see that they aren't going to get reinstated." "DWR" is tangentially, it's driving while revoked. Q. Okay. A. "Also, at the first of the year, first-offense stealing will have no possibility of jail, so I can't appoint. These things might help a little." Q. Okay. And so when he says so is that | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page — sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is an e-mail from you to Ms. Shipma in October of this year forwarding this e-mail chain; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But the second e-mail and the first one, you know, in this chain from — in 2016, is this e-mail from — at the bottom of the page on 39415 from Daniel Green to you? A. Yes. Q. And can you remind us who Daniel Green is A. Circuit judge in Cole County. Q. Okay. And if you turn to the — to 39416 |
| A. Correct. Q. Okay. And he said can you read us what he said his short e-mail? A. "I'm not going to appoint your office in Class A DWRs, if they're eligible for reinstatement, until I see that they aren't going to get reinstated." "DWR" is tangentially, it's driving while revoked. Q. Okay. A. "Also, at the first of the year, first-offense stealing will have no possibility of jail, so I can't appoint. These things might help a little." | 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | last e-mail in this chain, if you don't mind, Mr. Carver, that starts on that page 39415. At the bottom of that page — sorry. When I said the first e-mail, the first e-mail, if you look at the top, is an e-mail from you to Ms. Shipma in October of this year forwarding this e-mail chain; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But the second e-mail and the first one, you know, in this chain from — in 2016, is this e-mail from — at the bottom of the page on 39415 from Daniel Green to you? A. Yes. Q. And can you remind us who Daniel Green is A. Circuit judge in Cole County. |

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

Page 133 Page 135 ability to assign these cases internally within my 1 A. "At any rate, I would go so far as to 1 2 suggest you figure out the maximum number of active 2 office. Frankly, I don't know what my supervisors 3 cases for your folks, and we call these green cases. 3 will tell you. They don't have extra lawyers on 4 4 The rest we call red. When the case comes on the hand to take additional cases, and I'm told that 5 5 docket, and I ask you what action, you respond with, they are receiving notices of appointment from local 6 6 This is a red case, and we continue for 90 days, or, public defender offices all over the state. I 7 7 This is a green case, and we set it accordingly." assume it may take some time to receive a response. 8 Q. Okay. And is that -- has that been 8 Your patience is much appreciated. If you do not 9 9 happening, the green or red or some similar type of receive any response after you have ordered the 10 10 code word? public defender to provide representation, feel free A. No. 11 11 to let me know. Tangentially, I will remind you 12 12 Q. Okay. But what Judge Green is suggesting under State ex rel. Robinson v. Franklin, the court 13 here is -- was, at least, that you set up two 13 cannot appoint specific public defenders; the court 14 classes of cases, one class of which you would just 14 can only order the agency to provide representation. 15 continue, perhaps, ad infinitum, but at least for 90 15 As always --" 16 day clips at a time? 16 It's supposed to say "feel free," but 17 A. Correct. 17 there's a typographical error --18 18 Q. All right. I'm done with that exhibit for "-- feel free to get in touch with 19 now. I want to turn now to 8286. 19 questions or concerns. Thanks, Justin." (Deposition Exhibit No. 19 was marked for 20 20 Q. And what, if any, response did you receive 21 21 identification.) as a result of this e-mail? 22 Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I'm 22 A. From the courts? None. 23 23 showing you what's been marked as Exhibit 19. Let Q. Okay. 2.4 me know when you've had a chance to glance at it. 24 A. I sent a number of cases to my supervisor, 25 A. Yes. 25 Ellen Blau, and I think that she asked -- I cc'd in Page 134 Page 136 1 Q. Okay. Do you recognize this? 1 other members of senior management on it. Sometime 2 2 A. Yes. later I got a call from Ms. Blau saying, Don't do 3 Q. And what is it? 3 that anymore. We don't have any lawyers to give 4 A. This is an e-mail thread. The substantive 4 these -- to assign these cases to. 5 e-mail would appear on Bates stamp 39414, which is 5 Q. Don't do what anymore? Sorry. 6 an e-mail I'd sent to the courts in October of this 6 A. Send the cases to the administrative 7 year, and it wasn't addressed to all of the judges 7 office with the request that they provide 8 8 that I practice in front of, but it was addressed to representation. 9 those who had been continuing to order us to 9 Q. Okay. So, initially, she told you to send 10 10 represent, even after we had been telling them we're those -- to copy other individuals after you had 11 drowning and we can't take more cases. 11 sent it, but eventually came back to you and saying 12 Q. Got it. So just to that subset of judges 12 sending these cases to us is frivolous because we 13 13 who were ordering you to continue representing, have no one to take them? 14 despite your concerns about your ability to 14 A. Correct. 15 effectively represent those clients they were 15 Q. And what did she suggest that you do 16 ordering you to represent? 16 instead? 17 17 A. Have evidentiary hearings on my motions to 18 Q. Okay. And can you read us that e-mail on 18 decline representation or motions to withdraw. 19 39414? 19 Q. Okay. And have you been able to have 20 2.0 those hearings? 21 "As you know, I have been filing motions 21 A. Yes. 22 22 to appoint counsel for some time. In the event Q. Okay. 23 those motions are overruled, I'm now sending notice 23 A. And I've had zero success. 24 24 of the court's order to my supervisors with the Q. Okay. So tell us about that, if you 25 25 request that they respond. I simply do not have any

34 (Pages 133 to 136)

could.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 137

A. Sure. There was -- I can think of two right now off of the top of my head, evidentiary hearings on motions to decline representation and/or motions to withdraw representation. One was in Miller County in front of Judge Kaltenbronn where we took up a number of cases simultaneously, as in -when I say "a number," I mean more than a dozen, maybe two dozen -- cases in which essentially the same issues was there, and I testified as to my caseload and what I was able to do and what I was not able to do. The judge overruled all of the motions and ordered me to remain in the case or enter into the case as the case may be. Likewise, there was another hearing on a conflict case in Laclede County in front of Judge Richardson where, again, I testified and the judge ordered the public defender to represent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. So -- and those are the only two evidentiary hearings that you've had? A. That I can think of off of the top of my

there was at least one more in circuit court in
Miller County. I can't recall for sure for sure.
We've also had the case conference hearings in
Cole County. We had a hearing on motions to -- for

head. There may have been more -- I want to say

Page 139

- basically, the same things I told you to before. You know, Judge Kaltenbronn in response to the motion the evidentiary hearing basically said, You know, look the real remedy is not judicial; the real remedy is legislative. And he didn't feel like he had any good options other than appointing the public defender, was sort of what he articulated.
- Q. I'd like to just to close this loop here, just return to Plaintiff's Exhibit 18 for one second. When you have that in front of you, that's the eight-page chain of e-mails.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. On and going back to 39421 sorry. I wanted to do this before the break, but I will just come back to it quickly now.

The four lines up from the bottom says — you read this earlier — that, "Nonetheless, as a supervisory lawyer, I have a specific ethical obligation to monitor and control the caseloads of my assistants."

- A. Yes.
- Q. "I simply can't keep assigning my lawyers cases at the rate that I have been assigning them."
 - A. Yes
 - Q. Can you just tell us a little bit more

Page 138

the caseload conference in Miller County, and the conference is scheduled in Miller County.

Q. Okay. And what, if any, reasons or rationales did the judges in these evidentiary hearings give for denying your motions?

A. In the Lebanon -- in the Laclede County case -- I use Lebanon and Laclede County interchangeably.

Q. Right.

A. In the Laclede County case, the judge said, Well, I'm not ordering you to take it, Mr. Carver; I'm just ordering the public defender to take it. If your office can't do it, find another public defender from another public defender office. I talked to my boss, who told me there aren't any other public defenders in any other public defender offices that -- they're all overloaded, and because of the division of labor, the case was my office's case. It had initially started -- the reason it was my case was it initially started in Miller County, and the venue was changed to Laclede County, sort of the administrative division of labor is it gets assigned to the office in the county where the case started. You know, in -- with regard to some of the other things that courts have told me over time,

Page 140

about that special ethical obligation that you have as a supervisor?

A. I'm obliged to make sure that all of my lawyers practice consistent with Rule 4 of the Missouri Supreme Court Rules. One of those rules specifically, if you look at Comment 2 relating to diligence, says that a lawyer's caseload must be controlled so that they can practice each case competently. Over and above that, if you look at the rule relating to competence, competence is knowledge and skill, but it's also preparation. It's a conjunctive test. It's not sufficient -- you are not competent if you have only knowledge and skill but you do not do the preparation to provide competent representation within the meaning of Rule 4. You have to have the knowledge, the skill, and the preparation. So those are just some -- sort of some of the obligations that come to mind as you ask that question.

Q. So that's over and above your ethical responsibilities to your own cases, you have these additional ethical responsibilities as a supervisor?

A. I have to make sure my lawyers are being ethical in their practice as well.

Q. Okay. And are the rules that you're

35 (Pages 137 to 140)

| | Page 141 | | Page 143 |
|----------|--|-----|--|
| 1 | referring to 4-1.3 is diligence is that | 1 | Q. Yes. |
| 2 | A. That sounds correct. | 2 | A when the thing was printed. |
| 3 | Q correct? Okay. And then 4-5.1 is | 3 | Q. Yes. |
| 4 | responsibilities of partners, managers, and | 4 | A. Setting aside those formatting errors, |
| 5 | supervisory lawyers? | 5 | this would be appear to be a supplemental budget |
| 6 | A. That sounds correct, yes. | 6 | request relating to the public defender's office. I |
| 7 | Q. And does that does that rule say | 7 | presume I have seen this at some point in time. I |
| 8 | something to the effect of a lawyer having direct | 8 | mean |
| 9 | supervisory authority over another lawyer shall make | 9 | Q. And it's okay if not. I'm asking you |
| 10 | reasonable efforts to ensure that the other lawyer | 10 | about one specific page, which I'll refer to you. |
| 11 | conforms to the rules of professional conduct? | 11 | A. Okay. |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | Q. But it does say although, yes, this is |
| 13 | Q. Okay. And, in fact well, I'll just | 13 | something in the file that has occurred, but on the |
| 14 | let me just submit this quickly so I don't force you | 14 | front it says, "Supplemental" what looks like |
| 15 | to try to guess on what the | 15 | "Supplemental Legislative Budget Request." |
| 16 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 20 was marked for | 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | identification.) | 17 | Q. Is that right? |
| 18 | A. Yes. | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) Do you recognize what I | 19 | Q. Approved October 25th, 2017? Is that |
| 20 | put in front of you as Exhibit 20? | 20 | right? |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. And this is Rule 4-5.1? | 22 | Q. And it says, "Michael Barrett, director." |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 | Underneath it has the Missouri State Public Defender |
| 24 | Q. And do you see Letters C under that? | 24 | commission seal? |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 25 | A. Yes. |
| 1 | Page 142 | 1 | Page 144 |
| 1 | Q. And it says, "A lawyer shall be | 1 2 | Q. Okay. So I just want to turn to the last |
| 2 | responsible for another lawyer's violation of the | 3 | page of that document, 38976. A. Okay. |
| 4 | rules of professional conduct if, one, the lawyer | 4 | Q. And it says, "State Public Defender |
| 5 | orders or with knowledge of the specific conduct ratifies the conduct involved"? | 5 | Cumulative caseload Metric Fiscal Year 2017" on the |
| 6 | A. Yes. | 6 | top. Do you see that? |
| 7 | Q. Okay. And is that one of the things that | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | you're talking about, about your special ethical | 8 | Q. And it says, "Start date, July 1st, 2016, |
| 9 | responsibilities as a supervisor? | 9 | end date June 30th, 2017"? |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. So, indeed, you could be ethically | 11 | Q. Okay. And do you see it – it looks like |
| 12 | responsible for the conduct of your line attorneys | 12 | the 33 districts or areas in the Missouri State |
| 13 | if you either order or even ratify their conduct | 13 | Public Defender System are listed here in rows. |
| 14 | conducting ineffective for unethical representation | 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | of the defendants your office is assigned to | 15 | Q. And they're ranked 1 to 33 in the far |
| 16 | represent; is that right? | 16 | right-hand column? |
| 17 | A. Yes. | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | Q. Okay. I'd like to mark this as | 18 | Q. And this is a percent of capacity chart |
| 19 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. | 19 | for the 33 area offices of the Missouri public |
| 20 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 21 was marked for | 20 | defender system? Is that a fair |
| 21 | identification.) | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) Have you seen this | 22 | Q statement? |
| 23 | document before, Mr. Carver? | 23 | A. Yes. Based on the RubinBrown metric. |
| | A. It looks like there's some formatting | 24 | Q. Based on the RubinBrown metric. Okay. |
| / 4 | , , it looks like there s sollie follilatting | 1 | a. basea on the Rabilibiowii metric. Okay. |
| 24 25 | errors that may have occurred | 25 | And so it has attorney time and capacity, and then |

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

| | Page 145 | | Page 147 |
|--|---|----------------------------------|--|
| 1 | in that second-to-last column, it has percent of | 1 | Q. Okay. So that's and you see at the |
| 2 | capacity; is that right? | 2 | bottom here there are 33 areas or districts in the |
| 3 | A. Yes. | 3 | MSPD system; is that right? |
| 4 | Q. And do you see Area 19, Jefferson City, in | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | this chart? | 5 | Q. So your so for fiscal year 2017, your |
| 6 | A. I do. | 6 | office was 28th out of 33 offices; is that correct? |
| 7 | Q. Okay. And if you go across – it says, | 7 | A. Yes. Yes. |
| 8 | "Percent of capacity, 210.3 percent"? | 8 | Q. Okay. So even with this 210 percent |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 9 | overload and all of the problems that you've been |
| 0 | Q. And can you tell us what that means, to | 10 | identifying, 27 of the 33 offices have a greater |
| .1 | your understanding? | 11 | numerical overload than your office; is that |
| 2 | A. That would mean that we would have | 12 | correct? |
| .3 | 210 percent of open cases as compared to the number | 13 | A. That's correct. |
| 4 | of lawyers to handle those cases, and that | 14 | Q. And, perhaps, that — might that explain |
| . 5 | 210 percent presumes that the office is fully | 15 | Ellen Blau's response that and your previous |
| 6 | staffed with all of its lawyers, and as of | 16 | acknowledgment there aren't other attorneys to come |
| 7 | June 30, 2017, we were not. We had actually been | 17 | in and — as knights in shining armor to represent |
| 8 | shorthanded for all of calendar year 2017. And so | 18 | these individuals in your district? |
| 9 | that you know, if you based our percentage based | 19 | A. That's correct. |
| 20 | on the number of lawyers we had on hand, it would | 20 | Q. Okay. I'd like to turn quickly to what |
| 21 | change and it would probably increase, but what that | 21 | I'm going to mark as Plaintiff's Exhibit 22. |
| 22 | number would be, I couldn't tell you off the top of | 22 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 22 was marked for |
| 23 | my head. | 23 | identification.) |
| 24 | Q. And it would certainly increase because | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | you have six on staff instead of seven? | 25 | Q. Do you recognize this document? |
| | Page 146 | | Page 148 |
| 1 | A. Agreed. | 1 | A. Yes. |
| 2 | Q. So it would increase one-tenth or | 2 | Q. What is it? |
| 3 | one-sixth or whatever. | 3 | A. This is a report of the Senate interim |
| 4 | A. However that math works out. | 4 | committee following a series of hearings at the |
| 5 | Q. Some complicated fraction. | 5 | state capitol. |
| 6 | A. Right. | 6 | Q. A series of hearings on? |
| 7 | Q. Okay. And so, effectively, it would be | 7 | A. Public defender caseload, staffing status |
| 8 | fair to say what this means is that your office | 8 | of the public defender's office. |
| 9 | would be twice as many attorneys as it currently is | 9 | Q. Okay. And do you see the date on the |
| . 0 | even authorized for much less has, but is even | 10 | bottom of this cover page? |
| . 1 | authorized for in order to adequately represent the | 11 | A. The report was prepared, according to the |
| .2 | defendants to whom it's been assigned to represent? | 12 | cover page, in January of 2007. The hearings were |
| | A. At least twice, yes. | 13 | in the summer of 2006. |
| | Q. Okay. And is that consistent with your | 14 | Q. And do you recall these hearings? |
| .3 | | 15 | A. I do. |
| . 3 | experience and understanding, having worked in the | | Q. Okay. And is that because you testified |
| .3 | experience and understanding, having worked in the system for 15 years and been in charge of this | 16 | a. Okay. And is that seedase you testined |
| .3 .4 .5 | • | 16 17 | at at least one of them? |
| .3 .4 .5 .6 | system for 15 years and been in charge of this | | - |
| .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 | system for 15 years and been in charge of this office for three-plus years? | 17 | at at least one of them? |
| .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 | system for 15 years and been in charge of this office for three-plus years? A. Yes. | 17 18 | at at least one of them? A. Yes. |
| .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 | system for 15 years and been in charge of this office for three-plus years? A. Yes. Q. That your evaluation is you would need | 17 18 19 | at at least one of them? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if you go to well, if you |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | system for 15 years and been in charge of this office for three-plus years? A. Yes. Q. That your evaluation is you would need twice as many lawyers? | 17 18 19 20 | at at least one of them? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if you go to well, if you look very briefly at 18328. |
| .3 .4 .5 .6 .7 .8 .9 .20 | system for 15 years and been in charge of this office for three-plus years? A. Yes. Q. That your evaluation is you would need twice as many lawyers? A. Yes. | 17 18 19 20 21 | at at least one of them? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if you go to well, if you look very briefly at 18328. A. Yes. |
| 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 220 221 222 223 | system for 15 years and been in charge of this office for three-plus years? A. Yes. Q. That your evaluation is you would need twice as many lawyers? A. Yes. Q. And then do you see on that last column | 17 18 19 20 21 22 | at at least one of them? A. Yes. Q. Okay. So if you go to well, if you look very briefly at 18328. A. Yes. Q. Do you see that? Is it says, "Summary of |

37 (Pages 145 to 148)

| | Page 149 | | Page 15 |
|---|--|---|--|
| A. Yes. | | 1 | helped. And I think they did allocate us an |
| Q. And if you | turn to 18330. | 2 | additional number of lawyers or support staff |
| A. Yes. | | 3 | statewide. You know, I don't know the little bit |
| Q. Which look | s like it's describing that same | 4 | that the Senate interim committee did it's sort |
| meeting. | | 5 | of a Band-Aid on a gushing wound that did not fix |
| A. Yes. | | 6 | the problem. There was probably there was |
| Q. Do you see | your name there? | 7 | certainly some help that came out of the Senate |
| A. I do. It lists | me as an assistant public | 8 | interim committee. Now, whether the additional |
| defender. My men | nory is that I was the district | 9 | resources that we got out of that process, whether |
| defender at the tim | ie. | 10 | that has kept pace with sort of the change in our |
| Q. Right. And | actually the first line does | 11 | caseload, that, I couldn't speak to. And, frankly, |
| say, "As a supervi | sing attorney in his office." | 12 | whether the pay raises now would keep pace with |
| A. Uh-huh. | | 13 | inflation, that, I couldn't speak to either. We're |
| Q. So that was | s when you were you testified | 14 | still struggling with the same problems we had back |
| as the district defe | ender in the Fulton office; is | 15 | then, I mean, is sort of the short summary. This |
| that right? | | 16 | did not fix or end the problem by any means; it just |
| A. Yes. | | 17 | placed a Band-Aid on a very serious wound. |
| Q. And this wa | as 11 almost 11 and a half | 18 | Q. Okay. And at the end of that first |
| years ago; is that i | right? | 19 | paragraph under your name, it says, "Last year |
| A. Yes. | | 20 | office closed 1,664 cases, including 298 cases |
| Q. Okay. And | can you read the first sentence | 21 | closed by Mr. Carver." |
| under your name? | • | 22 | A. Yes. |
| A. "As the supe | ervising attorney in his | 23 | Q. And what does that mean? Just can you |
| | testimony focused on the | 24 | tell us what the "298 cases closed by you" means |
| difficulties of maint | taining his own heavy caseload | 25 | A. That means I pled or tried some or |
| | Page 150 | | Page 152 |
| while attempting to | o mentor, supervise, and evaluate | 1 | something there was some dismissals, but that |
| the other attorneys | s in his office." | 2 | would be the number of cases that I would have |
| · · | air to say this is a problem | 3 | closed that year. |
| | to the Senate about yourself 11 | 4 | Q. Okay. And then on the can you just |
| and a half years a | • | 5 | read the second paragraph of that testimony? |
| A. Correct. | • | 6 | |
| A. COITECL. | | 0 | A. Sure. |
| | much the same problem that | 7 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of |
| Q. Okay. And | much the same problem that today? | | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of |
| Q. Okay. And | • | 7 | |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. | today? | 7 8 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're laug | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. | 7 8 9 10 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're laug | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? | 7 8 9 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're laug Why is it that you're A. It's it has be | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? peen a problem that has | 7 8 9 10 11 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management issues, his own clients do not receive proper |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're laug Why is it that you're A. It's it has be persisted the entire | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? | 7 8 9 10 11 12 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're laug Why is it that you' A. It's it has be persisted the entire defender's office. | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? peen a problem that has e time I've been in the public And it's not funny. It's been | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management issues, his own clients do not receive proper representation; however, Mr. Carver expressed that proper management and feedback are essential |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're laug Why is it that you're A. It's it has be persisted the entire defender's office. going on for forever | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? been a problem that has e time I've been in the public And it's not funny. It's been er. | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management issues, his own clients do not receive proper representation; however, Mr. Carver expressed that proper management and feedback are essential elements that would help with attorney retention and |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're laug Why is it that you're A. It's it has be persisted the entire defender's office. going on for forever. Q. Okay. And | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? been a problem that has te time I've been in the public And it's not funny. It's been er. I you've testified to the | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management issues, his own clients do not receive proper representation; however, Mr. Carver expressed that proper management and feedback are essential elements that would help with attorney retention and improved performance." |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're laug Why is it that you're A. It's it has be persisted the entire defender's office. going on for forever Q. Okay. And Senate I mean, or | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? been a problem that has e time I've been in the public And it's not funny. It's been er. I you've testified to the other individuals here testifying | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management issues, his own clients do not receive proper representation; however, Mr. Carver expressed that proper management and feedback are essential elements that would help with attorney retention and improved performance." Q. Okay. And do you still agree with those |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're laug Why is it that you're A. It's it has be persisted the entire defender's office. going on for forever Q. Okay. And Senate I mean, as well, but you, y | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? been a problem that has e time I've been in the public And it's not funny. It's been er. I you've testified to the other individuals here testifying ourself, testified to the Senate | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management issues, his own clients do not receive proper representation; however, Mr. Carver expressed that proper management and feedback are essential elements that would help with attorney retention and improved performance." Q. Okay. And do you still agree with those sentiments today? |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're laug Why is it that you'd A. It's it has be persisted the entire defender's office. going on for forever Q. Okay. And Senate I mean, of as well, but you, you about this 11 and as | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? been a problem that has e time I've been in the public And it's not funny. It's been er. I you've testified to the other individuals here testifying ourself, testified to the Senate | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management issues, his own clients do not receive proper representation; however, Mr. Carver expressed that proper management and feedback are essential elements that would help with attorney retention and improved performance." Q. Okay. And do you still agree with those sentiments today? A. Absolutely. |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're lauge Why is it that you'described the entired defender's office. It is a compared to the entired defender | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? been a problem that has e time I've been in the public And it's not funny. It's been er. I you've testified to the other individuals here testifying ourself, testified to the Senate a half years ago? | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management issues, his own clients do not receive proper representation; however, Mr. Carver expressed that proper management and feedback are essential elements that would help with attorney retention and improved performance." Q. Okay. And do you still agree with those sentiments today? A. Absolutely. Q. And, in fact, is that the same sort of |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're lauge Why is it that you'described the entire defender's office. going on for forever Q. Okay. And Senate — I mean, of as well, but you, you about this 11 and a A. Yes. Q. And, if any | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? been a problem that has e time I've been in the public And it's not funny. It's been er. I you've testified to the other individuals here testifying ourself, testified to the Senate a half years ago? thing, would you say — has the | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management issues, his own clients do not receive proper representation; however, Mr. Carver expressed that proper management and feedback are essential elements that would help with attorney retention and improved performance." Q. Okay. And do you still agree with those sentiments today? A. Absolutely. Q. And, in fact, is that the same sort of internal conflict that you face as a supervisor, |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're lauge Why is it that you're defender it has been persisted the entire defender's office. going on for forever Q. Okay. And Senate — I mean, as well, but you, you about this 11 and a A. Yes. Q. And, if any problem gotten be | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? been a problem that has e time I've been in the public And it's not funny. It's been er. I you've testified to the other individuals here testifying ourself, testified to the Senate a half years ago? thing, would you say — has the etter or worse since that time? | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management issues, his own clients do not receive proper representation; however, Mr. Carver expressed that proper management and feedback are essential elements that would help with attorney retention and improved performance." Q. Okay. And do you still agree with those sentiments today? A. Absolutely. Q. And, in fact, is that the same sort of internal conflict that you face as a supervisor, trying to do what's best for your clients and for |
| Q. Okay. And you're describing A. Yes. Q. You're lauge Why is it that you're defender's office. going on for forever Q. Okay. And Senate — I mean, of as well, but you, you about this 11 and a A. Yes. Q. And, if any problem gotten be A. You know, of the serious pour terms of the serious problem gotten be a serious problem. | today? ghing, and I don't blame you. re laughing? been a problem that has e time I've been in the public And it's not funny. It's been er. I you've testified to the other individuals here testifying ourself, testified to the Senate a half years ago? thing, would you say — has the | 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | "Mr. Carver expressed the frustration of trying to balance management and representing clients. If he focuses on his own cases and does not supervise the new attorneys, clients suffer from their inexperience. If he focuses on management issues, his own clients do not receive proper representation; however, Mr. Carver expressed that proper management and feedback are essential elements that would help with attorney retention and improved performance." Q. Okay. And do you still agree with those sentiments today? A. Absolutely. Q. And, in fact, is that the same sort of internal conflict that you face as a supervisor, |

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

| | Page 153 | | Page 155 |
|--|---|--|---|
| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 motion was filed. After the first hearing or | _ |
| 2 | Q. Okay. And, again, this is something you | 2 case conference and before the second, or | |
| 3 | testified to the Senate about 11 and a half years | 3 lawyers in my office was able to take addit | |
| 4 | ago; is that right? | 4 cases, and so Mr. Williams' case was assig | |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 lawyer who then entered and began repre | |
| 6 | Q. Okay. All right. I'd like to turn to | 6 Q. Okay. And we'll get to that a little | |
| 7 | what I'll mark as Exhibit 23. | 7 more in a minute, that conference. | |
| 8 | (Deposition Exhibit No. 23 was marked for | 8 So was this is this essentially a | |
| 9 | identification.) | 9 this motion because you mentioned yo | u filed |
| 10 | Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) Plaintiff's Exhibit 23, | 10 hundreds of these types of motions, is th | is, then, |
| 11 | do you recognize this document? | 11 what became a form motion that you file | d in several |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 different cases? | |
| 13 | Q. What is it? | A. It was a template that we set up in t | he |
| 14 | A. This would be one form of the motions to | computer, and any time that the situation a | arose |
| 15 | decline representation and to you know, to | where we needed it, we just pulled up the | template |
| 16 | appoint counsel that I spoke of earlier. | 16 and used it. | |
| 17 | Q. Okay. I know this looks like it was in a | 17 Q. And was this the filings of this kin | d of |
| 18 | particular case, the case of State of Missouri v. | 18 motion the motions that you mentioned | l filing in |
| 19 | Quentin Williams; is that right? | early 2017; is that right? | |
| 20 | A. Yes. | 20 A. Yes. | |
| 21 | Q. And who drafted this who wrote and | 21 Q. Okay. | |
| 22 | filed this motion? | A. And through middle 2017. | |
| 23 | A. I did. Much of it I borrowed from | 23 Q. Right. | |
| 24 | something somebody else did previously, but I did | A. Up until Hazel v. Meyer, the writ de | cision |
| 25 | this, and I also did all of the other motions I've | was decided by the Missouri Supreme Co | urt, then we |
| | Dago 15.4 | , | |
| | Page 154 | · · | Page 156 |
| 1 | been alluding to earlier. | 1 switched to the case conference motions. | Page 156 |
| 1 2 | _ | | |
| | been alluding to earlier. | 1 switched to the case conference motions. | |
| 2 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those mo | otions. |
| 2 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those modified in marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. | otions. |
| 2 3 4 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those motions. I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was market | otions. ed for |
| 2 3 4 5 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those motions. I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) | otions. ed for nize |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those mo I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marke identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recog | otions. ed for nize |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those mo I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marke identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recog this – what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit | otions. ed for nize |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those model. I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. | ed for nize 24? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those models are also as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? | ed for nize 24? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those mode of I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting conference. | ed for nize 24? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has no lawyers able to take this case, as every lawyer | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those mode of I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting conference discuss caseload issues that was filed on belong the same of the conference of the case of th | ed for nize 24? nice to nalf |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has no lawyers able to take this case, as every lawyer in the Area 19 office is already overloaded with | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those motions. I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was market identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recognothis — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting confered discuss caseload issues that was filed on bell on Mr. Williams' case. | ed for nize 24? nice to nalf |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has no lawyers able to take this case, as every lawyer in the Area 19 office is already overloaded with cases and cannot accept additional cases without | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those motions. I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was market identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting confered discuss caseload issues that was filed on bell on Mr. Williams' case. Q. Okay. And so that's the — this is the | ed for nize 24? nice to nalf |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has no lawyers able to take this case, as every lawyer in the Area 19 office is already overloaded with cases and cannot accept additional cases without violating the Missouri Rules of Professional Conduct 4-1.1, 4-1.3, 4-1.4, 4-1.7, 4-1.16(a), and 4-5.1." Q. Okay. And, essentially, you asked the | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those model. I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting confered discuss caseload issues that was filed on bellion on Mr. Williams' case. Q. Okay. And so that's the — this is the motion that you — the type of motion that you mentioned previously that you're now filling of this motion to decline representation; is | ed for nize 24? nice to nalf |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has no lawyers able to take this case, as every lawyer in the Area 19 office is already overloaded with cases and cannot accept additional cases without violating the Missouri Rules of Professional Conduct 4-1.1, 4-1.3, 4-1.4, 4-1.7, 4-1.16(a), and 4-5.1." Q. Okay. And, essentially, you asked the court to decline — to allow you to decline | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those model. I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting confered discuss caseload issues that was filed on bellion on Mr. Williams' case. Q. Okay. And so that's the — this is the motion that you — the type of motion that you — the type of motion that you filling of this motion to decline representation; is right? | ed for nize 24? nice to nalf |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has no lawyers able to take this case, as every lawyer in the Area 19 office is already overloaded with cases and cannot accept additional cases without violating the Missouri Rules of Professional Conduct 4-1.1, 4-1.3, 4-1.4, 4-1.7, 4-1.16(a), and 4-5.1." Q. Okay. And, essentially, you asked the court to decline — to allow you to decline representation and to appoint a lawyer employed by | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those model. I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting confered discuss caseload issues that was filed on bellion on Mr. Williams' case. Q. Okay. And so that's the — this is the motion that you — the type of motion that you mentioned previously that you're now filling of this motion to decline representation; is right? A. Correct. My memory is that, also, in | ed for nize 24? nice to nalf ou instead that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has no lawyers able to take this case, as every lawyer in the Area 19 office is already overloaded with cases and cannot accept additional cases without violating the Missouri Rules of Professional Conduct 4-1.1, 4-1.3, 4-1.4, 4-1.7, 4-1.16(a), and 4-5.1." Q. Okay. And, essentially, you asked the court to decline — to allow you to decline representation and to appoint a lawyer employed by the State of Missouri or some other remedy to get | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those model i'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting confered discuss caseload issues that was filed on bellion on Mr. Williams' case. Q. Okay. And so that's the — this is the motion that you — the type of motion that you — the type of motion that you find this motion to decline representation; is right? A. Correct. My memory is that, also, in conjunction with this memory on Mr. Williams. | ed for nize 24? nce to nalf ou i instead that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has no lawyers able to take this case, as every lawyer in the Area 19 office is already overloaded with cases and cannot accept additional cases without violating the Missouri Rules of Professional Conduct 4-1.1, 4-1.3, 4-1.4, 4-1.7, 4-1.16(a), and 4-5.1." Q. Okay. And, essentially, you asked the court to decline — to allow you to decline representation and to appoint a lawyer employed by the State of Missouri or some other remedy to get the person adequate representation? | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those model. I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting confered discuss caseload issues that was filed on bellion on Mr. Williams' case. Q. Okay. And so that's the — this is the motion that you — the type of motion that you mentioned previously that you're now filling of this motion to decline representation; is right? A. Correct. My memory is that, also, in conjunction with this memory on Mr. Williams there were suggestions and support that was | ed for nize 24? nce to nalf ou instead that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has no lawyers able to take this case, as every lawyer in the Area 19 office is already overloaded with cases and cannot accept additional cases without violating the Missouri Rules of Professional Conduct 4-1.1, 4-1.3, 4-1.4, 4-1.7, 4-1.16(a), and 4-5.1." Q. Okay. And, essentially, you asked the court to decline — to allow you to decline representation and to appoint a lawyer employed by the State of Missouri or some other remedy to get the person adequate representation? A. Yes. | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those model i'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting confered discuss caseload issues that was filed on bell on Mr. Williams' case. Q. Okay. And so that's the — this is the motion that you — the type of motion that you mentioned previously that you're now filing of this motion to decline representation; is right? A. Correct. My memory is that, also, in conjunction with this memory on Mr. Williams there were suggestions and support that was a lafter his case went to conference, we took the | ed for nize 24? nce to nalf ou Instead that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has no lawyers able to take this case, as every lawyer in the Area 19 office is already overloaded with cases and cannot accept additional cases without violating the Missouri Rules of Professional Conduct 4-1.1, 4-1.3, 4-1.4, 4-1.7, 4-1.16(a), and 4-5.1." Q. Okay. And, essentially, you asked the court to decline — to allow you to decline representation and to appoint a lawyer employed by the State of Missouri or some other remedy to get the person adequate representation? A. Yes. Q. And what was the result of that motion? | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those model i'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting confered discuss caseload issues that was filed on belied on Mr. Williams' case. Q. Okay. And so that's the — this is the motion that you — the type of motion that you mentioned previously that you're now filing of this motion to decline representation; is right? A. Correct. My memory is that, also, in conjunction with this memory on Mr. Williams there were suggestions and support that was After his case went to conference, we took the motion requesting conference and the suggestions and the suggestions and the suggestions requesting conference and the suggestions. | ed for nize 24? nce to nalf ou instead that s' case, s filed. ne estions and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has no lawyers able to take this case, as every lawyer in the Area 19 office is already overloaded with cases and cannot accept additional cases without violating the Missouri Rules of Professional Conduct 4-1.1, 4-1.3, 4-1.4, 4-1.7, 4-1.16(a), and 4-5.1." Q. Okay. And, essentially, you asked the court to decline — to allow you to decline representation and to appoint a lawyer employed by the State of Missouri or some other remedy to get the person adequate representation? A. Yes. Q. And what was the result of that motion? A. It was neither granted nor denied. | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those model. I'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recognote this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibited A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting confered discuss caseload issues that was filed on bellion on Mr. Williams' case. Q. Okay. And so that's the — this is the motion that you — the type of motion that you mentioned previously that you're now filling of this motion to decline representation; is right? A. Correct. My memory is that, also, in conjunction with this memory on Mr. Williams' there were suggestions and support that was After his case went to conference, we took the motion requesting conference and the suggestions and support that was according to the suggestions and support that was according to the suggestion of the suggestio | ed for nize 24? nce to nalf ou instead that s' case, s filed. ne estions and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | been alluding to earlier. Q. Okay. And can you read just the first — well, the first sentence but just until the — until 451, so the one, two, three, four — the first five and a half lines? A. Yes. "Comes now Justin Carver, district defender of Area 19 of the Missouri State public Defender System, and informs the court that he has found the defendant to be indigent but that he has no lawyers able to take this case, as every lawyer in the Area 19 office is already overloaded with cases and cannot accept additional cases without violating the Missouri Rules of Professional Conduct 4-1.1, 4-1.3, 4-1.4, 4-1.7, 4-1.16(a), and 4-5.1." Q. Okay. And, essentially, you asked the court to decline — to allow you to decline representation and to appoint a lawyer employed by the State of Missouri or some other remedy to get the person adequate representation? A. Yes. Q. And what was the result of that motion? | switched to the case conference motions. Q. Okay. I'll show you one of those model i'm marking this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 24. (Deposition Exhibit No. 24 was marked identification.) Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recogn this — what I've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit A. Yes. Q. And what is it? A. This was a motion requesting confered discuss caseload issues that was filed on belied on Mr. Williams' case. Q. Okay. And so that's the — this is the motion that you — the type of motion that you mentioned previously that you're now filing of this motion to decline representation; is right? A. Correct. My memory is that, also, in conjunction with this memory on Mr. Williams there were suggestions and support that was After his case went to conference, we took the motion requesting conference and the suggestions and the suggestions and the suggestions requesting conference and the suggestions. | otions. ed for nize 24? nce to nalf ou instead that s' case, s filed. ne estions and equesting |

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

Page 157

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

what I'll mark as Plaintiff's Exhibit 25.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 25 was marked for identification.)

- Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And do you recognize this document?
- A. I do. This was the suggestions and support.
 - Q. The one that you were just referring to?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And this has now become a form that you're now attaching to Plaintiff's Exhibit 24, the motions, and filing in many of your new cases?
- A. We've condensed Exhibits 24 and 25 into one big giant filing and using the one big giant filing.
- Q. And, again, are you filing this in every new case or just in the cases that once you've allocated however many cases your attorneys can take at any given time, then in each additional case after that, you're filing this motion?
- A. We're filing it only in the additional cases that we feel that we cannot ethically take.
- Q. Okay. And you're determining that -which cases you -- when you've reached that point at any given moment by consulting with the attorneys in

Page 159

- about the other nonattorney staff in your office. You mention support staff at one point, you mentioned an investigator. If you could just tell me what additional staff there is other than attorneys in your office.
- A. I have three support staff members. One, the official job title is office support assistant. I have two legal assistants. In addition to those three support staff members, there is one investigator.
- Q. Okay. And that investigator was on leave but is now back from leave?
- A. Went out October 1 or very early October and is due to come back on January 2, I think, is the date he comes back.
- Q. Okay. And so currently in the office, you don't have any investigator?
- A. Right now we have a legal assistant who had other responsibilities who is attempting to fill that gap and to serve subpoenas and visit crime scenes and do evidence views and that kind, and -- yes. Our investigator is out, so we're trying to cover that with other support staff right now.
- Q. Okay. And so you mentioned the RubinBrown numbers, but it sounds like the system you have

Page 158

your office?

A. Right. So the system that we have set up internally is when support staff assigns out cases to a lawyer, Bob, they're going to track how many of which case type they've assigned to Bob, you know, as they assign out cases, and they're going to put that information in a spreadsheet that has all of the RubinBrown numbers built into it. When the lawyer gets to 100 percent of their RubinBrown capacity or just slightly above, 102 percent, what have you, that triggers an e-mail from support staff to me and to the lawyer, Hey, Lawyer Bob is at 103 percent of capacity or what have you. I then either talk or e-mail with the lawyer, and, generally, the conversation is, Hey, can you take more cases and still provide ethical, competent representation on all of your cases, or no? And if the lawyer says, Man, I think I can take one or two more, I'm probably going to give the lawyer one or two more, as long as they're providing me a rational reason for believing so. If they say No, I absolutely cannot take additional cases, then we cut them off and do not assign any additional cases, at least until the start of the next month.

Q. And just quickly, if you could, tell me

Page 160

- set up is the RubinBrown numbers, but, also not just a strict adherence to those numbers, but, also, based on your own experience, having done this for 15 years, and your attorneys' experience with their own caseloads, coming up to a holistic evaluation of going to the absolute maximum that someone could take and still provide ethical representation. Is that fair?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you mentioned in this motion and suggestions Rule 4 for the rules of professional conduct, and you mentioned that a couple of times in this deposition. And is it your understanding that that rule governs public defenders you and the other public defenders in your office, just as it governs every other attorney in the state of Missouri?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And so if you could turn -- so what we were just looking at, Plaintiff's Exhibit 25, the suggestions and support, and page 151.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if you could just read that -- the main -- the first paragraph under Roman Numeral 3.
 - A. Yes. The title heading is, "Area 19

40 (Pages 157 to 160)

| | Page 161 | | Page 163 |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|
| 1 | attorneys must be permitted to assert and rely upon | 1 | that or move to withdraw, and I've done that. |
| 2 | the rules of professional conduct." The body of the | 2 | You know, we're doing the Chapter 600 conferences as |
| 3 | paragraph reads: | 3 | well. And you know, and I self-reported because |
| 4 | "There is no exception in the rules of | 4 | I've got too many cases, and I know it. You know |
| 5 | professional conduct, Rule 4, for public defender | 5 | and you know, every time I've been ordered to |
| 6 | attorneys." And it's got the citations. | 6 | take a case, I've done it. And, like I said, I'm |
| 7 | "To the contrary, as the American Bar | 7 | working overtime. I'm doing the best I can. So I |
| 8 | Association has aptly noted, there is an implicit | 8 | don't know. I mean, I feel like I have done what |
| 9 | premise that governments, which establish and fund | 9 | the rules require me to do when I've got too many |
| 10 | providers of public defense, never intended that the | 10 | cases, but I also feel like because I've I feel |
| 11 | lawyers who furnish the representation would be | 11 | like I've tried to take action early before a lot of |
| 12 | asked to do so if it meant violating the ethical | 12 | people I knew in other offices were doing anything |
| 13 | duties pursuant to professional conduct rules." And | 13 | to address the thing. I feel like I'm probably in a |
| 14 | then there's a citation. | 14 | better situation so far as my professional licensure |
| 15 | "For this reason public defenders are | 15 | is concerned. |
| 16 | risking their own professional lives when appointed | 16 | Q. Okay. But despite the fact that you've |
| 17 | to an excessive number of cases." Citation. | 17 | moved to decline and withdraw on hundreds of your |
| 18 | Q. Okay. And those – the last two sentences | 18 | own cases, in addition to hundreds of cases for the |
| 19 | you read, the "due to the contrary" sentence and the | 19 | office, you've been ordered to appear in many of |
| 20 | "for this reason sentence," those are, in fact, just | 20 | those cases; is that right? |
| 21 | full, direct quotes from the Waters case from the | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Missouri Supreme Court; is that right? | 22 | Q. And so, therefore, you end up with the |
| 23 | A. Correct. | 23 | 213-plus cases that you mentioned earlier? |
| 24 | Q. Okay. And is this consistent with your | 24 | A. Correct. |
| 25 | understanding | 25 | Q. And you have said you don't feel like |
| | Page 162 | | Page 164 |
| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | you're able to ethically represent many of those |
| 2 | Q of what rules and responsibilities | 2 | individuals who are who you've currently entered |
| 3 | govern you and the attorneys in your office? | 3 | appearance for; is that right? |
| 4 | A. Yes. | 4 | A. I'm definitely not providing competent |
| 5 | Q. And I want to focus strictly on that last | 5 | representation to those 213 clients. |
| 6 | sentence, the "For this reason, public defenders are | 6 | Q. Despite your every intention and best |
| 7 | risking their own professional lives when appointed | 7 | effort to do so? |
| 8 | to an excessive number of cases." Do you agree with | 8 | A. Correct. |
| 9 | that sentiment expressed by the Missouri Supreme | 9 | Q. And despite the fact that you're a 15-year |
| 10 | Court? | 10 | veteran of the Missouri State Public Defender |
| 11 | A. Yeah. Everybody in the public defender's | 11 | System? |
| 12 | office that has too many cases is very concerned | 12 | A. Correct. |
| 13 | about their professional licensure at this point. | 13 | Q. And, therefore, are obviously, by |
| 14 | Q. And is that something you've heard from | 14 | virtue of that, have much more experience than |
| | the attorneys in your office? | 15 | anyone twice as much experience as anyone else in |
| 15 | | 1 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 15 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | your office, five times as much experience as the |
| | A. Yes.Q. And from attorneys in other offices around | 16 17 | your office, five times as much experience as the second-most experienced person – you know, the |
| 16 | | | • |
| 16 17 | Q. And from attorneys in other offices around | 17 | second-most experienced person you know, the |
| 16 17 18 | Q. And from attorneys in other offices around the state with whom you've spoken? | 17 18 | second-most experienced person – you know, the third most – second-most experienced line defender |
| 16 17 18 19 | Q. And from attorneys in other offices around the state with whom you've spoken?A. Yes. | 17 18 19 | second-most experienced person – you know, the third most – second-most experienced line defender in your office; is that correct? |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | Q. And from attorneys in other offices around the state with whom you've spoken? A. Yes. Q. And is that something you're concerned about yourself for your own professional license? | 17 18 19 20 | second-most experienced person – you know, the third most – second-most experienced line defender in your office; is that correct? A. Correct. |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. And from attorneys in other offices around the state with whom you've spoken? A. Yes. Q. And is that something you're concerned | 17 18 19 20 21 | second-most experienced person – you know, the third most – second-most experienced line defender in your office; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And whatever 12 times 15 – you know, |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. And from attorneys in other offices around the state with whom you've spoken? A. Yes. Q. And is that something you're concerned about yourself for your own professional license? A. Maybe I should be. I feel like I've done | 17 18 19 20 21 22 | second-most experienced person – you know, the third most – second-most experienced line defender in your office; is that correct? A. Correct. Q. And whatever 12 times 15 – you know, almost 100 times more experience than the individual |

41 (Pages 161 to 164)

| | Page 165 | Page 167 |
|--|--|--|
| 1 | Q. Yeah. Much, much more experience than he | 1 I can't think of any word better, but it's not a |
| 2 | does and many of the people in your office? | 2 criminal prosecution, but took action against Mr. |
| 3 | A. Correct. | 3 Hinkebein's license. It ended up going to the |
| 4 | Q. And you mentioned you self-reported. Can | 4 Missouri Supreme Court, and the Missouri Supreme |
| 5 | you tell me about that? | 5 Court put Mr. Hinkebein on probation. |
| 6 | A. At some point a few months ago it became | 6 Q. Okay. And is that a case that everyone in |
| 7 | clear to me that I felt like I had done everything I | 7 your office is aware of? |
| 8 | could think to do to address this situation, and yet | 8 A. Yes. |
| 9 | my caseload was not in compliance with what I felt | 9 Q. And it sounds like you've had discussions |
| 10 | like it ought to be with any of our ABA, NAC | 10 with other individuals in the MSPD about that case |
| 11 | standards, RubinBrown metric, whatever. I mean, I | 11 as well. |
| 12 | had kind of blown all of those out of the water. | 12 A. Yes. |
| 13 | And, bottom line, I was not providing competent, | 13 Q. Okay. And has that caused other attorneys |
| 14 | ethical representation, in spite of the fact I've | 14 in your office to be concerned about their own |
| 15 | been telling my courts that I am not providing | 15 license and possible ethical cases against them? |
| 16 | competent, ethical representation; please don't put | 16 A. Yes. In fact, it was after that decision |
| 17 | me on another case. So I self-reported to OCDC. | that came down I had two lawyers leave. And I asked |
| 18 | Q. How did you do so? | them point-blank, What, if any, impact did the |
| 19 | A. I just sent them a fax. | 19 Hinkebein decision have on your decision to leave? |
| 20 | Q. You sent them a fax? | 20 One described it as a consideration; the other |
| 21 | A. Yeah. | 21 described it as the straw that broke the camel's |
| 22 | Q. Okay. All right. | 22 back. Tangentially, you had asked me earlier about |
| 23 | MR. SCHERZER: I'd like, if possible, | 23 how courts have responded to my the various |
| 24 | • • | 24 motions that I've filed. I had an additional |
| 25 | Jackie, at some point, if we could get that. | 25 thought. One of my judges in Miller County told me |
| 23 | Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) And what's the do you | 23 thought. One of my judges in Miller County told me |
| | | |
| | Page 166 | Page 168 |
| 1 | Page 166 know what, if anything, have you heard about that | Page 168 1 that the right remedy is for me to quit. |
| 1 2 | - | |
| | know what, if anything, have you heard about that | 1 that the right remedy is for me to quit. |
| 2 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would |
| 2 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? |
| 2 3 4 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a |
| 2 3 4 5 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the — it means the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right word, but brought the case against Karl Hinkebein? A. Yes. | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the it means the clients, eventually, at some point, when someone |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right word, but brought the case against Karl Hinkebein? A. Yes. Q. And is that the Hinkebein decision that | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the it means the clients, eventually, at some point, when someone hired a replacement for me, they would get another lawyer; it might be their fifth or their sixth at |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right word, but brought the case against Karl Hinkebein? A. Yes. Q. And is that the Hinkebein decision that you referenced earlier; is that right? | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the — it means the clients, eventually, at some point, when someone hired a replacement for me, they would get another lawyer; it might be their fifth or their sixth at that point. I mean, I think that — you know, and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right word, but brought the case against Karl Hinkebein? A. Yes. Q. And is that the Hinkebein decision that you referenced earlier; is that right? A. Yes. | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the it means the clients, eventually, at some point, when someone hired a replacement for me, they would get another lawyer; it might be their fifth or their sixth at that point. I mean, I think that you know, and at that point, the experience level of the lawyers |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right word, but brought the case against Karl Hinkebein? A. Yes. Q. And is that the Hinkebein decision that you referenced earlier; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And can you just tell us briefly what your | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the it means the clients, eventually, at some point, when someone hired a replacement for me, they would get another lawyer; it might be their fifth or their sixth at that point. I mean, I think that you know, and at that point, the experience level of the lawyers in my office would be cut pretty dramatically. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right word, but brought the case against Karl Hinkebein? A. Yes. Q. And is that the Hinkebein decision that you referenced earlier; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And can you just tell us briefly what your understanding of that case is? | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the it means the clients, eventually, at some point, when someone hired a replacement for me, they would get another lawyer; it might be their fifth or their sixth at that point. I mean, I think that you know, and at that point, the experience level of the lawyers in my office would be cut pretty dramatically. Q. And you mentioned, nonetheless, despite |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right word, but brought the case against Karl Hinkebein? A. Yes. Q. And is that the Hinkebein decision that you referenced earlier; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And can you just tell us briefly what your understanding of that case is? A. Sure. There was a Missouri public | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the it means the clients, eventually, at some point, when someone hired a replacement for me, they would get another lawyer; it might be their fifth or their sixth at that point. I mean, I think that you know, and at that point, the experience level of the lawyers in my office would be cut pretty dramatically. Q. And you mentioned, nonetheless, despite your the concerns that you have for not only your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right word, but brought the case against Karl Hinkebein? A. Yes. Q. And is that the Hinkebein decision that you referenced earlier; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And can you just tell us briefly what your understanding of that case is? A. Sure. There was a Missouri public defender who had clients pursue complaint or | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the it means the clients, eventually, at some point, when someone hired a replacement for me, they would get another lawyer; it might be their fifth or their sixth at that point. I mean, I think that you know, and at that point, the experience level of the lawyers in my office would be cut pretty dramatically. Q. And you mentioned, nonetheless, despite your the concerns that you have for not only your colleagues and the individuals you supervise, but |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right word, but brought the case against Karl Hinkebein? A. Yes. Q. And is that the Hinkebein decision that you referenced earlier; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And can you just tell us briefly what your understanding of that case is? A. Sure. There was a Missouri public defender who had clients pursue complaint or complaints relating to lack of client contact and | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the — it means the clients, eventually, at some point, when someone hired a replacement for me, they would get another lawyer; it might be their fifth or their sixth at that point. I mean, I think that — you know, and at that point, the experience level of the lawyers in my office would be cut pretty dramatically. Q. And you mentioned, nonetheless, despite your — the concerns that you have for not only your colleagues and the individuals you supervise, but your and their clients that you're considering doing |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right word, but brought the case against Karl Hinkebein? A. Yes. Q. And is that the Hinkebein decision that you referenced earlier; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And can you just tell us briefly what your understanding of that case is? A. Sure. There was a Missouri public defender who had clients pursue complaint or complaints relating to lack of client contact and misfilling deadlines. And as I understand, there had | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the — it means the clients, eventually, at some point, when someone hired a replacement for me, they would get another lawyer; it might be their fifth or their sixth at that point. I mean, I think that — you know, and at that point, the experience level of the lawyers in my office would be cut pretty dramatically. Q. And you mentioned, nonetheless, despite your — the concerns that you have for not only your colleagues and the individuals you supervise, but your and their clients that you're considering doing that at some point because of the — of the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right word, but brought the case against Karl Hinkebein? A. Yes. Q. And is that the Hinkebein decision that you referenced earlier; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And can you just tell us briefly what your understanding of that case is? A. Sure. There was a Missouri public defender who had clients pursue complaint or complaints relating to lack of client contact and misfiling deadlines. And as I understand, there had been at least one complaint or a series of | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the it means the clients, eventually, at some point, when someone hired a replacement for me, they would get another lawyer; it might be their fifth or their sixth at that point. I mean, I think that you know, and at that point, the experience level of the lawyers in my office would be cut pretty dramatically. Q. And you mentioned, nonetheless, despite your the concerns that you have for not only your colleagues and the individuals you supervise, but your and their clients that you're considering doing that at some point because of the of the tremendous caseload and overwhelming caseload that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | know what, if anything, have you heard about that self-reporting? A. I've heard nothing. Q. Do you know what the process is for the ordinary process for such a report? A. No. Q. And you said OCDC. Can you just tell us what that is? A. Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel. Q. Was that the same office that prosecuted Karl I don't know if "prosecute" is the right word, but brought the case against Karl Hinkebein? A. Yes. Q. And is that the Hinkebein decision that you referenced earlier; is that right? A. Yes. Q. And can you just tell us briefly what your understanding of that case is? A. Sure. There was a Missouri public defender who had clients pursue complaint or complaints relating to lack of client contact and misfilling deadlines. And as I understand, there had | that the right remedy is for me to quit. Q. And did he or she explain how that would possibly be a remedy for either you or your clients? A. His thought was that that would be a remedy for me because then I would not have a caseload problem. Q. Okay. And what is your opinion about what repercussions that would have for the other individuals in your office and the clients that you and they represent? A. Well, you know, the — it means the clients, eventually, at some point, when someone hired a replacement for me, they would get another lawyer; it might be their fifth or their sixth at that point. I mean, I think that — you know, and at that point, the experience level of the lawyers in my office would be cut pretty dramatically. Q. And you mentioned, nonetheless, despite your — the concerns that you have for not only your colleagues and the individuals you supervise, but your and their clients that you're considering doing that at some point because of the — of the |

42 (Pages 165 to 168)

Page 169 Page 171 1 Q. And if it weren't for that overwhelming 1 that applies to me as an individual lawyer or one 2 caseload, is that something that you would be 2 that applies to my responsibilities as a supervisor. 3 considering otherwise? Would you be consider 3 Q. And fair to say that your current belief 4 4 quitting or resigning? is that you have -- that is, in fact, the nature of 5 A. No. I love -- I love the mission. I love 5 a Catch-22: You have no other choice. Either you 6 6 my clients. I like doing the work that we do. I'm can violate your own ethical responsibilities as a 7 7 in a convenient place in that my wife gets paid like lawyer to your own clients, or you can violate your 8 8 a real lawyer, and so I -- you know, I don't have to ethical responsibilities as a supervisor by 9 9 go make more money somewhere else. The only thing assigning that case to the other individuals in your 10 10 that I think would drive me away would be the office; is that right? 11 11 caseload. A. Correct. 12 12 Q. Okay. All right. I want to just turn Q. You don't have any other option that would 13 back quickly to Plaintiff's Exhibit 17, which is 13 fully comply with your ethical responsibilities? 14 that transcript that we talked about at the very 14 A. Correct. 15 15 beginning of the deposition. Q. Okay. And so tell -- I guess, then, 16 A. Yes. 16 quickly, while we're doing this --17 Q. Do you remember that? And so that is a 17 MR. SCHERZER: Can we go off the record 18 transcript of this hearing -- the Quentin Williams 18 for one second? 19 caseload hearing; is that right? 19 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:15 p.m., and 20 A. Yes. 20 we're off the record. 21 21 Q. Okay. And if you could turn to (A recess was taken.) 22 22 page 125. -- or excuse me -- the very bottom of 124. (Deposition Exhibit No. 26 was marked for 23 23 A Yes identification.) 2.4 Q. Can you just read starting three lines up, 24 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:20 p.m., and "if I'm appointed on Mr. Williams' case - " down to 25 25 we're back on the record. Page 170 Page 172 1 the end of that paragraph. 1 Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) So, Mr. Carver, I'm 2 2 A. Yes. showing you what's been marked as Plaintiff's 3 Q. And by -- onto the end of that paragraph, 3 Exhibit 26. Do you recognize that? 4 I mean, on 125. 4 A. Yes. These would be -- contained within 5 5 A. Understood. Exhibit 26 are the various exhibits that I submitted 6 "If I'm appointed on Mr. Williams' case, 6 to the court in relation to the case conference 7 7 I'm caught in a Catch-22, in that I can't -- I can't hearing on Mr. Quentin Williams' case. 8 8 frankly represent him, too, with all of the people Q. And we unfortunately don't have time to go 9 that I've already got. And if I assign it to a 9 through in this any detail, but fair to say, if you 10 10 turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 17, back to the junior lawyer, who I believe already has too many 11 cases as I can possibly give them, then I'm going to 11 transcript again --12 12 be violating my responsibilities as a supervisor. A. Yes. 13 13 So I'm kind of caught in a Catch-22, Judge. I'm Q. -- and look at the second page of that, 14 either going to force the lawyer to take the case, 14 which is 82 --15 15 which would violate my responsibilities as a A. Yes. Q. -- Bates stamp 82. 16 supervisor, and cause them to violate their 16 17 responsibilities under the court rules, or I can 17 18 just take it myself, and then it's just me." 18 Q. And there's an exhibit index there with 19 Q. Okay. And so the Catch-22 you're 19 Exhibits A through H. 20 describing -- and are you still -- this was months 20 21 21 ago, but are you -- I suspect I know the answer to Q. Fair to say that Exhibit 26 is - are 22 22 this, but are you still feeling like you're in -those one, two, three, four, five, six, seven --23 23 you're still experiencing that Catch-22? eight exhibits that you submitted at the caseload 24 24 conference? A. Absolutely. It's a question of which 25 ethical rule that I'm going to end up breaking: One 25 A. Yes.

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

Page 175 Page 173 1 their caseloads are such that they can't provide Q. Okay. And, in short, those -- that was 1 2 the affidavits were and are affidavits that 2 competent representation. So I'm going to find that 3 attorneys, current and former, in your office 3 they cannot be asked to take cases that would 4 4 prepared and you submitted on their behalf about violate the -- more importantly, the clients' right 5 5 their caseload and other related issues? to effective assistance of counsel. So I'm going to 6 A. Yes. 6 make that finding." 7 7 Q. Okay. So what's your understanding of Q. And the caseload printout is a printout of 8 8 what the judge found there? cases that you -- well, tell us what that caseload 9 9 A. That our caseloads are such that we cannot printout is so I don't characterize it inaccurately. 10 10 A. That was a printout of cases that I had take additional cases and provide competent 11 11 open at the time. You'll see on the top of it and representation, and so she's going to make a finding 12 12 then in the body of it there are -- you know, on the to that effect. 13 top it's got 224, and it's crossed out, and it's 13 Q. Okay. And what's the result been of that 14 written 220, and then there's a couple, you know, of 14 finding? 15 people who are crossed off of the list. Very 15 A. So we had a second hearing on the case 16 shortly before the case conference, I had closed 16 conference, and by then our situation had changed 17 those cases, but staff hadn't mechanically closed 17 some because we had hired at least one more lawyer, 18 18 maybe two -- I can't remember off the top of my them in the computer. So when I submitted what's 19 marked Exhibit H, I sort of did it with the caveat 19 head, but we were able to assign a significant 20 20 number of cases that had been awaiting counsel to that it says 224 but my current caseloads is 220 21 counsel -- including Mr. Williams. At the time of because I know those four cases had been closed. 21 22 the November 7 hearing, Mr. Williams had been in the Q. So at that time, you had 220 cases? 22 Cole County jail for five or six months. Between 23 23 A. Correct. 24 Q. So fair to say that Exhibit H, the 2.4 the November 7 hearing and the subsequent hearing, 25 caseload printout, is a printout of every open or 25 we were able to enter on his cases, and almost all Page 174 Page 176 1 active case on your docket; is that right? 1 of the other cases who -- people who are confined in 2 2 A. As of 11/7/17, yes. the Cole County jail. There was still a substantial 3 Q. Okay. Great. Since that's the only 3 block of 71 cases that we didn't know what to do 4 one -- you're done looking at it. Since that's the 4 with. We didn't have a lawyer who could possibly 5 only copy, do you mind if I take a gander at it? 5 take those cases, too, or we couldn't divide those 6 A. Oh, sorry. 6 cases up any more. In Phase 2 of the conference, 7 7 Q. I'll move on to other things, but ... the judge asked me to submit that list to her and to 8 8 So turning to Exhibit 17, the transcript Mr. Richardson, the prosecutor, and they were going 9 of the caseload conference. 9 to take a look at it to figure out what, if 10 A. Yes. 10 anything, they could do; take jail off the table, 11 Q. If you could turn to 137. 11 appoint a private lawyer, what have you. I 12 12 submitted that list to the court and to 13 13 Q. And do you see in the middle of that page Mr. Richardson. I have not received any sort of 14 there's a long quote -- two-sentence statement from 14 response one way or another as to what the court 15 the court? 15 intended to do with those 71 cases. 16 16 A. Yes. Q. And so those 71 cases are 71 individuals 17 Q. And the court, again, is -- was which 17 who qualify for public defender services? A. There are 71 cases. Some individuals had 18 judge? 18 19 A. Judge Joyce. 19 more than one case. 20 Q. Okay. And can you just read that -- those 20 Q. And how many of those individuals, if you 21 three sentences 21 know, are or were in custody? 22 22 A. Yes. So it starts on Line 10. A. Of the 71, I think, maybe one or two. 23 23 "The Court: I'm going to take it. Q. Got it. 24 24 Counsel, you are all aware that we're A. And I think at this point, if they were in

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

custody, they probably have been assigned out by

25

trying to work with the public defender and that

25

Page 177

4

6

1 now.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Okay. And to be clear, on some of those cases that are being assigned, you aren't -- you --I guess, primarily, you -- you aren't able to -well, as we've termed, conduct active representation for a period of, at least, months before starting on the case?

A. I am not voluntarily entering on anything, and I haven't been for months. The only way I'm getting in a case is if the court orders me to take a case. With Cole County cases, you know, what we're doing is at the start of every month, support staff is going to open up and assign as many cases as they can, you know, starting with in-custody clients first, and then if we can't assign more, then we start filing motions.

Q. Okay. And I just want to turn back quickly to - just for one second to Plaintiff's Exhibit 26, which I'll pass back to you. In Exhibit H, the case level report that you mentioned previously in that Exhibit 26.

A. Yes.

Q. So that's -- that caseload report, your caseload is broken down in there by type of case A and B felony, murders, drug felony, et cetera; is

Page 179

- example, right now, in Miller County, on my cases, 1 2
 - when a person wants a public defender to represent
- 3 them, they're going to fill out a formal application
 - for a public defender. I'll take that to the
- 5 responsible staff member, they'll review the
 - application, they'll check Case.net, and they
- 7 sometimes will have to call the applicant back or
- 8 call a bondsman or ask some basic questions to
- 9 figure out if the person meets the criteria for a
- 10 public defender. Once support staff makes the
- 11 determination that the applicant qualifies, you
- 12 know, if we had an available lawyer, we'd just open
- 13 up a case file, file an entry of appearance, and ask
- 14 for discovery. You know, right now, it's not guite
- 15 working like that. On my cases in Miller County
- 16 right now, instead of filing an entry and a request
- 17 for discovery, I'm filing a motion for caseload
- 18 conference or, previously, a motion to decline 19 representation or something along those lines.

20 On Cole County and Moniteau County cases, 21 it's a little bit different, in that if we've got a 22 lawyer we can assign to the thing, you know, right

23 away, certainly, we will. If we're not able to, 24 then we would be filing a motion for caseload

conference.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Page 178

that right?

A. Yes.

Q. And it lists a number of -- next to those categories, it lists a number for each of those categories. Fair to say that's the number of cases in each of those categories there was at that time, at least on your docket?

- A. Yes. Except, you know, as corrected.
- Q. As corrected by the court?
- A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And then it has a date next to 12 that.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you tell me what that date is? I mean, what that date --
 - A. I believe that that is the date when the case was opened in our system.
 - Q. And when is a case opened in your system? Is that opened at the first appearance or the first appearance that you enter an appearance?
 - A. So when we receive an application for public defender services -- well, it's -- things have been -- things are a little weird now, so this is going to be kind of complicated to explain. I'm going to do the best I can. You know, like, for

Page 180

Q. I have one more exhibit, and then some questions, and then we'll be finished. The last exhibit -- I believe we're up to Exhibit 27.

(Deposition Exhibit No. 27 was marked for identification.)

- Q. (By Mr. Scherzer) Do you recognize this --
- A. Yes.
- Q. -- document? And what is it?

A. These would be -- there was a brief period of time when we couldn't take cases. I was sort of sending them to the administrative office, and this would be the e-mails associated with the cases that we had sort of attempted to send.

Q. And if you could turn to Bates stamp 14, the last page. And so just to clarify, this is -is this the set of -- or at least a set of the e-mails that you've mentioned earlier that you had sent to Ellen Blau, and then she eventually responded that there was not going to be any magical help coming from another office?

- A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And so it looks like there were -who is Kalie Campbell?
 - A. She is the office support assistant in Area 19.

45 (Pages 177 to 180)

| | Page 181 | | Page 183 |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1 | Q. Okay. And it looks like in these previous | 1 of time. | |
| 2 | pages, she sent a – sort of a form e-mail saying | 2 Q. Okay. Given your given | the caseload |
| 3 | the Area 19 office is overloaded and cannot possibly | 3 issues that we've discussed at ler | |
| 4 | accept additional cases without violating our | 4 A. Correct. | , |
| 5 | ethical obligations? | 5 Q. Okay. All right. I'll just run | through |
| 6 | A. Yes. That was language that I typed up | 6 and try to be as quick as I can. Ju | - |
| 7 | and sent to her and asked that she paste into the | 7 summation questions about many | |
| 8 | body of an e-mail when sending the cases to the | 8 discussed today. | J |
| 9 | Columbia administrative office. | 9 In your opinion, do you have | e the time and |
| 10 | Q. Okay. So these were all it looks | 10 resources to communicate with cl | |
| 11 | like and for attachment reasons, those individual | that each case requires? | |
| 12 | e-mails didn't go through. And then at the end here | 12 A. Absolutely not. | |
| 13 | on 14, those e-mail sent October 12th, 2017 at | Q. In your opinion and spea | king now about |
| 14 | 3:01 p.m., she sent a number of — it looks like 11 | the time prior to when you institut | = |
| 15 | cases with that line, the Area 19 office is | 15 controls that caused your own cas | |
| 16 | overloaded and cannot possibly accept additional | dramatically, in your opinion, do th | |
| 17 | cases without violating our ethical obligations? | your office did the attorneys in y | |
| 18 | A. Yes. | that time, in 2016, let's say, have t | |
| 19 | Q. And that was, as you said, language that | resources to communicate with cl | |
| 20 | instructed her to send? | that each case required? | |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 A. In 2016, I do not. | |
| 22 | Q. And the response from the central office | Q. You do not believe they die | d have that |
| 23 | was, We don't have any way of handling these cases | 23 time? | a nave that |
| 24 | from anyone outside your office; your office is | 24 A. Correct. | |
| 25 | going to have to try to take other steps to try to | Q. In your opinion today, do y | ou have the |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Page 182 | | Page 184 |
| 1 | Page 182 remedy this situation? | 1 time and resources to investigate | |
| 1 2 | _ | time and resources to investigate cases in the manner that it require | each case of your |
| | remedy this situation? | | each case of your |
| 2 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. | 2 cases in the manner that it require | each case of your |
| 2 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to | cases in the manner that it requires A. No. | each case of your es? |
| 2 3 4 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought | cases in the manner that it requires A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you in: | each case of your es? stituted these ur own caseload to |
| 2 3 4 5 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. | cases in the manner that it requires A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you in caseload controls that caused you | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to rneys in your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you in: caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the atto | each case of your es? stituted these ur own caseload to rneys in your s to investigate |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you ins caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the atto office have the time and resource | each case of your es? stituted these ur own caseload to rneys in your s to investigate ed? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent | cases in the manner that it requires A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you ins caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the atto office have the time and resource each case in the manner it requires | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to rneys in your sto investigate ed? is, we have |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you in: caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the atto office have the time and resource each case in the manner it require A. No. Complicating all of this in | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to orneys in your s to investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you instance to the caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the attoroffice have the time and resource each case in the manner it require A. No. Complicating all of this is one investigator. So in addition to the case in the manner it require to the case in the manner it requires to the case in the manner that it requires to the case in the manner that it requires to the case in the manner that it requires to the case in the manner that it requires to the case in the manner that it requires to the case in the manner that it requires to the case in the manner that it requires to the case in the manner that it requires to the case in the manner that caused you spike so dramatically, did the attorogeneous the case in the manner it requires the case in t | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to orneys in your s to investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you in caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the atto office have the time and resource each case in the manner it require A. No. Complicating all of this is one investigator. So in addition to being short staffed on lawyers, we' | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to rneys in your s to investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that bond is set, generally? | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you in: caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the atto office have the time and resource each case in the manner it require A. No. Complicating all of this in one investigator. So in addition to being short staffed on lawyers, we' investigators. | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to orneys in your so to investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer re also short |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that bond is set, generally? A. Generally, in most cases, there will be | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you instance to caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the attoroffice have the time and resource each case in the manner it require A. No. Complicating all of this in one investigators. So in addition to being short staffed on lawyers, we' investigators. Q. Okay. And you've mention | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to orneys in your sto investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that bond is set, generally? A. Generally, in most cases, there will be some bond that has been fixed before that hearing. | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you in caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the atto office have the time and resource each case in the manner it require A. No. Complicating all of this i one investigator. So in addition to being short staffed on lawyers, we' investigators. Q. Okay. And you've mention previously, but without getting int | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to orneys in your sto investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer — re also short med this o specifics or illege, can you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that bond is set, generally? A. Generally, in most cases, there will be some bond that has been fixed before that hearing. Certainly, that hearing would be an opportunity to | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you in caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the atto office have the time and resource each case in the manner it require A. No. Complicating all of this i one investigator. So in addition to being short staffed on lawyers, we' investigators. Q. Okay. And you've mention previously, but without getting int compromising attorney-client priv | each case of your ess? stituted these ar own caseload to rneys in your so investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer re also short med this o specifics or illege, can you nation of a client |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that bond is set, generally? A. Generally, in most cases, there will be some bond that has been fixed before that hearing. Certainly, that hearing would be an opportunity to seek reduction of bond, if we were there. But, | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you in caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the atto office have the time and resource each case in the manner it require A. No. Complicating all of this i one investigator. So in addition to being short staffed on lawyers, we' investigators. Q. Okay. And you've mention previously, but without getting int compromising attorney-client priv think of a time when your represe | each case of your ess? stituted these ar own caseload to rneys in your so investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer re also short med this o specifics or illege, can you nation of a client |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that bond is set, generally? A. Generally, in most cases, there will be some bond that has been fixed before that hearing. Certainly, that hearing would be an opportunity to seek reduction of bond, if we were there. But, generally, we are not there. | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you in caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the atto office have the time and resource each case in the manner it require A. No. Complicating all of this i one investigator. So in addition to being short staffed on lawyers, we' investigators. Q. Okay. And you've mention previously, but without getting int compromising attorney-client priv think of a time when your represe was hampered by a lack of time to | each case of your ess? stituted these ar own caseload to rneys in your so investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer re also short med this o specifics or illege, can you nation of a client |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that bond is set, generally? A. Generally, in most cases, there will be some bond that has been fixed before that hearing. Certainly, that hearing would be an opportunity to seek reduction of bond, if we were there. But, generally, we are not there. Q. And why is it that you're not there? | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you in: caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the atto office have the time and resource each case in the manner it require A. No. Complicating all of this is one investigator. So in addition to being short staffed on lawyers, we' investigators. Q. Okay. And you've mention previously, but without getting int compromising attorney-client priv think of a time when your represe was hampered by a lack of time to case? | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to orneys in your set to investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer — re also short and this o specifics or illege, can you ontation of a client or investigate the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that bond is set, generally? A. Generally, in most cases, there will be some bond that has been fixed before that hearing. Certainly, that hearing would be an opportunity to seek reduction of bond, if we were there. But, generally, we are not there. Q. And why is it that you're not there? A. The — we simply — I mean, at the end of | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you in: caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the atto office have the time and resource each case in the manner it require A. No. Complicating all of this in one investigator. So in addition to being short staffed on lawyers, we' investigators. Q. Okay. And you've mention previously, but without getting int compromising attorney-client priv think of a time when your represe was hampered by a lack of time to case? A. Yes. | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to orneys in your set to investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer — re also short and this o specifics or allege, can you ontation of a client or investigate the in 2016, without |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that bond is set, generally? A. Generally, in most cases, there will be some bond that has been fixed before that hearing. Certainly, that hearing would be an opportunity to seek reduction of bond, if we were there. But, generally, we are not there. Q. And why is it that you're not there? A. The — we simply — I mean, at the end of the day, what it boils down to is, we simply do not have enough people to even think about stationing | cases in the manner that it require A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you instance caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the attoroffice have the time and resource each case in the manner it require A. No. Complicating all of this in one investigator. So in addition to being short staffed on lawyers, we' investigators. Q. Okay. And you've mention previously, but without getting into compromising attorney-client prive think of a time when your represe was hampered by a lack of time to case? A. Yes. Q. And, again, same question | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to orneys in your set to investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer — re also short sed this ospecifics or illege, can you entation of a client or investigate the in 2016, without office, without |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that bond is set, generally? A. Generally, in most cases, there will be some bond that has been fixed before that hearing. Certainly, that hearing would be an opportunity to seek reduction of bond, if we were there. But, generally, we are not there. Q. And why is it that you're not there? A. The — we simply — I mean, at the end of the day, what it boils down to is, we simply do not | cases in the manner that it requires A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you instance caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the attored office have the time and resource each case in the manner it requires A. No. Complicating all of this is one investigator. So in addition to being short staffed on lawyers, we' investigators. Q. Okay. And you've mention previously, but without getting into compromising attorney-client priviously, but without getting into compromising attorney-client priviously think of a time when your represe was hampered by a lack of time to case? A. Yes. Q. And, again, same question getting for the attorneys in your | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to rneys in your set o investigate ed? is, we have the lawyer — re also short med this o specifics or illege, can you entation of a client or investigate the in 2016, without office, without illege, can you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that bond is set, generally? A. Generally, in most cases, there will be some bond that has been fixed before that hearing. Certainly, that hearing would be an opportunity to seek reduction of bond, if we were there. But, generally, we are not there. Q. And why is it that you're not there? A. The — we simply — I mean, at the end of the day, what it boils down to is, we simply do not have enough people to even think about stationing them in a courtroom, you know, in the event that | cases in the manner that it requires A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you instance caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the attored office have the time and resource each case in the manner it requires A. No. Complicating all of this is one investigator. So in addition to being short staffed on lawyers, we' investigators. Q. Okay. And you've mention previously, but without getting into compromising attorney-client priviously think of a time when your represe was hampered by a lack of time to case? A. Yes. Q. And, again, same question getting — for the attorneys in your compromising attorney-client priviced compromising attorney-client priviced compromising attorney-client priviced compromising attorney-client priviced case. | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to orneys in your sto investigate ed? eis, we have the lawyer re also short med this o specifics or illege, can you on tation of a client or investigate the in 2016, without office, without illege, can you tation of a client |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | remedy this situation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I just want to go quickly to initial hearings, when someone is first brought before the judge. A. Yes. Q. Is your office present at those — does your office enter an appearance and represent individuals at those hearings? A. Generally, no. Q. Okay. And is it at those hearings that bond is set, generally? A. Generally, in most cases, there will be some bond that has been fixed before that hearing. Certainly, that hearing would be an opportunity to seek reduction of bond, if we were there. But, generally, we are not there. Q. And why is it that you're not there? A. The — we simply — I mean, at the end of the day, what it boils down to is, we simply do not have enough people to even think about stationing them in a courtroom, you know, in the event that somebody had gotten arrested, you know, the night | cases in the manner that it requires A. No. Q. And in 2016, before you instance caseload controls that caused you spike so dramatically, did the attorement of the spike so dramatically | each case of your es? stituted these or own caseload to orneys in your sto investigate ed? eis, we have the lawyer re also short med this o specifics or illege, can you on tation of a client or investigate the in 2016, without office, without illege, can you tation of a client |

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

| Page 185 | | Page 187 |
|--|--|--|
| A. I'm confident that it happened. I | 1 | A. No. |
| couldn't I mean, as I'm sitting here now, I | 2 | Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, |
| couldn't think of a case, even in my mind, without | 3 | before the caseload controls, did the attorneys in |
| speaking the name, which but, yes. Understanding | 4 | your office have the time and resources to |
| the caseload we were carrying in 2016, yes. | 5 | adequately prepare for trial? |
| Q. Okay. In your opinion, do you have the | 6 | A. No. |
| time and resources to obtain and review discovery in | 7 | Q. Okay. In your opinion, do you have the |
| the manner each case requires? | 8 | time and resources to adequately negotiate plea |
| A. No. | 9 | deals and counsel your clients on whether or not to |
| Q. In 2016, for the attorneys in your office, | 10 | accept a plea deal? |
| did they have the time and resources to obtain and | 11 | A. No. |
| review discovery in the manner each case requires? | 12 | Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, did |
| A. No. | 13 | the attorneys in your office have the time and |
| Q. Speaking of your caseload, can you, | 14 | resources to negotiate plea deals and counsel your |
| without getting into specifics, think of a time when | 15 | clients on whether or not to accept a plea deal? |
| your representation of a client was hampered by a | 16 | A. No. |
| lack of time to obtain and review discovery? | 17 | Q. Without getting into specifics, can you |
| A. Yes. | 18 | think of a time when you could have gotten your |
| Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and | 19 | client a better plea deal with more time and |
| resources to consult with experts in the manner each | 20 | appropriate resources? |
| case requires? | 21 | A. Yes. |
| A. No. | 22 | Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and |
| Q. In 2016, speaking of the attorneys in your | 23 | resources to adequately advise your clients on the |
| office, did they have the time and resources to | 24 | immigration consequences of the decisions they make |
| consult with experts in the manner each case | 25 | about their criminal cases? |
| Page 186 | | |
| . 450 100 | | Page 188 |
| requires? | 1 | Page 188 A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have |
| - | 1 2 | _ |
| requires? | | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have |
| requires? A. No. | 2 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you | 2 3 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when | 2 3 4 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a | 2 3 4 5 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? | 2 3 4 5 6 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. No. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who have been in jail for two months. Somewhere there's |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. No. Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who have been in jail for two months. Somewhere there's probably an immigration issue. So I'm thinking out |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. No. Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, before you instituted these caseload controls, did | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who have been in jail for two months. Somewhere there's probably an immigration issue. So I'm thinking out loud in response to your question. |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. No. Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, before you instituted these caseload controls, did the attorneys in your office have the time and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who have been in jail for two months. Somewhere there's probably an immigration issue. So I'm thinking out loud in response to your question. Q. Understood. |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. No. Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, before you instituted these caseload controls, did the attorneys in your office have the time and resources to research and file pretrial motions in | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who have been in jail for two months. Somewhere there's probably an immigration issue. So I'm thinking out loud in response to your question. Q. Understood. A. And now I've lost track of your initial |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. No. Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, before you instituted these caseload controls, did the attorneys in your office have the time and resources to research and file pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who have been in jail for two months. Somewhere there's probably an immigration issue. So I'm thinking out loud in response to your question. Q. Understood. A. And now I've lost track of your initial question. I apologize. |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. No. Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, before you instituted these caseload controls, did the attorneys in your office have the time and resources to research and file pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. They did not. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who have been in jail for two months. Somewhere there's probably an immigration issue. So I'm thinking out loud in response to your question. Q. Understood. A. And now I've lost track of your initial question. I apologize. Q. No. I think that I think you've |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. No. Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, before you instituted these caseload controls, did the attorneys in your office have the time and resources to research and file pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. They did not. Q. Without getting into specifics, can you | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who have been in jail for two months. Somewhere there's probably an immigration issue. So I'm thinking out loud in response to your question. Q. Understood. A. And now I've lost track of your initial question. I apologize. Q. No. I think that I think you've answered it. |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. No. Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, before you instituted these caseload controls, did the attorneys in your office have the time and resources to research and file pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. They did not. Q. Without getting into specifics, can you think of a time when your representation of a client | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who have been in jail for two months. Somewhere there's probably an immigration issue. So I'm thinking out loud in response to your question. Q. Understood. A. And now I've lost track of your initial question. I apologize. Q. No. I think that I think you've answered it. A. Okay. Q. Is it reasonable to say you very well may |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. No. Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, before you instituted these caseload controls, did the attorneys in your office have the time and resources to research and file pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. They did not. Q. Without getting into specifics, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to research and file | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who have been in jail for two months. Somewhere there's probably an immigration issue. So I'm thinking out loud in response to your question. Q. Understood. A. And now I've lost track of your initial question. I apologize. Q. No. I think that I think you've answered it. A. Okay. Q. Is it reasonable to say you very well may |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. No. Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, before you instituted these caseload controls, did the attorneys in your office have the time and resources to research and file pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. They did not. Q. Without getting into specifics, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to research and file pretrial motions? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who have been in jail for two months. Somewhere there's probably an immigration issue. So I'm thinking out loud in response to your question. Q. Understood. A. And now I've lost track of your initial question. I apologize. Q. No. I think that I think you've answered it. A. Okay. Q. Is it reasonable to say you very well may not even be aware of an immigration consequence. |
| requires? A. No. Q. Without getting into specifics, and you mentioned one already, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to consult with an expert? A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, do you have the time and resources to file and research pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. No. Q. In your opinion, speaking about 2016, before you instituted these caseload controls, did the attorneys in your office have the time and resources to research and file pretrial motions in the manner each case requires? A. They did not. Q. Without getting into specifics, can you think of a time when your representation of a client was hampered by a lack of time to research and file pretrial motions? A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. I well, I don't feel, generally, I have enough time to counsel my clients. I'm trying to think of a situation in which I felt I didn't have time to discuss an immigration issue. I'm not thinking of one, but, you know, to be able to think of one, I have to be aware of the issue, and to be aware of the issue, I really need to talk to my client. And as I mentioned earlier, my client contact right now is atrocious. I've got people who have been in jail for two months. Somewhere there's probably an immigration issue. So I'm thinking out loud in response to your question. Q. Understood. A. And now I've lost track of your initial question. I apologize. Q. No. I think that I think you've answered it. A. Okay. Q. Is it reasonable to say you very well may not even be aware of an immigration consequence because of your inability, due to your caseload, to |

47 (Pages 185 to 188)

| | Page 189 | | Page 191 |
|--|--|--|---|
| he had been in jai | I for five or six months. Had you | 1 | A. Okay. I'll stop you if I need a break. |
| been able to spea | k with him in that time? | 2 | Q. Excellent. Please do. |
| A. I had talked | with him very quickly over | 3 | Again, my name is Steven Alan Ramsey, and |
| the phone a couple | e of times to explain the case | 4 | I represent the state of Missouri and Governor |
| conference motion | n and our inability to assign him a | 5 | Greitens in this matter. I will attempt not to |
| lawyer. I certainly | engaged in no substantive legal | 6 | interrupt you |
| representation, and | d, to my knowledge, nobody from | 7 | A. Okay. |
| the office did eithe | er. | 8 | Q while you are answering my questions. |
| Q. For at least | t five and, perhaps, six or | 9 | If I do, the reason will be twofold: One is simply |
| more months that | he had been waiting for | 10 | because I'm impatient, which I apologize for in |
| representation? | | 11 | advance, but the second is because you're answering |
| A. Correct. | | 12 | a question that I did not ask. |
| Q. Okay. In ye | our just some a few | 13 | A. Okay. |
| three or four final | | 14 | Q. To begin, going back to your background, |
| In your opin | ion, can the attorneys if | 15 | did you start at the Missouri State Public Defender |
| you had not institu | uted the caseload controls, could | 16 | System right out of law school, or did you have a |
| the attorneys in yo | our office adequately represent | 17 | job prior to joining the public defender system? |
| all of the clients or | n their docket? | 18 | A. I worked at a private firm. |
| A. No. | | 19 | Q. Okay. What firm was that? |
| Q. And that's | true no matter how experienced | 20 | A. Mariea M-a-r-i-e-a & Sigmund |
| that lawyer might | be? | 21 | S-i-g-m-u-n-d in Jefferson City, Missouri. |
| A. Correct. | | 22 | Q. About how long were you there? |
| Q. In your opin | nion, now that you have | 23 | A. One year. |
| = = = | eload controls and have 213 open | 24 | Q. One year. And then did you hold any other |
| cases yourself, co | uld any attorney adequately | 25 | positions before that after law school? |
| | Page 190 | | Page 192 |
| represent clients, g | given the constraints you're | 1 | A. No. |
| | | 2 | Q. Did you go straight from undergrad into |
| facing? | these cases. There's some | ر ا | |
| • | tilese cases. There's some | 3 | law school? |
| • | | 4 | law school? A. Yes. |
| A. No. Not on t very serious cases | | | |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, | in these 213. | 4 | A. Yes. |
| A. No. Not on t very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous a | in these 213. , that's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, | 4 5 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, | in these 213. , that's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, | 4 5 6 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. | in these 213. , that's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, g | 4 5 6 7 | A. Yes.Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major?A. I majored in international business with a |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. | in these 213. , that's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, | 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirements | in these 213. , that's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, g | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous acts budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. | in these 213. , that's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, g | 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is the | in these 213. that's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, g ents that you have as the ere anything else you think we | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to the workload and the caseload concerns. So what I'm |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is the should know regar | in these 213. that's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, g ents that you have as the | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to the workload and the caseload concerns. So what I'm gathering is you've talked to a number of judges. |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is the should know regar | in these 213. Ithat's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, g ents that you have as the ere anything else you think we rding your ability or the ability of ide effective representation? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to the workload and the caseload concerns. So what I'm gathering is you've talked to a number of judges. A. Yes. |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is the should know regard your office to proving the should show the should show the should show regard your office to proving the should show the should show regard your office to proving the should show the should show regard your office to proving the should show the should show the should should show the should | in these 213. Ithat's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, g ents that you have as the ere anything else you think we rding your ability or the ability of ide effective representation? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to the workload and the caseload concerns. So what I'm gathering is you've talked to a number of judges. A. Yes. Q. Have you spoken to organizations as well? |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is the should know regard your office to proving the control of the c | in these 213. Ithat's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, g ents that you have as the ere anything else you think we rding your ability or the ability of ide effective representation? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to the workload and the caseload concerns. So what I'm gathering is you've talked to a number of judges. A. Yes. Q. Have you spoken to organizations as well? A. So there was let's see. In |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is the should know regard your office to proving the control of the c | in these 213. Ithat's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, great ents that you have as the ere anything else you think we rding your ability or the ability of ide effective representation? of anything. | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to the workload and the caseload concerns. So what I'm gathering is you've talked to a number of judges. A. Yes. Q. Have you spoken to organizations as well? A. So there was let's see. In Jefferson City there was sort of a community |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is the should know regard your office to proving the control of the c | in these 213. Ithat's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, g ents that you have as the ere anything else you think we rding your ability or the ability of ide effective representation? of anything. ER: Thank you very much for | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to the workload and the caseload concerns. So what I'm gathering is you've talked to a number of judges. A. Yes. Q. Have you spoken to organizations as well? A. So there was let's see. In Jefferson City there was sort of a community forum on a completely different issue. In the context of that issue, public defender caseloads did |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous as budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is the should know regar your office to proving the control of the con | in these 213. I that's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, g ents that you have as the ere anything else you think we rding your ability or the ability of ide effective representation? of anything. ER: Thank you very much for e today. That's all I have for | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation — not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to the workload and the caseload concerns. So what I'm gathering is you've talked to a number of judges. A. Yes. Q. Have you spoken to organizations as well? A. So there was — let's see. In Jefferson City there was sort of a community forum — on a completely different issue. In the context of that issue, public defender caseloads did come up. I've certainly spoken with a number of |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is the should know regard your office to prove the control of the con | in these 213. Ithat's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, g ents that you have as the ere anything else you think we rding your ability or the ability of ide effective representation? of anything. ER: Thank you very much for | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation — not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to the workload and the caseload concerns. So what I'm gathering is you've talked to a number of judges. A. Yes. Q. Have you spoken to organizations as well? A. So there was — let's see. In Jefferson City there was sort of a community forum — on a completely different issue. In the context of that issue, public defender caseloads did come up. I've certainly spoken with a number of different media organizations. Those are the two |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is the should know regard your office to prove the company of the com | in these 213. Ithat's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, g ents that you have as the ere anything else you think we rding your ability or the ability of ide effective representation? of anything. ER: Thank you very much for e today. That's all I have for EXAMINATION | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to the workload and the caseload concerns. So what I'm gathering is you've talked to a number of judges. A. Yes. Q. Have you spoken to organizations as well? A. So there was let's see. In Jefferson City there was sort of a community forum on a completely different issue. In the context of that issue, public defender caseloads did come up. I've certainly spoken with a number of different media organizations. Those are the two things that come to mind in response to your |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is the should know regard your office to provice. A. I can't think of Q. Okay. MR. SCHERZI your testimony here now. CROSS-IBY MR. RAMSEY: Q. Would you | in these 213. Ithat's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, gr- ents that you have as the ere anything else you think we rding your ability or the ability of ide effective representation? of anything. ER: Thank you very much for e today. That's all I have for EXAMINATION like to take a break at this | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to the workload and the caseload concerns. So what I'm gathering is you've talked to a number of judges. A. Yes. Q. Have you spoken to organizations as well? A. So there was let's see. In Jefferson City there was sort of a community forum on a completely different issue. In the context of that issue, public defender caseloads did come up. I've certainly spoken with a number of different media organizations. Those are the two things that come to mind in response to your question, but I were those responsive to what you |
| A. No. Not on to very serious cases Q. And, again, to the numerous and budgeting, training A. Correct. Q requirement district defender? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Is the should know regard your office to provice. A. I can't think of Q. Okay. MR. SCHERZI your testimony here now. CROSS-IBY MR. RAMSEY: Q. Would you | in these 213. Ithat's 213 cases, in addition dministrative, supervisory, hiring, gr- ents that you have as the ere anything else you think we rding your ability or the ability of ide effective representation? of anything. ER: Thank you very much for e today. That's all I have for EXAMINATION like to take a break at this u like to push on through? | 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Yes. Q. What did you study in undergrad? What was your major? A. I majored in international business with a minor in Spanish. Q. Turning to your preparation — not necessarily for this deposition, but in regard to the workload and the caseload concerns. So what I'm gathering is you've talked to a number of judges. A. Yes. Q. Have you spoken to organizations as well? A. So there was — let's see. In Jefferson City there was sort of a community forum — on a completely different issue. In the context of that issue, public defender caseloads did come up. I've certainly spoken with a number of different media organizations. Those are the two things that come to mind in response to your |

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

| | Page 193 | | Page 195 |
|--|--|--|---|
| 1 Q. A | nd I understand it's a very broad | 1 | A. Okay. |
| 2 question | | 2 | Q. At this point in time, do you have a sense |
| 3 A. Ri | ght. Right. | 3 | for how many cases total your district has opened in |
| 4 Q. | being with the system for 15 years. So | 4 | 2017? |
| 5 say in the | e past year or so a couple of years, | 5 | A. Yes. As of yesterday, I believe it was |
| 6 what me | mbers of the press or what press | 6 | 1,788. |
| 7 organiza | tions pardon me have you spoken to, if | 7 | Q. Do you have a sense for how many let me |
| 8 you can | recollect? | 8 | rephrase. |
| 9 A. KO | OMU, KRCG, the Jefferson City News | 9 | Do you have a sense for the percentage of |
| 10 Tribune. | There have been a couple of different | 10 | criminal cases that arise in Cole, Miller, and |
| 11 student r | eporters majoring in journalism who were | 11 | Moniteau Counties that the public defender system |
| 12 affiliated | with one of the major news sources, but I | 12 | takes in, as opposed to the private bar? |
| 13 can't rem | ember the names of these students or the | 13 | A. Ballpark, probably we have about |
| 14 media so | urce that they were affiliated with. I may | 14 | 80 percent of the cases, but that's a very rough |
| 15 have spo | ken to the Lake Expo. One of the students | 15 | approximation. |
| 16 was asso | ciated with KBIA. I know I've talked to a | 16 | Q. Sure. It could be more |
| 17 reporter | with the Columbia Tribune, but I can't | 17 | A. It could be more; it could be less. |
| 18 remembe | er if it was about caseloads or something | 18 | Q and it could be less? |
| 19 else. The | ere's an Ozark radio. That's all I can | 19 | A. Yup. |
| 20 remember | er off of the top of my head. | 20 | Q. Have you noticed any trends in how your |
| 21 Q. I 1 | ailed to mention this, but a number of | 21 | district defend cases? And what I mean by that, |
| | tions will be twofold. | 22 | have you noticed that, say, in 2005, you would take |
| 23 A. OI | kay. | 23 | maybe two depositions versus 2017, where per case |
| 24 Q. N | ot those beginning ones, but when we get | 24 | you're taking, I don't know, five depositions. Have |
| | e or less your role as the district | 25 | you seen an increase in depositions? |
| | Page 194 | | Page 196 |
| 1 defender, | _ | 1 | A. I really don't know. I would have to go |
| `` | one, it's in your personal experience, onal caseload, and then the second question | 2 | back and play with some numbers. I couldn't tell |
| , , | garding the attorneys that you supervise | 3 | you off of the top of my head. |
| • | taff that you supervise. So that | 4 | Q. Would your answer be the same for the |
| | n will be present almost throughout the | 5 | usage of experts? |
| | this line of questioning. | 6 | A. I couldn't tell you off of the top of my |
| 7 A. Ok | • | 7 | head. |
| | you have a sense at this point in time | 8 | |
| ○ Q. DC | • | | Q. Would your answer be the same for the |
| 9 how many | | 9 | |
| 9 how man | dn't mean to interrupt you. You can | 1 1 0 | amount of plea deals that the you see come |
| 10 A. I di | dn't mean to interrupt you. You can | 10 | through your office? |
| 10 A. I di | question if you want, but I had an | 11 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. | 11 12 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional 13 Q. Ple | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. | 11 12 13 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a different office in 2005, and plea deals are very |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional 13 Q. Ple 14 A. You | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. ease. u asked me about organizations I had | 11 12 13 14 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a different office in 2005, and plea deals are very jurisdictional, you know? One elected prosecutor is |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional 13 Q. Ple 14 A. You 15 spoken to | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. ease. u asked me about organizations I had . The Senate interim committee, the | 11 12 13 14 15 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a different office in 2005, and plea deals are very jurisdictional, you know? One elected prosecutor is going to decide he's going to get very tough on |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional 13 Q. Ple 14 A. You 15 spoken to 16 Spangent | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. ease. u asked me about organizations I had . The Senate interim committee, the berg Group. Very distinctly, I remember | 11 12 13 14 15 16 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a different office in 2005, and plea deals are very jurisdictional, you know? One elected prosecutor is going to decide he's going to get very tough on crime; you may get more trials. I would have a hard |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional 13 Q. Ple 14 A. You 15 spoken to 16 Spangent 17 meeting v | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. pase. u asked me about organizations I had . The Senate interim committee, the perg Group. Very distinctly, I remember with them in 2009. I don't recall if I | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a different office in 2005, and — plea deals are very jurisdictional, you know? One elected prosecutor is going to decide he's going to get very tough on crime; you may get more trials. I would have a hard time speaking to that. |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional 13 Q. Ple 14 A. You 15 spoken to 16 Spangent 17 meeting w 18 spoke with | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. pase. u asked me about organizations I had . The Senate interim committee, the perg Group. Very distinctly, I remember with them in 2009. I don't recall if I in them in 2005. I don't know if you would | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a different office in 2005, and — plea deals are very jurisdictional, you know? One elected prosecutor is going to decide he's going to get very tough on crime; you may get more trials. I would have a hard time speaking to that. Q. And that's more than fine. As we proceed, |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional 13 Q. Ple 14 A. You 15 spoken to 16 Spangent 17 meeting w 18 spoke wit 19 consider | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. Pase. U asked me about organizations I had The Senate interim committee, the perg Group. Very distinctly, I remember with them in 2009. I don't recall if I had them in 2005. I don't know if you would be yeah, I suppose it was an organization. | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a different office in 2005, and plea deals are very jurisdictional, you know? One elected prosecutor is going to decide he's going to get very tough on crime; you may get more trials. I would have a hard time speaking to that. Q. And that's more than fine. As we proceed, if you simply can't answer, that's fine? |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional 13 Q. Ple 14 A. You 15 spoken to 16 Spangent 17 meeting w 18 spoke wit 19 consider - 20 When Rut | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. pase. u asked me about organizations I had . The Senate interim committee, the pare Group. Very distinctly, I remember with them in 2009. I don't recall if I had them in 2005. I don't know if you would be yeah, I suppose it was an organization. | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a different office in 2005, and plea deals are very jurisdictional, you know? One elected prosecutor is going to decide he's going to get very tough on crime; you may get more trials. I would have a hard time speaking to that. Q. And that's more than fine. As we proceed, if you simply can't answer, that's fine? A. If I think of something, I'll pause you, |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional 13 Q. Ple 14 A. You 15 spoken to 16 Spangent 17 meeting v 18 spoke wit 19 consider - 20 When Rut 21 one of the | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. pase. u asked me about organizations I had . The Senate interim committee, the perg Group. Very distinctly, I remember with them in 2009. I don't recall if I had them in 2005. I don't know if you would be yeah, I suppose it was an organization. DinBrown was developing their protocol, I was be panel members involved in that process. | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a different office in 2005, and — plea deals are very jurisdictional, you know? One elected prosecutor is going to decide he's going to get very tough on crime; you may get more trials. I would have a hard time speaking to that. Q. And that's more than fine. As we proceed, if you simply can't answer, that's fine? A. If I think of something, I'll pause you, if that's all right. |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional 13 Q. Ple 14 A. You 15 spoken to 16 Spangent 17 meeting v 18 spoke wit 19 consider- 20 When Rut 21 one of the 22 I do | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. Passe. La asked me about organizations I had The Senate interim committee, the paragraph of the parag | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a different office in 2005, and plea deals are very jurisdictional, you know? One elected prosecutor is going to decide he's going to get very tough on crime; you may get more trials. I would have a hard time speaking to that. Q. And that's more than fine. As we proceed, if you simply can't answer, that's fine? A. If I think of something, I'll pause you, if that's all right. Q. Sounds good. |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional 13 Q. Ple 14 A. You 15 spoken to 16 Spangent 17 meeting w 18 spoke wit 19 consider 20 When Rut 21 one of the 22 I do 23 your ques | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. Passe. La asked me about organizations I had The Senate interim committee, the perg Group. Very distinctly, I remember with them in 2009. I don't recall if I had them in 2005. I don't know if you would reyeah, I suppose it was an organization. Din Brown was developing their protocol, I was a panel members involved in that process. The know if that was responsive to thon; I'm just still trying to think of | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a different office in 2005, and plea deals are very jurisdictional, you know? One elected prosecutor is going to decide he's going to get very tough on crime; you may get more trials. I would have a hard time speaking to that. Q. And that's more than fine. As we proceed, if you simply can't answer, that's fine? A. If I think of something, I'll pause you, if that's all right. Q. Sounds good. A. All right. |
| 10 A. I di 11 finish the 12 additional 13 Q. Ple 14 A. You 15 spoken to 16 Spangent 17 meeting w 18 spoke wit 19 consider - 20 When Rut 21 one of the 22 I do 23 your ques 24 other organism | question if you want, but I had an thought about your earlier question. Passe. La asked me about organizations I had The Senate interim committee, the paragraph of the parag | 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | through your office? A. It's hard to speak to that, and there are a couple of reasons why: One was is I was in a different office in 2005, and plea deals are very jurisdictional, you know? One elected prosecutor is going to decide he's going to get very tough on crime; you may get more trials. I would have a hard time speaking to that. Q. And that's more than fine. As we proceed, if you simply can't answer, that's fine? A. If I think of something, I'll pause you, if that's all right. Q. Sounds good. |

49 (Pages 193 to 196)

Page 197

- A. Sure. I would define a proceeding under one case number as a case. So, for example, Case 123, I have eight counts of wildly unrelated things all filed under one case number, I would call that one case. If under Case No. 125, I have a probation violation -- even if the issues are going to overlap the primary case, I would count that probation violation as a second case, in large part because even if the issues overlap, the issues may be slightly different, and there's going to be additional court dates, additional things I need to do, different legal issues, so on and so forth.
- Q. Would that same logic -- so let's say the case is 127 --
 - A. Yes.

- Q. and that case went from an associate circuit into a circuit. When they receive that new number, would that be a separate case as well?
 - A. I would count that as one continuous case.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. The only change in the number in that hypothetical would be they add the 01 on the end. We would consider that the same case.
- Q. And would the same hold true if additional files were charged onto that original case?

Page 199

- the case I give her my directions, in terms of how I want her to do it. She manually does it, kind of within the parameters that I have given her.
- Q. And would that be your office assistant or would that be one of your legal assistants?
 - A. That would be one of the legal assistants.
- Q. And are those directions written down anywhere? I know you testified earlier as to geographic location playing a large role.
- A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Are there any other, I guess, considerations that go into which cases are assigned where? I know you mentioned, as well, that your least experienced attorney has about 70. So I imagine experience plays into that role as well.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What other factors, if any?
- A. You know, certainly, the case type. The lawyer that's been practicing law for 29 days, I would not assign him a murder, rape, robbery, kidnapping, complex case with DNA or -- you know, something along those lines. So, you know, there's that kind of component to the thing, how much experience does the lawyer have as compared to the case? For example, in Cole County, we've got a

Page 198

- A. Yes. So say my Case No. 123, pretend I'm initially charged with stealing. It goes to the grand jury, and the grand jury indicts me for robbery, armed criminal action, everything under the sun, and stealing all out of the same incident, I would still consider that one case.
- Q. And when you're saying you would consider it, it would be considered like that in your district and in how you allocate cases as one case versus another case?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay. Now --
- A. Now, hypothetically speaking, pretend I'm charged in associate court. That case is then dismissed and refiled -- or I'm charged in circuit court and it's dismissed and refiled and it's refiled under a new case number out of the same incident. I would call that a second case.
- Q. Understood. Now, as the district defender, you have the task of allocating how cases are distributed amongst your staff?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And you do not shift that responsibility onto any other of your staff members?
 - A. Well, I give my -- the staff that assigns

Page 200

- couple of lawyers who have got, you know, two or
 three years under their belt in experience. As far
- as I'm concerned, for the more serious cases, those
 are going to be the lawyers that I'm going to look
- 5 to, as compared to the lawyer that's been practicing
- 6 for six months. For that kind of core group of the
- three more experienced lawyers, you know, we try to assign the bigger cases roughly equitably so that we don't have one lawyer with all of the murder cases.
 - don't have one lawyer with all of the murder cases, for example.
 - Was that responsive?
 - Q. It was.
- 13 A. Okay. Thank you.
 - Q. Are there any policies or procedures, aside from your instructions to this legal assistant to inform your district how cases are distributed, or is it simply your directions to that legal assistant that would do that? So said another way, are there any actual policies and procedures just flat out?
 - A. Like, written down on a piece of paper?
 - Q. Uh-huh.
 - A. Typically, it's a -- me walking over to her and saying, Hey, let's do this different or something like that.

50 (Pages 197 to 200)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

state regulations.

Page 201

you made and what it's like now. 1 2 A. Yes. My -- and this is me sort of

Page 203

Q. Sure. Just curious, are any public defenders within your district, including yourself, ever on a 24/7-hour duty, if you will?

A. In terms of getting phone calls from courts or judges come to the court in the middle of the night, we need to arraign somebody --

Q. Never heard of that, but --

A. Okay.

Q. Sure.

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Q. Along with that hypothetical, is there anyone assigned for 24/7-hour-type duty or responsibilities?

A. No. And I -- no. And, you know, like in my jurisdiction, we don't have nighttime, weekend arrangements. I've heard that's a thing, like, in big cities. I don't know. I mean, if something came up in the middle of the night, I assume it would be me that dealt with it.

Q. Referring to the standard operating procedure, if you will, that was referenced before, I thought I heard there was no such thing as a standard operating procedure at the district level but there was -- or that there are general policies at the statewide level. Was that your testimony?

paraphrasing or -- but my -- as I understand, my predecessor took the approach of, if you're asking for a public defender, you probably need one; so you're probably going to qualify. And, you know, when I took over the office at the end of 2014 -you know, when I looked at the written pieces of paper, the application for public defender services, you know, I'd look at the things and I'd see -- it looked to me like it might be incomplete, or we would have information indicating that the client had posted a significant bond or several significant bonds or that they'd had a really expensive private lawyer on another case or something of that kind.

And I felt like we were entering on cases that --

that we're required to follow under the code of

where the applicant was outside of the guidelines

And so one of the things I did when I took over that office was totally altered administratively the process that we go through when we receive an application for public defender services, and we sort of changed who does the screening over time. You know, at the time, it was

Page 202

A. For my district?

Q. For your district, yes.

A. I don't -- now, I can't speak to other offices. My understanding is that some may have some formal policies of some kind or another. In my district, we don't have any formal written policies, procedures, things.

Q. Turning a bit to your district's determination of indigence.

A Yes

Q. Who makes that determination in your office? Is it your legal assistants or every single attorney?

A. Right now it's the legal assistant, and that's sort of changed over time.

Q. You mentioned the change in your screening procedure --

A. Correct.

Q. - from, I guess, what it was before to what it is now.

A Correct

Q. Would you describe what it was like

23 before --

Q. - and then also describe the transition

Page 204

1 the office support assistant -- she's actually not

2 with us anymore -- and then for a while, it was some

3 of the lawyers, and now it's all centralized into

4 the legal assistant. Now -- you know, what we're

5 doing is trying to be much more conservative in 6 approving applications for public defender services

7 to the extent humanly possible. We try and do some

8 due diligence, such as by checking in Case.net

9 before we enter to see if, in fact, the fancy-pants

10 private lawyer had been in on this case or a big, 11 ginormous bond had been posted. That's something we

12 would rather know ahead of time, you know, than find 13 out after we enter. And it may be that there's some

sort of innocent explanation behind the thing.

You know, I've got one client back in the day that went to high school with the bondsman. Well, I mean, he paid him, like, next to nothing on a really big bond. Okay. I understand that the money he paid to the bondman wasn't going to be enough to hire a lawyer. Generally, though, that's not the case. And one of the things that we're required to look at is the accused's ability to post

22 23 a bond. That is a consideration in determining

2.4 indigence.

Q. So presently that diligence is on the

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

2.4

Page 205

shoulders of your legal assistants, the two that you have?

2.4

2.5

A. Correct. And -- you know, it's kind of one of those things with -- sort of the expectation is, if the lawyer receives information that would be relevant. You know, like there are times when I'm in court and I hear something that is relevant, and I'll throw that on the face of the application so that that gets communicated back to the legal assistant. But, generally, by and large, an overwhelming bulk of the cases, the due diligence is being done by the legal assistants.

Q. Sitting here today in your district, do you have a sense for how often applications for public defender services are denied?

A. I don't know off the top of my head.

Certainly, they're denied at a much higher rate now than they were in 2014.

Q. So if I understand your testimony correctly, caseloads and workloads have always been high since you've been with the public defender system?

A. Yes. And they've fluctuated. Some points higher than others, but, yes.

Q. And -- go ahead.

Page 207

And you were a district defender in the Fulton office before coming down to Jefferson City?

A. Correct.

Q. While you've been in the Jefferson City office, if you will, what have you done, as far as additional trainings — and you already mentioned that there are no real formal policies that you've kind of, I guess, promulgated, for lack of a better word. Have you established any type of trainings at the local level in your district to deal with workload and caseload concerns?

A. Well, in terms of training for workload and caseload concerns, you know, right now, we're trying to manage all of the lawyers caseloads. I mean, so, really, the training that consists of sort of them seeing the bigger picture, but, you know, kind of the theory behind this process that I've come up with is, this should remove the individual lawyers from being assigned 200 or more cases simultaneously. At least for the assistant public defenders, it has; it's just been me that has taken a pinch, so ...

Q. Speaking of, what is the threshold for the attorneys that you supervise, in terms of they are working at a reasonable level — I think you said —

Page 206

A. I was going to say, with one exception, if you don't mind. There was a period of time in 2012 where one of the administrative rules was in effect, and courts were fine with it. And so there was, I want to say, six months where our caseloads were all controlled — everybody in the office. And I finally got to that point — you know, kind of at the tail end of that six-month window where — Oh, my God, I can see the light of day, I can actually work on all of my cases, not just throw a stack of them in the file drawer. And then very quickly that whole regime kind of fell apart and caseloads when through the roof again.

When I look back at my time in the public defender's office, you know, the tail end of that six-month period when we had a caseload control environment, that was like the one time when I felt like everybody was — everybody was able to do everything.

Q. Do you have a sense for how many cases you had on your docket, if you will, at that point in time?

A. Oh, I couldn't tell you off the top of my head now. Sorry. It's been a few years. Sorry.

Q. No worries.

Page 208

according the RubinBrown study, is that 80 cases or is that 100 cases, or at what level do you prevent them from receiving more cases?

A. Well -- so how many cases they can get sort of depends on the complexity of the case because a driving on a suspended misdemeanor case is not as complicated as a murder case, for example. And -- you know, and if you get down, like, into the weeds of, like, the RubinBrown metric, you'll see that, and it's sort of expanded. You know, sex cases are more complicated than drug cases, which are less complicated than regular run-of-the-mill felony cases. And so -- you know, like I've mentioned, you know, what we're doing is at the start of every month, assigning out that lawyer as many cases as we think they can possibly take. How many that is is going to vary, depending on the case type. Like, for example, if you take -- and my math is a little bit shaky, so don't hold me to specific numbers, but the RubinBrown metric is based on 2080 hours a year -- 40 hours a week for 52 weeks of the year. If you divide that by 12, you get about, what, 170-something, 180-something hours. If I were to assign a lawyer a murder case, that's going to

put them at or slightly above that RubinBrown

52 (Pages 205 to 208)

| | Page 209 | Page 2 |
|--|--|---|
| 1 | metric. | believe you testified that five attorneys have left |
| 2 | On the other hand, if I give them and, | 2 your office this year. |
| 3 | again, my math is a little bit don't hold me to | 3 A. Yes. |
| 4 | the specific numbers. Potentially, I could give | 4 Q. Within 2017? |
| 5 | them 27 misdemeanor traffic cases, which this is | 5 A. Yes. |
| 6 | a really long way of saying the number of cases they | 6 Q. And two of them had children? |
| 7 | may get is going to vary depending on the complexity | 7 A. Yes. |
| 8 | of the thing, and so there's not a hard and fast, | 8 Q. And they transferred to different offices? |
| 9 | I'm going to cut you off at 70 cases | 9 A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. Sure. It depends. | 10 Q. One of them retired? |
| 11 | A. Because it depends. And I apologize. | 11 A. Yes. |
| 12 | That was probably a longer explanation than you | 12 Q. And then the other two, they moved |
| 13 | needed. | well, I guess, one moved into private practice? |
| 14 | Q. No worries. So the I'll ask the same | 14 A. Yes. |
| 15 | question a different way. | 15 Q. And the other also moved into private |
| 16 | A. Okay. | 16 practice? What did that fifth person |
| 17 | Q. The lawyer with the least experience | 17 A. Went to the attorney general's office. |
| 18 | currently has around 70 cases? | 18 Q. Went to the attorney general's office. |
| 19 | A. Correct. | 19 Have you ever been refused a deposition |
| 20 | Q. Do you have a sense for the average or the | 20 that you have requested personally while you've be |
| 21 | most aside from yourself? | with the Missouri public defender system? |
| 22 | A. The most aside from myself as of yesterday | 22 A. No. I've been told I needed to better |
| 23 | was 127. The average is, I'm going to say, about 80 | 23 justify why I wanted to the deposition, but then |
| 24 | or 90ish. And I didn't, like, you know, actually | 24 when I did, I was authorized to take it. |
| 25 | sit down and average that out before answering that | 25 Q. And have you ever denied ultimately |
| | sit down and dverage that out before answering that | a. And have you ever defined distinctery |
| | Do mo 210 | D 2 |
| | Page 210 | Page 2 |
| 1 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a | Page 2' denied a request from an attorney you supervised |
| 1 2 | _ | _ |
| | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a | denied a request from an attorney you supervised |
| 2 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? |
| 2 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back — I take that |
| 2 3 4 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back I take that back. There were instances in which before |
| 2 3 4 5 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau I've had a number of supervisors over |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back — I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau — I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back — I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau — I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he — so there |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back — I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau — I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he — so there was a deposition request that I had made. He |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college Q. So you know what standard deviation is, | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think my investigator could do this, but the context of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college Q. So you know what standard deviation is, but that's | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back — I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau — I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he — so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think my investigator could do this, but the context of the thing with what I'm trying to do in the case, I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college Q. So you know what standard deviation is, but that's A. It's been don't even ask me to describe | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back — I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau — I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he — so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think my investigator could do this, but the context of the thing with what I'm trying to do in the case, I think what would be more effective would be a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college Q. So you know what standard deviation is, but that's A. It's been don't even ask me to describe that right now, sir. | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back — I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau — I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he — so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think my investigator could do this, but the context of the thing with what I'm trying to do in the case, I think what would be more effective would be a deposition, and his response was, Look, if your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college Q. So you know what standard deviation is, but that's A. It's been don't even ask me to describe that right now, sir. I have not taken any follow-up stats | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back — I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau — I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he — so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think my investigator could do this, but the context of the thing with what I'm trying to do in the case, I think what would be more effective would be a deposition, and his response was, Look, if your investigator can do it, then your investigator needs |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college Q. So you know what standard deviation is, but that's A. It's been don't even ask me to describe that right now, sir. I have not taken any follow-up stats classes since college, and I do not wish to take | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back — I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau — I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he — so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think my investigator could do this, but the context of the thing with what I'm trying to do in the case, I think what would be more effective would be a deposition, and his response was, Look, if your investigator can do it, then your investigator needs to go do it. And sort of for a period of time in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college Q. So you know what standard deviation is, but that's A. It's been don't even ask me to describe that right now, sir. I have not taken any follow-up stats classes since college, and I do not wish to take additional stats classes now. | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think my investigator could do this, but the context of the thing with what I'm trying to do in the case, I think what would be more effective would be a deposition, and his response was, Look, if your investigator can do it, then your investigator needs to go do it. And sort of for a period of time in his tenure, up until he retired, sort of what he |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college Q. So you know what standard deviation is, but that's A. It's been don't even ask me to describe that right now, sir. I have not taken any follow-up stats classes since college, and I do not wish to take additional stats classes now. Q. So to be clear, you do not have any | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think my investigator could do this, but the context of the thing with what I'm trying to do in the case, I think what would be more effective would be a deposition, and his response was, Look, if your investigator can do it, then your investigator needs to go do it. And sort of for a period of time in his tenure, up until he retired, sort of what he |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college Q. So you know what standard deviation is, but that's A. It's been don't even ask me to describe that right now, sir. I have not taken any follow-up stats classes since college, and I do not wish to take additional stats classes now. Q. So to be clear, you do not have any prior | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think my investigator could do this, but the context of the thing with what I'm trying to do in the case, I think what would be more effective would be a deposition, and his response was, Look, if your investigator can do it, then your investigator needs to go do it. And sort of for a period of time in his tenure, up until he retired, sort of what he kind of told everybody was, Look, from here on out, if you want a deposition, it better be because your |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college Q. So you know what standard deviation is, but that's A. It's been don't even ask me to describe that right now, sir. I have not taken any follow-up stats classes since college, and I do not wish to take additional stats classes now. Q. So to be clear, you do not have any prior A. Correct. | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think my investigator could do this, but the context of the thing with what I'm trying to do in the case, I think what would be more effective would be a deposition, and his response was, Look, if your investigator can do it, then your investigator needs to go do it. And sort of for a period of time in his tenure, up until he retired, sort of what he kind of told everybody was, Look, from here on out, if you want a deposition, it better be because your investigator cannot do it. And there could be a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college Q. So you know what standard deviation is, but that's A. It's been don't even ask me to describe that right now, sir. I have not taken any follow-up stats classes since college, and I do not wish to take additional stats classes now. Q. So to be clear, you do not have any prior A. Correct. Q. Okay. | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think my investigator could do this, but the context of the thing with what I'm trying to do in the case, I think what would be more effective would be a deposition, and his response was, Look, if your investigator can do it, then your investigator needs to go do it. And sort of for a period of time in his tenure, up until he retired, sort of what he kind of told everybody was, Look, from here on out, if you want a deposition, it better be because your investigator cannot do it. And there could be a variety of reasons why your investigator might not |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | question, but that's I'm trying to give you a ballpark. Q. Now, with the references to the RubinBrown report and with your participation in the RubinBrown report, you have a background in business? A. Yes. Q. And you are an attorney? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any independent experience or expertise as a statistician? A. I took a stats class in college Q. So you know what standard deviation is, but that's A. It's been don't even ask me to describe that right now, sir. I have not taken any follow-up stats classes since college, and I do not wish to take additional stats classes now. Q. So to be clear, you do not have any prior A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. And I would not consider myself an expert | denied a request from an attorney you supervised take a deposition? A. If I can walk that back — I take that back. There were instances in which before Ellen Blau — I've had a number of supervisors over the years. One of my supervisors was Peter Sterling. As I'm sitting here thinking about it, there were some times in which he — so there was a deposition request that I had made. He contacted me and said, You know, look, can't your investigator do this? Well, my answer was, I think my investigator could do this, but the context of the thing with what I'm trying to do in the case, I think what would be more effective would be a deposition, and his response was, Look, if your investigator can do it, then your investigator needs to go do it. And sort of for a period of time in his tenure, up until he retired, sort of what he kind of told everybody was, Look, from here on out, if you want a deposition, it better be because your investigator cannot do it. And there could be a variety of reasons why your investigator might not be able to do it. Some witnesses simply refuse to |

53 (Pages 209 to 212)

Page 213

- Other times there are just situations that really do not hold themself well to a deposition.
- not hold themself well to a deposition.
 Interviewing a six-year-old on a child sex case by
- 4 the investigator without the lawyer present -- in m
- the investigator without the lawyer present -- in my
 opinion, the better practice would be for the lawyer
- to take a deposition if they need to interview the youth.
 - Q. And have any of those times been specifically because there were not funds to fund the deposition, or, at least, was that ever a reason given?
 - A. That was never given to me as a reason, like, as in, We don't have money for this right now. What was given to me was a, We need to conserve the few dollars that we have, which is a slightly different concern.
 - Q. Are there any policies or procedures that you have in your district or that you are aware of within the system that you've utilized to limit the total amount of dollars available for any particular deposition? Said in a succinct way, are there any limiting factors in terms of how many dollars can be spent on a particular deposition?
 - A. In terms of, like, a formal policy?
- **Q. Yes.**

2.4

Page 215

- point in time, but I -- as I'm sitting here now, I certainly could not cite to you a case or the rationale. I -- it would be -- probably, it would -- it would have been a very rare instance.
- Q. Do you have a sense for how many of the depositions that you have taken or any of the attorneys that you supervise have taken that have been out of state?
 - A. Can I answer the first part?
 - Q. Sure.

A. Okay. In my 15 years, I can think of a case that caused me to go to Florida for depositions. I can think of — and it was a child witness on a child sex allegation, so, like, a phone deposition I didn't think would work well. I can think of another case where I went to Arkansas and Colorado for depositions. The Colorado deposition, likewise, an alleged victim of a child sex offense or a — or something of that kind. In terms of me personally going out of state for depositions, those are the only two times I can think of.

The other part of your question was lawyers in my office, so let me kind of wrap my head around that for a second, if I can, please. I know one of my lawyers recently went to Texas for a

Page 214

A. Okay. I'm not aware of any rule that says you cannot spend more than, you know, \$5,000 for depositions on a felony case, for example. The -- you know, when I request money from my supervisor for authorization to extend funds for depositions, you know, I've got to list the witnesses that I wish to depose, why I wish to depose them, and then provide kind of a cost estimate based on what I think the length of the depositions to be. And so, like, each -- I've got to justify the ultimate dollar amount that I've asked for. But to my knowledge, there's not necessarily, like, a cap on what that dollar amount might be.

Was that responsive?

- Q. It was.
- A. Okay.
- Q. And forgive me if you've already answered this question --
 - A. Okay.
- Q. I'm a little bit confused whether or not we've answered this question or not --
- A. Okay
- Q. -- but have you ever denied an attorney that you supervise funds for a deposition?
 - A. I suspect that I probably have at some

Page 216

- deposition. On another case, Wisconsin or Michigan -- some place north and some place cold -- and those are the only ones I can think of off of the top of my head.
 - Q. And with those, is there is the procedure similar, or are there some different procedures for how one goes about getting an out-of-state deposition approved?
 - A. For me, my procedure is, the lawyer better have a really good reason to spend the time and the money. That's not a formal written policy, but that's a -- but that's a -- you better really want it. And so, typically, what it would involve is me talking to the lawyer beforehand and having them justify it to me before I click the button that says approved.
 - Q. How about funds for experts? Have you ever been personally denied a request to utilize expert testimony or consult an expert at your time at the Missouri State Public Defender System?
 - A. I can't think of a situation in which I had been -- in which I had -- in which it had been told to me, Look, no, that's totally off limits.

 There have been times where I've been told, No, you need to find a cheaper expert or someone closer so

54 (Pages 213 to 216)

| | Page 217 | | Page 219 |
|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | there's less travel, or instead of paying someone to | 1 | year or so? |
| 2 | do this computer forensic stuff, how about we have | 2 | A. I'd have to look at some numbers. So let |
| 3 | our IT department look at it? But, generally, one | 3 | me I'd have to give you a wild ballpark. |
| 4 | way or the other, I was able to get whatever | 4 | Q. A wild ballpark is fine. |
| 5 | information needed I cannot think of a situation | 5 | A. About ten, give or take. And it sort of |
| 6 | in which there was an outright refusal. | 6 | fluctuated over time. In years past, sometimes we |
| 7 | Q. Can you think of a situation where you as | 7 | try more, sometimes we try less; it just it tends |
| 8 | a supervisor denied a request for expert testimony | 8 | to for the last 12 months, ten is a reasonable |
| 9 | from an employee that you supervised? | 9 | guess. |
| 10 | A. I cannot think of such a situation. | 10 | Q. And when there is a trial, is it if |
| 11 | Q. Are there any written policies or | 11 | there are no policies or procedures, is it the |
| 12 | procedures governing how much money can be spent | 12 | custom of your district to send multiple assistant |
| 13 | upon a particular expert, or is it all | 13 | public defenders to that trial? Said another way, |
| 14 | discretionary? | 14 | do you send a number of second chairs or third |
| 15 | A. There is I don't know if it's written | 15 | chairs, or how do you go about assigning coverage |
| 16 | down anywhere or not. It may be in an e-mail or it | 16 | for trials? |
| 17 | may be I don't know if it's a policy or | 17 | A. There will be a second chair. Sometimes |
| 18 | something. I don't know. But there's a preference | 18 | it's an active second chair that and I'm talking |
| 19 | for if you take two experts of comparable quality, | 19 | jury trials; I'm not talking bench trials. On a |
| 20 | pick the cheaper one. You know, likewise, for | 20 | jury trial, there will be a second chair. Sometimes |
| 21 | example, in the deposition context, the expectation | 21 | it's an active second chair who takes parts of the |
| 22 | is that we use the state contract to go with the low | 22 | cases. You know, I'm going to cross these |
| 23 | cost provider. | 23 | witnesses, I'll direct this witness, I'll do |
| 24 | And now I've forgotten your initial | 24 | closing, you do open you know, something along |
| 25 | question. I'm sorry, sir. | 25 | those lines. Other times it's a passive second |
| | | | Page 220 |
| | • | | |
| 1 | O No worries I think I forgot my initial | 1 | _ |
| 1 2 | Q. No worries. I think I forgot my initial | 1 2 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a |
| 2 | question as well. | 2 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer |
| 2 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had | 2 3 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, |
| 2 3 4 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony – the funds for expert | 2 3 4 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of |
| 2 3 4 5 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony – the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I | 2 3 4 5 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony – the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. | 2 3 4 5 6 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony – the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. A. Correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I apologize. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. A. Correct. Q. Yeah. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I apologize. Q. No worries. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. A. Correct. Q. Yeah. A. I'm not aware of a policy saying X is the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I apologize. Q. No worries. A. There's always going to be some sort of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. A. Correct. Q. Yeah. A. I'm not aware of a policy saying X is the cutoff. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I apologize. Q. No worries. A. There's always going to be some sort of second chair. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. A. Correct. Q. Yeah. A. I'm not aware of a policy saying X is the cutoff. Q. Sure. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I apologize. Q. No worries. A. There's always going to be some sort of second chair. Q. Turning to plea deals. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony – the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. A. Correct. Q. Yeah. A. I'm not aware of a policy saying X is the cutoff. Q. Sure. A. But sort of, again, the expectation is | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I apologize. Q. No worries. A. There's always going to be some sort of second chair. Q. Turning to plea deals. A. Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. A. Correct. Q. Yeah. A. I'm not aware of a policy saying X is the cutoff. Q. Sure. A. But sort of, again, the expectation is that we go with the cheapest — with the experience | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I apologize. Q. No worries. A. There's always going to be some sort of second chair. Q. Turning to plea deals. A. Yes. Q. What is the earliest in your district an |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. A. Correct. Q. Yeah. A. I'm not aware of a policy saying X is the cutoff. Q. Sure. A. But sort of, again, the expectation is that we go with the cheapest — with the experience and expertise necessary. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I apologize. Q. No worries. A. There's always going to be some sort of second chair. Q. Turning to plea deals. A. Yes. Q. What is the earliest in your district an assistant public defender can counsel their client |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. A. Correct. Q. Yeah. A. I'm not aware of a policy saying X is the cutoff. Q. Sure. A. But sort of, again, the expectation is that we go with the cheapest — with the experience and expertise necessary. Q. Turning to trial — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I apologize. Q. No worries. A. There's always going to be some sort of second chair. Q. Turning to plea deals. A. Yes. Q. What is the earliest in your district an assistant public defender can counsel their client to accept a plea deal? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. A. Correct. Q. Yeah. A. I'm not aware of a policy saying X is the cutoff. Q. Sure. A. But sort of, again, the expectation is that we go with the cheapest — with the experience and expertise necessary. Q. Turning to trial — A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I apologize. Q. No worries. A. There's always going to be some sort of second chair. Q. Turning to plea deals. A. Yes. Q. What is the earliest in your district an assistant public defender can counsel their client to accept a plea deal? A. I have no hard rule on that, and so you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. A. Correct. Q. Yeah. A. I'm not aware of a policy saying X is the cutoff. Q. Sure. A. But sort of, again, the expectation is that we go with the cheapest — with the experience and expertise necessary. Q. Turning to trial — A. Yes. Q. — generally, for a second. Do you have a | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I apologize. Q. No worries. A. There's always going to be some sort of second chair. Q. Turning to plea deals. A. Yes. Q. What is the earliest in your district an assistant public defender can counsel their client to accept a plea deal? A. I have no hard rule on that, and so you know, hypothetically speaking, the lawyer can plead |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | question as well. Well, the initial question was if you had ever denied expert testimony — the funds for expert testimony to an attorney that you supervise, I think, was along the lines. A. I don't think — I cannot think of a time in which I had. Oh, and then I think you asked me about policy. Q. Oh. Whether there was any policy or procedure limiting how much may can be spent on a particular expert. A. Correct. Q. Yeah. A. I'm not aware of a policy saying X is the cutoff. Q. Sure. A. But sort of, again, the expectation is that we go with the cheapest — with the experience and expertise necessary. Q. Turning to trial — A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | chair whose basic role is to take notes, be a gopher, you know, sometimes serve as a buffer between the client and the lawyer trying the case, just kind of depends. There is never any sort of right now there is not any sort of third chair unless there's, like, an unpaid intern, so trying to get some experience or something like that. But, usually you know, frankly, in the Cole County courthouse, the courtrooms are set up so there's not room for that extra person at the table anyway, so Long answer to a short question. I apologize. Q. No worries. A. There's always going to be some sort of second chair. Q. Turning to plea deals. A. Yes. Q. What is the earliest in your district an assistant public defender can counsel their client to accept a plea deal? A. I have no hard rule on that, and so you |

55 (Pages 217 to 220)

2

16

17

18

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

Page 221

- diligence is reasonable in the context of the case. 1
- 2 You know, so -- I mean, hypothetically speaking, in 3 terms of my policies and my expectation, if a lawyer
- 4 gets in on a case on Monday, has full discovery on
- 5 Tuesday, meets with the client in jail on Tuesday or
 - at the office on Tuesday, does whatever works needs
- 7 to happen, and the client is adamant that may want
- 8 to plead guilty in the near future, as long as the
- 9 lawyer has met with the client, done what the lawyer 10
- needs to do, in terms of case preparation, or if the 11 client says, Look, we've talked about doing XYZ,
- 12 123, I don't want you to do XYZ, 123, I want to go 13 ahead and do this today. You know, I'm not going to
- 14 criticize the lawyer, provided that the client is
- 15 fully counseled, sort of, as to the consequences of 16 the thina.
 - At some point when you get to a segue, if I could take a break, that would be great.
- 19 MR. RAMSEY: Let's go off the record.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 21 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:35 p.m., and
- 22 we're off the record.

6

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 23 (A recess was taken.)
- VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 3:45 p.m., and 24
- 25 we're back on the record.

Page 223

- legal situation. Invariably, there's going to be 1
 - follow-up activity that should happen, and what that
- 3 follow-up activity is going to be is just going to
- 4 vary dramatically depending on, you know, are we
- 5 talking about a probation violation where the
- 6 allegation is that the client failed to pay, you
- 7 know, restitution or is it a -- the client has been
- 8 kicked out of treatment or is it going to be, you
- 9 know, some other violation. There may be witnesses
- 10 that may need to be interviewed. There is --
- 11 certainly, the bond should be addressed as quickly
- 12 as humanly possible, you know, and kind of -- those 13 strike me as sort of, like, the bare minimum. What
- 14 exists beyond that would just depend on the nature 15 of the case and the client situation.
 - Q. Turning to timekeeping, I understood your testimony to be that at one point in time -- at least one point in time, there was a period where
- 19 you and your district that you were working in were 20 tracking time by five-minute increments or by task,
- 21 if you will. When was the last time you can 22 remember keeping track of time like that?
- 23 A. At some point when I was in the Area 19 24 office. We were tracking time when I came to that
 - office. At some point in my tenure there, we

Page 222

- Q. (By Mr. Ramsey) Turning to probation revocation proceedings. I believe the RubinBrown report gives some amount of time that it thinks is necessary to complete those. I don't know if it's nine hours or however many hours there are. In your experience, what needs to happen, specifically, to adequately prepare for a probation revocation hearing?
- A. The lawyer needs to review not just incident probation violation reports but other historical probation violation reports. If there's a sentencing assessment report, the lawyer needs to review that as well. In addition -- and have copies and be familiar with the things. In addition, the lawyer needs to meet with the client. In my office, there's an intake form that we expect every lawyer
- 18 about their personal situation, about their legal 19 situation, citizenship status, alcohol drug issues,
- 20 physical mental health issues, things of that kind. 21
- And that is rock bottom, bare minimum, but depending
- 22 on the issues that exist with regard to the
- 23 probation violation, how it is the client is alleged
- 24 to have violated probation and what the issues are 25 in the client's sort of personal life and their
- to complete with every client, ask basic questions
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. Where did that training come from?
- 21 A. It -- there was -- and I -- I can't recall 22
- if it was in the first time that we time logged or 23
- 24 in there -- it was at our annual management meeting, 25
 - there was a presentation on that topic. There may

Page 224

stopped. It would have been, if memory serves, before January 1, 2017, but when between January 1,

2017 and September 2014, somewhere in there. Q. And when you were tracking time -- well,

Currently, is the extent of your timekeeping tracking, if you will, the I work ten hours per day or I work 12 hours per day?

strike that.

Q. At any point during the actual tracking of time in the five-minute increments was there ever a person assigned to manage or oversee that timekeeping process?

A. The district defender in each office.

Q. And so to the extent that you were tasked with maintaining or overseeing that task, were you ever provided any additional training in timekeeping?

the second time that we time logged, but somewhere

2.5

Page 225

have been additional presentations on that topic.
In addition, there is a database -- we call it the
MSPD help database. It provides instructions on how
to do a million and one different things, open a
case, close a case, but there was also a number of
tutorials on how to do time logging.

2.4

- Q. Switching gears a bit, when you were testifying concerning the nonsupport matters, whether it's being held in contempt or was a misdemeanor or I don't know if you mentioned that it could also be a felony, but in that scheme of conversation and testimony, I believe you testified that the judge had ultimately said that she was going to stop appointing your district to represent in those matters. Am I understanding that correctly?
- A. Judge Joyce told us we are not to be entering on criminal nonsupport cases anymore until such time as our caseload situation resolves.
- Q. Could you help me understand, I guess, the difference between you all -- someone applying to your office independently, being directed to by the court, and the court directing you not to accept any particular type of case? I'm trying to understand, I guess, perhaps, your understanding of the judge's

Page 227

- the cases that have been continued for one reason or another for years on end, do you have a custom—and I presume—I'm starting to assume that there's not a policy or procedure, but do you have some type of a custom of first-in, first-out or some way to get the cases that have been on your dockets longer out the door faster?
- A. I don't have a policy in that regard. I mean, you know, generally, the longer the case is on the judge's docket, the more the judge is going to push to resolve that case.
- Q. Is it your understanding that you were the first you were either the first or one of the first district defenders to start exercising control or asking for different mechanisms to control your caseload and workload well before the Hinkebein decision, in terms of I think you had mentioned it was January 1 of this year that you started really, I guess, pushing the envelope, so to speak.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And in your decision to do so, why did you decide to proceed as you did, as opposed to I don't know what the other district defenders were doing, and I'm not asking you to testify on their behalf, but what led you to act, I guess, is my

Page 226

power to tell you and your district not to accept those cases.

- A. So under the Waters decision, the trial courts have sort of inherit authority to control or manage my caseload in a way that I cannot, and so when Judge Joyce told me, Hey, you know, you guys don't take any more criminal nonsupport cases until all of this gets fixed, I sort of took that to be the judge -- you know, she didn't specify the Waters name, but I kind of took that to be her exercising her inherent authority to tell me to stay out of that class of cases.
- Q. And sitting here today, is it your understanding that If someone applied that was in that realm of cases and you accepted that, that would be going against that order or that directive from the judge?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. What we would do is we would file a denial for public defender services saying we've been told not to take criminal nonsupport cases.
- Q. I'm going to start jumping all over the place a little bit, and I apologize for that.
 - Concerning the caseloads, specifically,

Page 228

question.

- A. Well, I mean, essentially, what -- sort of the things that I articulated earlier --
 - Q. As in your attorneys coming to you in a conservative effort?
 - A. That was the immediate spark.
 - Q. Uh-huh.
 - A. Also, I had done everything administratively I could think of to make our office more efficient. And, sort of, in a number of different ways, I had hit my boss up for money a couple of different times, a couple of different ways, and she told me pretty clearly that the well was dry, and we had been, you know, sort of -- there was that September 2016 meeting where, basically, senior management said, Hey, we're now shifting gears, and we're going to allow the local offices to kind of chart your own course, and then all of my lawyers came to me and said, You better find a different course. So there was kind of a confluence of things.
 - Q. Is it fair to characterize your testimony as after you started to work with the criminal justice system, whether that be the judges or the prosecutors, that you saw marked improvement in that

57 (Pages 225 to 228)

| | Page 229 | | Page 231 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | time, in terms of caseload and workload concerns, | 1 | correct? |
| 2 | whether that was from January 1 up until the | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Hinkebein decision or whatever span we're talking | 3 | Q. And so on there, could you tell me how |
| 4 | about. Is that fair to characterize that while you | 4 | cases initiated or defined on that graph? |
| 5 | were working together with the system, your caseload | 5 | A. Yes. When in any case management |
| 6 | improved? | 6 | software, when staff goes through to |
| 7 | A. I don't know that I would characterize it | 7 | administratively click the buttons to create a case |
| 8 | that way. If you go from 2014 to December 29, 2016, | 8 | and open it, that, then, as I understand, will it |
| 9 | you know, the things that I was doing I was | 9 | is sort of what triggers that case is initiated. |
| 10 | asking for help, you know, routinely from the | 10 | Q. Two steps back. Did you have any hand in |
| 11 | judges, but, you know, as you can see from the | 11 | creating this particular graph? |
| 12 | e-mail thread, you know, the help was, frankly, very | 12 | A. No. |
| 13 | small. Well, the statute changed so, I won't put | 13 | Q. And are you familiar with any of the, for |
| 14 | you in this small case type anymore. You know, | 14 | lack of a better word, metadata that's underlying |
| 15 | really, most of the things that I was doing between | 15 | the graph, if you will? |
| 16 | 2014 and 2016 was trying to run my ship as | 16 | A. You lost me, I apologize. |
| 17 | efficiently and cleanly as possible. And when it | 17 | Q. Are you – do you understand, I guess, all |
| 18 | was clear that I couldn't, you know, make it any | 18 | of the various components of this graph? |
| 19 | leaner, more efficient, couldn't be any stricter on | 19 | A. I don't understand I don't well |
| 20 | applications, that was sort of when I told the | 20 | it's been a while since I got down in the weeds of |
| 21 | courts, Hey, look, this is what we've got to do. | 21 | the RubinBrown thing. I understand some of it, |
| 22 | Q. Turning generally to the Chapter 600.063 | 22 | probably not all of it. |
| 23 | conferences and the motions that you filed, did you | 23 | Q. Okay. |
| 24 | receive broad authority to file those motions | 24 | MR. RAMSEY: I think that's going to |
| 25 | whenever you felt you had to, or have you asked for | 25 | conclude my line of questioning. |
| | Page 230 | | Page 232 |
| 1 | permission from upper management every time you were | 1 | MS. SHIPMA: I have a few questions, Just. |
| 2 | | | |
| _ | going to file one of those motions? | 2 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 3 | going to file one of those motions? A. I had received authority, and then the | 2 3 | CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SHIPMA: |
| | | | |
| 3 | A. I had received authority, and then the | 3 | BY MS. SHIPMA: |
| 3 4 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to | 3 4 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it |
| 3 4 5 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand | 3 4 5 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. |
| 3 4 5 6 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an | 3 4 5 6 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the |
| 3 4 5 6 7 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't | 3 4 5 6 7 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. Q. Now, the various typos and other issues | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a reasonable caseload? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. Q. Now, the various typos and other issues have been noted in this document previously. Is that your understand that from earlier testimony? A. Yes. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a reasonable caseload? A. Their experience level as well. You know, a lawyer with 30 days of experience could not carry the same caseload as a lawyer whose got eight years |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. Q. Now, the various typos and other issues have been noted in this document previously. Is that your understand that from earlier testimony? A. Yes. Q. So you've never seen a document that quite | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a reasonable caseload? A. Their experience level as well. You know, a lawyer with 30 days of experience could not carry the same caseload as a lawyer whose got eight years of experience in criminal defense, all else being |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. Q. Now, the various typos and other issues have been noted in this document previously. Is that your understand that from earlier testimony? A. Yes. Q. So you've never seen a document that quite looks like this — | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a reasonable caseload? A. Their experience level as well. You know, a lawyer with 30 days of experience could not carry the same caseload as a lawyer whose got eight years of experience in criminal defense, all else being equal. And when I say that lawyer with 30 days |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. Q. Now, the various typos and other issues have been noted in this document previously. Is that your understand that from earlier testimony? A. Yes. Q. So you've never seen a document that quite looks like this A. I would have | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a reasonable caseload? A. Their experience level as well. You know, a lawyer with 30 days of experience could not carry the same caseload as a lawyer whose got eight years of experience in criminal defense, all else being equal. And when I say that lawyer with 30 days of experience would need a need a smaller caseload, |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. Q. Now, the various typos and other issues have been noted in this document previously. Is that your understand that from earlier testimony? A. Yes. Q. So you've never seen a document that quite looks like this A. I would have Q. — in terms of — well, you've never seen | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a reasonable caseload? A. Their experience level as well. You know, a lawyer with 30 days of experience could not carry the same caseload as a lawyer whose got eight years of experience in criminal defense, all else being equal. And when I say that lawyer with 30 days of experience would need a need a smaller caseload, in terms of volume but also in complexity. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. Q. Now, the various typos and other issues have been noted in this document previously. Is that your understand that from earlier testimony? A. Yes. Q. So you've never seen a document that quite looks like this — A. I would have — Q. — in terms of — well, you've never seen this exact document with the typos noted. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a reasonable caseload? A. Their experience level as well. You know, a lawyer with 30 days of experience could not carry the same caseload as a lawyer whose got eight years of experience in criminal defense, all else being equal. And when I say that lawyer with 30 days of experience would need a need a smaller caseload, in terms of volume but also in complexity. Q. Do you talk to the attorneys about what |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. Q. Now, the various typos and other issues have been noted in this document previously. Is that your understand that from earlier testimony? A. Yes. Q. So you've never seen a document that quite looks like this A. I would have Q. — in terms of — well, you've never seen this exact document with the typos noted. A. I would have seen it in a format that did | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a reasonable caseload? A. Their experience level as well. You know, a lawyer with 30 days of experience could not carry the same caseload as a lawyer whose got eight years of experience in criminal defense, all else being equal. And when I say that lawyer with 30 days of experience would need a need a smaller caseload, in terms of volume but also in complexity. Q. Do you talk to the attorneys about what they feel they can ethically handle? |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. Q. Now, the various typos and other issues have been noted in this document previously. Is that your understand that from earlier testimony? A. Yes. Q. So you've never seen a document that quite looks like this A. I would have Q. — in terms of — well, you've never seen this exact document with the typos noted. A. I would have seen it in a format that did not have the typos or the formatting issues or | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a reasonable caseload? A. Their experience level as well. You know, a lawyer with 30 days of experience could not carry the same caseload as a lawyer whose got eight years of experience in criminal defense, all else being equal. And when I say — that lawyer with 30 days of experience would need a need a smaller caseload, in terms of volume but also in complexity. Q. Do you talk to the attorneys about what they feel they can ethically handle? A. Yes. |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. Q. Now, the various typos and other issues have been noted in this document previously. Is that your understand that from earlier testimony? A. Yes. Q. So you've never seen a document that quite looks like this — A. I would have — Q. — in terms of — well, you've never seen this exact document with the typos noted. A. I would have seen it in a format that did not have the typos or the formatting issues or whatever you call them. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a reasonable caseload? A. Their experience level as well. You know, a lawyer with 30 days of experience could not carry the same caseload as a lawyer whose got eight years of experience in criminal defense, all else being equal. And when I say that lawyer with 30 days of experience would need a need a smaller caseload, in terms of volume but also in complexity. Q. Do you talk to the attorneys about what they feel they can ethically handle? A. Yes. Q. And do you take that into consideration |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. Q. Now, the various typos and other issues have been noted in this document previously. Is that your understand that from earlier testimony? A. Yes. Q. So you've never seen a document that quite looks like this A. I would have Q. — in terms of — well, you've never seen this exact document with the typos noted. A. I would have seen it in a format that did not have the typos or the formatting issues or whatever you call them. Q. And with that, you were referred to this | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a reasonable caseload? A. Their experience level as well. You know, a lawyer with 30 days of experience could not carry the same caseload as a lawyer whose got eight years of experience in criminal defense, all else being equal. And when I say that lawyer with 30 days of experience would need a need a smaller caseload, in terms of volume but also in complexity. Q. Do you talk to the attorneys about what they feel they can ethically handle? A. Yes. Q. And do you take that into consideration when you're deciding whether they're available to |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. I had received authority, and then the content of the conversation, I understood that to be, pursue it as you need to. I did not understand it to be, But call me every time before you file an individual motion. I'm pretty sure my boss doesn't want that. Q. Would you turn with me to Exhibit 21 on Plaintiff's Exhibit 21. A. Certainly. Yes. Q. Now, the various typos and other issues have been noted in this document previously. Is that your understand that from earlier testimony? A. Yes. Q. So you've never seen a document that quite looks like this — A. I would have — Q. — in terms of — well, you've never seen this exact document with the typos noted. A. I would have seen it in a format that did not have the typos or the formatting issues or whatever you call them. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | BY MS. SHIPMA: Q. I think I'll go backwards, just to make it interesting. Mr. Ramsey asked you about kind of the numbers that you were using to determine when your attorneys have a reasonable caseload, and you talked about how that varied on the case type. Are there other factors that go into that determination of what an individual attorney can carry as a reasonable caseload? A. Their experience level as well. You know, a lawyer with 30 days of experience could not carry the same caseload as a lawyer whose got eight years of experience in criminal defense, all else being equal. And when I say that lawyer with 30 days of experience would need a need a smaller caseload, in terms of volume but also in complexity. Q. Do you talk to the attorneys about what they feel they can ethically handle? A. Yes. Q. And do you take that into consideration |

58 (Pages 229 to 232)

| | Page 233 | | Page 235 |
|--|---|---|---|
| 1 | A. Yes. | 1 | sometimes they just ask for more time to hire a |
| 2 | Q. You talked about there was a time in 2012 | 2 | lawyer, other times they go pro se. |
| 3 | when there was an administrative rule in effect and | 3 | Q. Did you ever find that applicants you had |
| 4 | you felt like toward the end of, sort of, the | 4 | denied became PD clients anyway? |
| 5 | six-month period, you were starting, I think you | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | said, to see the light at the end of the tunnel. I | 6 | Q. And how would that happen? |
| 7 | think that was maybe the | 7 | A. It could be because down the road they |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | would reapply and convince us that their situation |
| 9 | Q phrase that you used. What caused that | 9 | at the time of the new application was such that we |
| 0 | six-month period to end, if you know? | 10 | should be entering. They had been working, now they |
| 1 | A. What I was told was that members of the | 11 | lost their job, for example, or if they appealed our |
| 2 | legislature had communicated to our director, | 12 | denial to the court and the court ordered us to |
| 3 | You-all need to pull the plug on this, or we're | 13 | represent, then we would do so. |
| 4 | going to privatize the system. And so and there | 14 | Q. Did you ever experience a situation where |
| 5 | were a whole lot of other things that were taking | 15 | there wasn't an appeal but the defendant just |
| 6 | place at the same time. Bob McCullough was the | 16 | repeatedly appeared without counsel and the judge |
| 7 | prosecutor in St. Louis County; he was going to sue. | 17 | would appoint us in that situation? |
| 8 | There was an audit report criticizing alliance on | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | the National Advisory Counsel standard or guideline. | 19 | Q. Is it typical for the attorneys in your |
| 0 | And so as I understand, senior management kind of, | 20 | office to work weekends? |
| 1 | when faced with this sort of battery of problems, | 21 | A. It certainly happens, yes. |
| 2 | decided that they were no longer going to implement | 22 | Q. So while they may not be assigned a 24/7 |
| 3 | the administrative rule or the you know, the | 23 | work assignment, there is work occurring beyond the |
| 4 | caseload metric or whatever you want to call it at | 24 | normal nine to five, Monday through Friday, as far |
| 5 | that point in time. | 25 | as the attorneys in the public defender system go? |
| | Page 234 | | Page 236 |
| 1 | Q. Is that administrative rule still in | 1 | A. Absolutely. |
| 2 | ovietones if you know? | | |
| | existence, if you know? | 2 | Q. Would you say that happens rarely? |
| 3 | A. I can't recall. | 2 3 | Q. Would you say that happens rarely?A. Consistently, my lawyers are working |
| 3 4 | | 1 | |
| | A. I can't recall. | 3 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working |
| 4 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. | 3 4 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. |
| 4 5 | A. I can't recall.Q. Okay.A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them | 3 4 5 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they |
| 4 5 6 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. | 3 4 5 6 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is |
| 4 5 6 7 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for | 3 4 5 6 7 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law employment law |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law — employment law attorney. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, when you've been doing this different experiment | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law — employment law attorney. A. We don't pay them for the time that they |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, when you've been doing this different experiment with the caseloads. | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law employment law attorney. A. We don't pay them for the time that they work in excess of the 40 hours a week that they |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, when you've been doing this different experiment with the caseloads. What would happen when you deny an applicant's request for public defender services? | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law employment law attorney. A. We don't pay them for the time that they work in excess of the 40 hours a week that they perpetually work. Q. And I believe Mr. Ramsey also asked you |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, when you've been doing this different experiment with the caseloads. What would happen when you deny an | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law employment law attorney. A. We don't pay them for the time that they work in excess of the 40 hours a week that they perpetually work. Q. And I believe Mr. Ramsey also asked you |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, when you've been doing this different experiment with the caseloads. What would happen when you deny an applicant's request for public defender services? A. Prior to January 1, the only reason we | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law — employment law attorney. A. We don't pay them for the time that they work in excess of the 40 hours a week that they perpetually work. Q. And I believe Mr. Ramsey also asked you about the number of plea deals that come through the |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, when you've been doing this different experiment with the caseloads. What would happen when you deny an applicant's request for public defender services? A. Prior to January 1, the only reason we would deny an applicant would be because it was an | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law — employment law attorney. A. We don't pay them for the time that they work in excess of the 40 hours a week that they perpetually work. Q. And I believe Mr. Ramsey also asked you about the number of plea deals that come through the office. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, when you've been doing this different experiment with the caseloads. What would happen when you deny an applicant's request for public defender services? A. Prior to January 1, the only reason we would deny an applicant would be because it was an ineligible case or they did not meet the financial criteria for a public defender. When we were going | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law — employment law attorney. A. We don't pay them for the time that they work in excess of the 40 hours a week that they perpetually work. Q. And I believe Mr. Ramsey also asked you about the number of plea deals that come through the office. A. Yes. |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, when you've been doing this different experiment with the caseloads. What would happen when you deny an applicant's request for public defender services? A. Prior to January 1, the only reason we would deny an applicant would be because it was an ineligible case or they did not meet the financial criteria for a public defender. When we were going to deny an applicant, there's a written denial that | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law — employment law attorney. A. We don't pay them for the time that they work in excess of the 40 hours a week that they perpetually work. Q. And I believe Mr. Ramsey also asked you about the number of plea deals that come through the office. A. Yes. Q. Are most of the cases pled out? |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, when you've been doing this different experiment with the caseloads. What would happen when you deny an applicant's request for public defender services? A. Prior to January 1, the only reason we would deny an applicant would be because it was an ineligible case or they did not meet the financial criteria for a public defender. When we were going to deny an applicant, there's a written denial that we would file setting out the reason or reasons, as | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law — employment law attorney. A. We don't pay them for the time that they work in excess of the 40 hours a week that they perpetually work. Q. And I believe Mr. Ramsey also asked you about the number of plea deals that come through the office. A. Yes. Q. Are most of the cases pled out? A. Yes. Q. So you would have almost as many plea |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, when you've been doing this different experiment with the caseloads. What would happen when you deny an applicant's request for public defender services? A. Prior to January 1, the only reason we would deny an applicant would be because it was an ineligible case or they did not meet the financial criteria for a public defender. When we were going to deny an applicant, there's a written denial that we would file setting out the reason or reasons, as the case may be. We would mail a copy of that | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law employment law attorney. A. We don't pay them for the time that they work in excess of the 40 hours a week that they perpetually work. Q. And I believe Mr. Ramsey also asked you about the number of plea deals that come through the office. A. Yes. Q. Are most of the cases pled out? A. Yes. Q. So you would have almost as many plea deals coming into the office as the number of cases |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, when you've been doing this different experiment with the caseloads. What would happen when you deny an applicant's request for public defender services? A. Prior to January 1, the only reason we would deny an applicant would be because it was an ineligible case or they did not meet the financial criteria for a public defender. When we were going to deny an applicant, there's a written denial that we would file setting out the reason or reasons, as the case may be. We would mail a copy of that denial to that applicant, file the denial with the | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law employment law attorney. A. We don't pay them for the time that they work in excess of the 40 hours a week that they perpetually work. Q. And I believe Mr. Ramsey also asked you about the number of plea deals that come through the office. A. Yes. Q. Are most of the cases pled out? A. Yes. Q. So you would have almost as many plea deals coming into the office is that correct? |
| 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 0 1 1 2 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | A. I can't recall. Q. Okay. A. We've had a couple of them. Some of them have been struck down. Q. Let's go back to your process for determining indigency? A. Yes. Q. And let's talk about prior to this year, when you've been doing this different experiment with the caseloads. What would happen when you deny an applicant's request for public defender services? A. Prior to January 1, the only reason we would deny an applicant would be because it was an ineligible case or they did not meet the financial criteria for a public defender. When we were going to deny an applicant, there's a written denial that we would file setting out the reason or reasons, as the case may be. We would mail a copy of that | 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | A. Consistently, my lawyers are working overtime. Q. And not really true overtime because they are exempt under the Fair Labor Standards Act; is that correct. A. Correct. Q. Sorry. My labor law employment law attorney. A. We don't pay them for the time that they work in excess of the 40 hours a week that they perpetually work. Q. And I believe Mr. Ramsey also asked you about the number of plea deals that come through the office. A. Yes. Q. Are most of the cases pled out? A. Yes. Q. So you would have almost as many plea deals coming into the office as the number of cases |

59 (Pages 233 to 236)

| | Page 237 Page 23 |
|--|--|
| 1 number of plea deals coming into the office | ce based on 1 have a lawyer experienced in criminal law like you |
| 2 the number of the cases that are coming i | into the 2 or just any old lawyer will do? |
| 3 office; is that correct? | 3 A. Can you repeat that? |
| 4 A. Right. If our caseload rises, so would | d Q. Yeah. Given a reasonable caseload |
| 5 our incoming plea offers. | 5 A. Okay. |
| 6 Q. I want to take you back to Exhibit 2 | 21 just 6 Q is it better for a criminal defendant |
| 7 for a moment. And I know that you just te | estified 7 to have someone who is experienced in criminal la |
| 8 that you did not participate in any way in t | the 8 like you, or just any old lawyer from the public |
| 9 preparation of the chart that's shown on | 9 service commission or the department of natural |
| Bates 38976, but you did testify about so | me of it 10 resources? |
| 1 earlier, and I just want to point out or I ju | ust 11 A. Definitely better for the accused to have |
| want to make sure I understand one point | t. 12 a lawyer who is trained in criminal law and |
| 3 You were talking earlier about there | e being 13 proficient, as compared to some other random lawy |
| 4 33 offices in the Missouri State Public Def | fender 14 who doesn't know anything about it. |
| 5 System. | 15 Q. Okay. |
| 6 A. In the trial division. | 16 MS. SHIPMA: That's all of the questions I |
| 7 Q. The trial division, that's correct. So | n 17 have. |
| 8 these first lines deal with the trial division | only; 18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 9 is that correct? | 19 BY MR. SCHERZER: |
| A. Correct. | 20 Q. I have just a few. |
| Q. There are other divisions, as well, v | within 21 A. Absolutely. |
| 2 MSPD? | 22 Q. Do you need a break? |
| A. Correct. | 23 A. No. |
| Q. And some of those are shown on h | nere, the 24 Q. Okay. It won't be long. |
| appellant PCR division; is that correct? | 25 Just I'll pick up right where |
| | Page 238 Page 24 |
| 1 A. Correct. | 1 Ms. Shipma left off. |
| 2 Q. And I think you also mentioned e | earlier 2 In additional of course, given a |
| 3 capital division? | 3 reasonable caseload, would you also say and you |
| 4 A. Correct. | 4 said – assuming that it's better for someone to |
| 5 Q. And a CD Civil | 5 have it's better for a criminal defendant to have |
| 6 A. Commitment Defense Unit. | 6 an attorney who is experienced in criminal law, is |
| 7 Q Commitment Defense Unit. Th | |
| | hank you. 7 it also better for the criminal defendant to have an |
| 8 Yes. That's another division; is that con | • |
| | • |
| Yes. That's another division; is that coreA. Yes. | rrect? 8 attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and 9 is supervised by someone who is experienced in |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has to | rrect? 8 attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and 9 is supervised by someone who is experienced in the 10 criminal law? |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has a quality of representation changed for the | rect? 8 attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and 9 is supervised by someone who is experienced in the 10 criminal law? he clients of 11 A. Yes. |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has a quality of representation changed for the your attorneys since you've implemented | rect? 8 attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and 9 is supervised by someone who is experienced in the 10 criminal law? he clients of 11 A. Yes. |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has a quality of representation changed for the your attorneys since you've implemented caseload control – | rrect? 8 attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and 9 is supervised by someone who is experienced in the 10 criminal law? he clients of 11 A. Yes. ed this 12 Q. And not only experienced in criminal law |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has a quality of representation changed for the your attorneys since you've implemented caseload control – A. Yes. | rrect? 8 attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and 9 is supervised by someone who is experienced in the 10 criminal law? he clients of ed this 12 Q. And not only experienced in criminal law but also has training in criminal law? |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has a quality of representation changed for th your attorneys since you've implemente caseload control – A. Yes. Q. – in 2017? | attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and is supervised by someone who is experienced in the criminal law? A. Yes. Q. And not only experienced in criminal law but also has training in criminal law? A. Yes. |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has a quality of representation changed for th your attorneys since you've implemente caseload control – A. Yes. Q. – in 2017? A. Yes. | attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and is supervised by someone who is experienced in the criminal law? 10 criminal law? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And not only experienced in criminal law but also has training in criminal law? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And has someone who they – in their office, colleagues and supervisors, who they can as |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has a quality of representation changed for th your attorneys since you've implemente caseload control – A. Yes. Q. – in 2017? A. Yes. Q. And how has that quality change | attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and is supervised by someone who is experienced in the criminal law? 10 criminal law? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And not only experienced in criminal law but also has training in criminal law? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And has someone who they — in their office, colleagues and supervisors, who they can as for advice and support based on their experience in |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has a quality of representation changed for th your attorneys since you've implemente caseload control – A. Yes. Q. – in 2017? A. Yes. Q. And how has that quality change A. My lawyers have more time to talk | attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and is supervised by someone who is experienced in the criminal law? 10 criminal law? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And not only experienced in criminal law but also has training in criminal law? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And has someone who they — in their office, colleagues and supervisors, who they can as for advice and support based on their experience in the criminal law? |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has a quality of representation changed for th your attorneys since you've implemente caseload control – A. Yes. Q. – in 2017? A. Yes. Q. And how has that quality change A. My lawyers have more time to talk clients, more time to do the legal research | attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and is supervised by someone who is experienced in criminal law? the clients of ed this 10 A. Yes. Q. And not only experienced in criminal law but also has training in criminal law? A. Yes. Q. And has someone who they – in their office, colleagues and supervisors, who they can as for advice and support based on their experience in criminal law? A. Yes. 2 And has someone who they – in their office, colleagues and supervisors, who they can as for advice and support based on their experience in criminal law? A. Yes. |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has a quality of representation changed for th your attorneys since you've implemente caseload control – A. Yes. Q. – in 2017? A. Yes. Q. And how has that quality change A. My lawyers have more time to talk clients, more time to do the legal researc the motions, to do the depositions. I thin | attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and is supervised by someone who is experienced in criminal law? the clients of ed this 10 A. Yes. Q. And not only experienced in criminal law but also has training in criminal law? A. Yes. Q. And has someone who they – in their office, colleagues and supervisors, who they can as for advice and support based on their experience in criminal law? A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. Q. And if you actually had resources for |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has a quality of representation changed for th your attorneys since you've implemente caseload control – A. Yes. Q. – in 2017? A. Yes. Q. And how has that quality change A. My lawyers have more time to talk clients, more time to do the legal researc the motions, to do the depositions. I thin the quality of the legal services they are | attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and is supervised by someone who is experienced in criminal law? the clients of ed this 10 A. Yes. Q. And not only experienced in criminal law but also has training in criminal law? A. Yes. Q. And has someone who they – in their office, colleagues and supervisors, who they can as for advice and support based on their experience in criminal law? A. Yes. 20 A. Yes. Q. And if you actually had resources for |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you – has a quality of representation changed for th your attorneys since you've implements caseload control – A. Yes. Q. – in 2017? A. Yes. Q. And how has that quality change A. My lawyers have more time to talk clients, more time to do the legal researc the motions, to do the depositions. I thin the quality of the legal services they are has increased. | attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and is supervised by someone who is experienced in criminal law? A. Yes. Q. And not only experienced in criminal law but also has training in criminal law? A. Yes. Q. And has someone who they — in their office, colleagues and supervisors, who they can assed? A. Yes. To advice and support based on their experience in criminal law? A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. And if you actually had resources for investigators and experts and the like, someone who has access to investigators and experts and, |
| Yes. That's another division; is that core A. Yes. Q. In your opinion, have you — has a quality of representation changed for th your attorneys since you've implemente caseload control — A. Yes. Q. — in 2017? A. Yes. Q. And how has that quality change A. My lawyers have more time to talk clients, more time to do the legal researc the motions, to do the depositions. I thin the quality of the legal services they are has increased. | attorney who works with other criminal lawyers and is supervised by someone who is experienced in criminal law? A. Yes. Q. And not only experienced in criminal law but also has training in criminal law? A. Yes. Q. And has someone who they — in their office, colleagues and supervisors, who they can as for advice and support based on their experience in criminal law? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Yes. Contained law? A. Yes. A. Yes. |

60 (Pages 237 to 240)

Page 243 Page 241 1 Q. You're jumping ahead, so --Is it fair to say -- so you've talked 1 2 about two periods where there's strict caseload 2 A. Sorry. 3 limits; one for this six-month period in 2012 and 3 Q. No, no, no. That's perfect. 4 4 one now -- you've sort of created this, fair to say, So in other words, you don't even ask for 5 5 sort of, pilot project in your office where you're depositions in many of the cases you might 6 6 otherwise -- you don't even -- you wouldn't causing yourself to be ineffective in a -- in an 7 7 effort to try to save your line attorneys. necessarily have to ask, but -- because you're the 8 8 A. Correct. district defender, but you don't even consider doing 9 9 Q. Is it fair to say, with those strict depositions in many of the cases you would like to 10 10 caseloads limits in place, you and your attorneys because you don't possibly -- you couldn't possibly 11 11 are or would be able to provide something at least have the time to do so; is that right? 12 12 approaching effective representation for your A. Agreed. I would love to do more 13 criminal defendants? 13 depositions than what I'm actually doing, and I 14 A. Yes. 14 think there are cases in which it would be helpful. 15 Q. And without those caseload -- strict 15 It's a time limitation, not a money limitation. 16 caseload limits, as was the case for your attorneys 16 Q. And is that the same -- it sounds like you 17 17 were saying that's the same for your line attorneys in, say, 2016 and for you in 2017, you aren't 18 18 able -- you and your attorneys aren't able to as well. There are numerous cases that they would 19 19 provide that effective representation? like to be doing depositions in but do not, simply 20 A. Correct. 20 because they don't have the time? 21 21 Q. Okay. You talked about 1,788 cases, is A. They may have more time now, but at least 22 22 historically, that has absolutely been a limitation. that right, that you have open in your --A. Yes. 23 23 Q. And they might have time now because of 24 Q. Okay. That you have opened in Area 19? 24 the strict caseload limits that you've imposed? 25 25 A. No. That we opened thus far in calendar, A Correct Page 242 Page 244 1 not open now. 1 Q. All right. And because, of course, any 2 2 Q. Do you know how many are open now? time spent taking -- a day spent taking a deposition 3 3 A. About 750. is an entire day away from the other 212 cases that 4 Q. 750. Okay. Just a few more questions. 4 you have or the other numerous cases that 5 5 your line attorneys have? Mr. Ramsey was asking you about 6 depositions and whether those were denied -- whether 6 A. Correct. 7 those had been denied, and you talked about some 7 Q. And for experts, it -- is -- could part of 8 8 examples where they were. Could one of the reasons the reason that experts are used less frequently be 9 for denying a deposition -- that you were denied a 9 a similar reason, that it takes lots of time to find 10 10 deposition or that your -- Ellen Blau or the other the right expert, to talk to that expert, to gather 11 supervisors in the central were denying a deposition 11 the resources needed to have a fruitful expert 12 12 be time, as well as money? In other words, that report, and, thus, that's also time that would 13 13 you -- it's not necessarily the cost of the ideally be available to you and your attorneys but 14 deposition but time you're taking away from -- you 14 isn't, and, therefore, you don't make expert 15 or your attorneys are taking away from other cases? 15 requests? 16 16 A. I've never had that offered as a reason A. Absolutely. And the youthfulness and 17 for denying the deposition. And, you know, 17 general inexperience of the lawyers in my office. 18 generally, my lawyers and myself self-screen that 18 If they don't know to ask for an expert, they won't 19 out. I mean, I don't have time to waste. I'm not 19 ask for an expert. And I won't know that the need 2.0 going to ask for a deposition that I think is going 20 is there, necessarily. 21 to be fruitless, and my lawyers are in the same 21 Q. So is it fair to say that your office 22 22 should be -- in an ideal world, should be taking Q. Got it. Okay. That was getting to 23 2.3 depositions in many more cases than it currently exactly what I was asking. 2.4 24 does?

61 (Pages 241 to 244)

Fax: 314.644.1334

A. I think so. Certainly on my cases now,

25

A. Okay.

25

| | Page 245 | | Page 247 |
|---|---|--|---|
| 1 | absolutely, yes. | 1 | A. Correct. |
| 2 | Q. And also fair to say that your office | 2 | MR. SCHERZER: And that's all of the |
| 3 | should be use experts much more frequently than it | 3 | questions I have. |
| 4 | does? | 4 | VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:21 p.m., and |
| 5 | A. Yes. | 5 | we're off the record. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. I just have two more questions. | 6 | (The deposition concluded at 4:21 p.m.) |
| 7 | Just going back to the five people who | 7 | |
| 8 | left your office in 2017, two for family reasons, | 8 | |
| 9 | two quit for caseload and money reasons, and then | 9 | |
| . 0 | you mentioned one retired. Was one was part of | 10 | |
| .1 | the reason that he or she retired for the same | 11 | |
| 2 | caseload and money reasons, or was he just retiring? | 12 | |
| .3 | A. What he articulated to me was that he sat | 13 | |
| 4 | down and did the math, and he could get paid the | 14 | |
| .5 | same to work or not work, and so he said, I'll take | 15 | |
| . 6 | the same amount of money to not work, and, you know, | 16 | |
| 7 | if I do some private stuff on the side, I'll be | 17 | |
| . 8 | money ahead for less work. | 18 | |
| 9 | Q. Got it. And did he express at that time | 19 | |
| 20 | any frustration with the caseload or his other | 20 | |
| 21 | recent experience with – as a public defender at | 21 | |
| 22 | the time he retired? | 22 | |
| 23 | A. He did not express. | 23 | |
| 24 | Q. Okay. Just one last question about the | 24 | |
| 25 | criminal nonsupport cases, those – you estimated | 25 | |
| | Page 246 | | Page 248 |
| 1 | are there about 50 criminal nonsupport cases that | 1 | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |
| 2 | might come up over the course of the year. The | 2 | CERTIFICATE OF REFORTER |
| 3 | judge's decision to say that you cannot or should | 3 | I, Lisa Ballalatak, a Certified Court |
| 4 | not enter appearances in any of those cases, has | 4 | Reporter for the State of Missouri, do hereby certify |
| 5 | that significantly reduced, in any meaningful way, | 5 | that the witness whose testimony appears in the |
| | | | |
| | your the caseload of your office? | | |
| 6 | your the caseload of your office? A Well I mean at least for my individual | 6 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the |
| 6 7 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual | 6 7 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes |
| 6 7 8 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if | 6 7 8 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting |
| 6 7 8 9 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not | 6 7 8 9 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, |
| 6 7 8 9 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? | 6 7 8 9 10 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the |
| 6 7 8 9 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further |
| 6 7 8 9 0 1 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney |
| 6 7 8 9 0 1 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 cases a year, you know, 50 is frankly, it's sort | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor |
| 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 cases a year, you know, 50 is frankly, it's sort of a drop in the bucket, at least in terms of the | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of |
| 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 cases a year, you know, 50 is frankly, it's sort of a drop in the bucket, at least in terms of the bigger impact, you know, kind of office wide. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor |
| 6 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 cases a year, you know, 50 is frankly, it's sort of a drop in the bucket, at least in terms of the bigger impact, you know, kind of office wide. Q. Especially, presumably, because the point | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 cases a year, you know, 50 is frankly, it's sort of a drop in the bucket, at least in terms of the bigger impact, you know, kind of office wide. Q. Especially, presumably, because the point of these 50 is there should be 50 of the easiest, | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 cases a year, you know, 50 is frankly, it's sort of a drop in the bucket, at least in terms of the bigger impact, you know, kind of office wide. Q. Especially, presumably, because the point of these 50 is there should be 50 of the easiest, shortest cases they have. It's not 50 murder cases | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 cases a year, you know, 50 is frankly, it's sort of a drop in the bucket, at least in terms of the bigger impact, you know, kind of office wide. Q. Especially, presumably, because the point of these 50 is there should be 50 of the easiest, shortest cases they have. It's not 50 murder cases that are being taken away or 50 A, B felony, it's | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Lisa Ballalatak |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 cases a year, you know, 50 is frankly, it's sort of a drop in the bucket, at least in terms of the bigger impact, you know, kind of office wide. Q. Especially, presumably, because the point of these 50 is there should be 50 of the easiest, shortest cases they have. It's not 50 murder cases that are being taken away or 50 A, B felony, it's A. Right. You're talking about either | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Lisa Ballalatak Missouri Supreme Court |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 cases a year, you know, 50 is frankly, it's sort of a drop in the bucket, at least in terms of the bigger impact, you know, kind of office wide. Q. Especially, presumably, because the point of these 50 is there should be 50 of the easiest, shortest cases they have. It's not 50 murder cases that are being taken away or 50 A, B felony, it's A. Right. You're talking about either misdemeanors or probation violations or low-level | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Lisa Ballalatak |
| 6 7 8 9 0 1 1 2 3 4 1 5 1 6 7 8 9 2 0 2 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 cases a year, you know, 50 is frankly, it's sort of a drop in the bucket, at least in terms of the bigger impact, you know, kind of office wide. Q. Especially, presumably, because the point of these 50 is there should be 50 of the easiest, shortest cases they have. It's not 50 murder cases that are being taken away or 50 A, B felony, it's A. Right. You're talking about either misdemeanors or probation violations or low-level felonies. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Lisa Ballalatak Missouri Supreme Court |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 22 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 cases a year, you know, 50 is frankly, it's sort of a drop in the bucket, at least in terms of the bigger impact, you know, kind of office wide. Q. Especially, presumably, because the point of these 50 is there should be 50 of the easiest, shortest cases they have. It's not 50 murder cases that are being taken away or 50 A, B felony, it's A. Right. You're talking about either misdemeanors or probation violations or low-level felonies. Q. Not to say they're not serious, but, | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the bes of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Lisa Ballalatak Missouri Supreme Court |
| 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 | A. Well, I mean, at least for my individual assistant public defenders I mean, no, because if they couldn't have taken those cases, I was not going to assign them to them. You know what I mean? Q. Uh-huh. A. And, you know, in the context of 1,788 cases a year, you know, 50 is frankly, it's sort of a drop in the bucket, at least in terms of the bigger impact, you know, kind of office wide. Q. Especially, presumably, because the point of these 50 is there should be 50 of the easiest, shortest cases they have. It's not 50 murder cases that are being taken away or 50 A, B felony, it's A. Right. You're talking about either misdemeanors or probation violations or low-level felonies. | 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me; the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Lisa Ballalatak Missouri Supreme Court |

62 (Pages 245 to 248)

| | Page 249 | Page 251 |
|---|---|---|
| 1 | ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES | 1 STATE OF) |
| 2 | December 28, 2017 | 2 3 COUNTY OF) |
| 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | MS. JACQUELINE D. SHIPMA MISSOURI STATE PUBLIC DEFENDER SYSTEM 1000 W Nifong Boulevard, Suite 100 Columbia, Missouri 65203 IN RE: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al. v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Dear Ms. Shipma, Please find enclosed your copies of the deposition of JUSTIN CARVER taken on December 11, 2017 in the above-referenced case. Also enclosed is the original signature page and errata sheets. Please have the witness read your copy of the transcript, indicate any changes and/or corrections desired on the errata sheets, and sign the signature page before a notary public. Please return the errata sheets and notarized signature page within 30 days to our office at 711 N 11th Street, St. Louis, MO 63101 for filling. Sincerely, LISA BALLALATAK, CCR | I, JUSTIN CARVER, do hereby certify: That I have read the foregoing deposition; That I have made such changes in form and/or substance to the within deposition as might be necessary to render the same true and correct; That having made such changes thereon, I hereby subscribe my name to the deposition. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this day of, 20, at JUSTIN CARVER JUSTIN CARVER My Commission Expires: |
| 23 | | |
| 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 | Page 250 ERRATA SHEET Witness Name: JUSTIN CARVER Case Name: SHONDEL CHURCH, et al. v. STATE OF MISSOURI, et al. Date Taken: DECEMBER 11, 2017 Page # Line # Should read: Reason for change: Page # Line # Should read: Reason for change: Page # Line # Should read: Reason for change: Page # Line # Should read: Reason for change: Page # Line # Should read: Reason for change: Page # Line # Should read: Reason for change: Page # Line # Should read: Reason for change: Page # Line # Should read: Reason for change: Page # Line # Should read: Reason for change: | |

63 (Pages 249 to 251)

| | | | Ī | Ī |
|-------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Α | 243:22 | 121:4 159:8 | 51:15 97:20,21 | Agreed 146:1 |
| a.m 5:1,4 15:3,6 | 244:16 245:1 | 163:18 184:10 | 112:6 136:6 | 243:12 |
| 69:25 70:3 | accept 154:13 | 190:5 222:13 | 138:22 180:11 | ahead 8:19 |
| 125:22 126:7 | 181:4,16 187:10 | 222:14 225:2 | 181:9 190:6 | 204:12 |
| Aaron 4:3 5:17 | 187:15 220:21 | additional | 206:3 233:3 | 205:25 221:13 |
| 6:9 | 225:23 226:1 | 18:25 21:17 | 233:23 234:1 | 243:1 245:18 |
| ABA 99:9 | accepted | 22:3 26:6 | administrativ | al 1:4,7 3:3,6,19 |
| 128:13 165:10 | 226:15 | 47:5 55:6 | 203:22 228:9 | 3:20 5:6,6 |
| ABA-designat | access 10:19,24 | 61:15 89:8,15 | 231:7 | 249:6,7 |
| 128:12 | 11:7,9,11,15,16 | 100:10 102:17 | admitted 67:6 | 250:2,2 |
| ability 8:1 118:2 | 11:23,25 12:1 | 104:18 108:22 | advance 191:11 | Alan 4:17 5:21 |
| 123:4 134:14 | 12:10,14,17,20 | 116:23 123:4 | advancement | 191:3 |
| 135:1 190:13,13 | 62:21 64:2 | 135:4 140:22 | 31:19 | Alaris 3:13 5:9 |
| 204:22 248:8 | 240:22 | 151:2,8 154:13 | adverse 38:14 | 5:14 249:1 |
| able 11:23 12:17 | accident 6:25 | 155:3 157:19 | advice 240:17 | alcohol 117:25 |
| 14:22 33:3 | 7:4 | 157:21 158:22 | advise 85:17 | 222:19 |
| 46:5 57:14 | accord 70:16 | 158:23 159:4 | 187:23 | allegation |
| 59:15 71:20 | accumulated | 167:24 175:10 | Advisory | 215:14 223:6 |
| 72:5,7 78:4,15 | 21:14 | 181:4,16 194:12 | 233:19 | alleged 52:18 |
| 88:1,6 100:18 | accused 59:3 | 197:11,11,24 | affect 120:23 | 215:18 222:23 |
| 105:11,14 | 63:7 105:8 | 207:6 210:18 | 120:24 121:1 | alliance 233:18 |
| 106:12 116:22 | 239:11 | 224:17 225:1 | affidavits 14:17 | allocate 151:1 |
| 116:23,25 | accused's | 240:2 | 124:24 173:2 | 198:9 |
| 118:9 136:19 | 120:12 204:22 | address 76:14 | 173:2 | allocated 89:5 |
| 137:10,11 | acknowledg | 93:13,14,21 | affiliated 193:12 | 89:7 157:18 |
| 154:11 155:3 | 147:16 | 96:17 98:19 | 193:14 | allocating |
| 164:1 175:19 | act 227:25 | 104:14 163:13 | afford 59:15 | 198:20 |
| 175:25 177:4 | 236:6 | 165:8 | 63:13 100:5 | allow 35:17 |
| 179:23 188:5 | action 10:16 | addressed | afternoon 3:12 | 86:25 154:17 |
| 189:2 206:18 | 133:5 163:11 | 96:20,25 | age 6:3 | 228:17 |
| 212:23 217:4 | 167:2 198:4 | 127:18,18 | agency 18:22 | alluding 154:1 |
| 241:11,18,18 | 248:11,15 | 134:7,8 223:11 | 21:2,4 27:23 | alteration 53:13 |
| above-refere | active 116:12 | adequate | 38:19 84:12 | altered 203:21 |
| 249:10 | 117:8,10 133:2 | 154:20 | 86:7 92:1 97:5 | Alternatively |
| absolute 160:6 | 174:1 177:5 | adequately | 97:6,14 98:7 | 51:3 |
| absolutely | 219:18,21 | 84:23 128:14 | 98:14 104:12 | American 161:7 |
| 27:21,21 28:1 | activity 223:2,3 | 146:11 186:24 | 135:14 | amount 20:1 |
| 32:8 34:9 | actual 200:19 | 187:5,8,23 | aggregate | 21:25 25:2 |
| 37:4 39:6 | 224:10 | 189:17,25 | 21:23 | 28:16 31:18 |
| 48:4 76:18 | ad 133:15 | 222:7 | ago 24:16 | 34:18 53:12 |
| 111:5 113:21 | adamant 221:7 | adherence | 90:24 149:19 | 124:9 131:5 |
| 117:20 119:25 | add 197:22 | 160:2 | 150:5,19 153:4 | 196:9 213:20 |
| 124:16 152:19 | added 67:5 | administration | 165:6 170:21 | 214:11,13 |
| 158:22 170:24 | 69:12 119:10 | 100:21 112:1 | 210:24 | 222:3 245:16 |
| 183:12 236:1 | addition 17:8 | administrative | agree 84:24 | and/or 100:16 |
| 239:21 | 20:7 22:21 | 2:20 12:20 | 92:1 126:21 | 113:7 124:13 |
| | 111:23 112:5 | 17:7,24 19:12 | 152:17 162:8 | 137:3 249:12 |
| | | | ı | · |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES

www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

| 251:8 anecdotal 134:5 143:5 163:19 appearance 166:22 70:22 106:10 appearance 218:5 105:19,23 announcement 66:9 178:19,20,20 annual 224:24 answer 7:25 8:11,519,19 4:165:21 106:10 appearances 32:11,51,919 4:165:21 106:10 appearances 32:11,51,919 4:165:21 106:10 appearances 32:11,51,919 4:165:21 106:23 246:4 answer 7:25 8:11,51,919 4:165:21 106:23 246:4 appearances 32:11 125:9 220:12 answered 196:13 100:19 108:14 116:11 120:19:20:13 appearances 32:11 125:9 220:12 answered 191:11 209:25 37:25 appealant 237:25 100:23 108:12 109:21 14:21 answering 191:8 191:11 209:25 5:19 100:18 179:7,11 anticipated 66:14 234:19,22,23 anticipating 110:12 234:14 anybody 50:8.9 74:1117:5 35:13 39:16 225:14 anybody 50:8.9 74:1117:5 35:13 39:16 225:14 appointment 110:12 234:14 anybody 50:8.9 applicants 37:6 appealed 234:24 139:12 20:13 application 37:19 92:13 32:13 application 235:9 application 325:9 application 225:14 appealed 203:12 20:13 applications 235:19 applications 225:19 applications 235:19 applications 235:11 106:4 226:14 approved 35:15 143:19 216:8 appealed 234:24 application 2235:15 229:20 238:23.24 applications 235:11 106:4 226:14 approved 35:15 143:19 216:8 appealed 235:11 106:4 226:14 approved 35:15 143:19 216:8 appealed 238:24 applications 235:11 106:4 226:14 approved 35:15 143:19 216:8 appealed 235:11 106:4 226:14 approved 35:15 143:19 216:9 appointment 238:22 applications 235:11 106:4 226:14 approved 35:15 143:19 216:8 appealed 235:11 106:4 226:14 approved 35:15 143:19 216:8 appealed 235:15 229:20 applications 235:15 229:20 applications 235:11 106:4 226:14 approved 35:15 143:19 216:8 appealed 235:15 229:20 applications 235:15 229:20 applications 235:11 106:4 226:14 approved 35:15 143:19 216:8 appealed 235:15 225:1 | F | | | | |
|---|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| 128:18 anecdote 16:11 announce 128:5 announcement 66:92 170:22 105:19,23 announcement 66:9 178:19,20,20 annual 224:24 answer 7.25 appearances 84:1100:2 answer 7.25 appearances 84:1100:2 answer 7.25 appearances 84:1100:2 answer 8.21:15,19,19 96:13 100:19 108:14 116:11 107:5,9,23 appearance 196:18 212:11 215:9 220:12 answer 8.22:11,15,19,19 96:13 100:19 108:14 116:11 107:5,9,23 appearance 196:8 235:16 109:3 113:7 125:3,18 32:18 appearance 196:18 212:11 237:25 153:16 154:18 112:22 144:19 176:74 187:7 191:11 209:25 April 209:21 200:18 199:18 237:25 153:16 154:18 112:22 144:19 176:74 187:7 191:11 209:25 April 209:21 200:18 199:18 23 199:18 234:19 235:13 applicant's 234:14 225:18 229:14 anytome 18:16 206:12 appoint 94:3 120:23 100:31 appoint 94:3 120:23 100:31 appoint 94:3 120:23 100:31 appoint 94:3 120:23 100:31 appoint 94:3 120:31 application 235:15 appoiled 44:13 approach applicated applications application 220:32 20:21 220:10 235:3 applications 120:31 appoint 44:3 approach applications 235:15 appoined 44:3 approach approach 100:22 122:12 apologize 235:3 applications 235:14 approach applications 226:24 231:16 appeal 234:24 229:10 20:32 20:8:23 20:8:23 20:02:32 20:24 235:15 appointed 44:3 approach approach applications 235:15 appointed 44:3 approach 100:23 105:11 appointments 220:13 applications 235:15 appointed 44:3 approach 32:19 36:44 approach 100:23 105:11 appointments 220:13 applications 235:13 applications 235:15 appointed 44:3 approach 32:19 30:24 applications 235:15 appointed 44:3 approach 32:19 30:24 approach 32:24 applications 235:15 appointments 24:12 approach 32:25 20:46 approach 32:24 approach 32:25 20:46 approach 32:25 20:20 20:00:8 approach 32:25 20:20 20:00:8 approach 32:25 20:20 20 | 251:8 | appear 75:18 | applies 37:15 | 216:16 | articulating |
| anecdote 16:11 announce appearance 66:22 70:22 106:10 35:18 68:21 106:10 approximately 7:18 45:5 46:6 Ashley 129:10 4:5 Ashley 129:10 Ashley 129:10 129:13 24 Ashley 129:10 Ashley 129:10 Ashley 129:10 129:13 24 Ashley 129:10 129:13 24 130:7 applying 37:16 37:17 225:21 109:7 128:19 approximation 129:13 24 130:7 approximation approximation approximation 129:13 24 130:7 approximation approximation approximation 129:13 24 130:7 approximation approximation 129:13 24 130:7 approximation approximation 129:13 24 130:7 approximation approximation approximation approximation approximation 129:13 24 130:7 approximation 4:6 4:5 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:5 4:6 4:6 4:6 4:5 4:6 4:5 4:6 | anecdotal | 134:5 143:5 | 171:1,2 | approving | 83:7 |
| announce 128:5 105:19,23 178:19,20,20 179:13 182:8 179:19 24:15 170:21 196:48 196:19 212:11 215:9 220:12 28:11,15,19,19 170:21 196:48 196:19 212:11 215:9 220:12 28swered 128:8 214:17 214:21 215:9 220:12 28swering 191:8 196:19 212:11 215:9 220:12 244:21 237:25 244:21 237:25 25:19 201:01:8 179:7:11 201:25 234:14 201:01:18 234:19,22,23 235:16 234:14 237:25 234:14 237:25 235:16 234:14 237:25 235:16 235:17 237:25 235:18 235 | 128:18 | 163:19 | apply 28:10,12 | 204:6 | ascherzer@or |
| 128:5 | anecdote 16:11 | appearance | 35:18 68:21 | approximately | 4:5 |
| announcement 66:9 178:19,20,20 178:19,20,20 appoint 49:3,3 applicant 37:0 109:2,4 106:6 66:13 as:20 118:6 129:4 area 15:13,20 97:14.16,17,19 16:3 18:20 118:6 129:4 136:22 137:25 130:20 131:9 37:16 82:2 161:12 167:17 answering 191:8 applicant 37:6 139:20 131:9 37:16 82:2 161:12 167:17 appointed 44:6 154:18 1112 09:25 137:20 38:1 176:11 235:17 176:11 2 | announce | 66:22 70:22 | 106:10 | 7:18 45:5 46:6 | Ashley 129:10 |
| 66:9 178:19,20,20 appoint 49:3,3 approximation aside 37:2 answer 7:25 appearances 84:1 100:2 April 61:2,14 209:21,22 8:11,15,19,19 4:1 65:21 105:2,4 106:6 112:13 apked 14:6 61:6 96:13 100:19 108:14 116:11 107:5,9,23 apty 161:8 66:13 83:22 196:19 212:11 215:9 220:12 appeared 65:7 109:3 113:7 16:3 18:20 97:14,16,17,19 215:9 220:12 answered appellant 134:22 135:13 25:3,18 32:18 135:25 154:16 188:18 214:17 214:21 37:20 38:1 133:16 154:18 112:22 144:19 176:7 18:7 214:21 37:20 38:1 176:11 235:17 145:4 152:25 192:23 194:14 191:11 209:25 37:20 38:1 176:11 235:17 145:4 152:25 192:23 194:14 201:12 37:20 38:1 176:11 235:17 154:41:9 176:7 18:7 35:19 100:18 179:7,11 109:23 114:22 181:3,15 229:22 144:19 37:12 34:16 234:19,22,23 161:16 162:7 18:3,15 229:22 23:23 <td>128:5</td> <td>105:19,23</td> <td>applying 37:16</td> <td>52:4 107:12</td> <td>129:13,24</td> | 128:5 | 105:19,23 | applying 37:16 | 52:4 107:12 | 129:13,24 |
| annual 224:24 answer 7:25 8:11,15,19,19 9 66:13 100:19 108:14 116:11 107:5,9,23 appeared 65:7 1215:9 220:12 appears 248:5 130:20 131:9 136:8 18 214:17 237:25 appellant 237:25 answering 191:8 applicant 37:6 191:11 209:25 Anthony 4:7 5:19 20.022 5:19 100:18 1797.11 anticipated 66:14 23:11 23:17 234:16 66:14 23:11 23:17 234:16 66:14 anybody 50:8,9 74:11 117:5 anybody 50:8,9 74:11 117:5 anymore 52:7 anymore 52:7 anymore 52:7 anymore 52:7 anymore 52:7 anymore 52:7 applicants 37:6 23:18 29:14 anytime 118:16 anyway 26:2 25:18 229:14 anytime 118:16 anyway 26:2 25:18 229:14 anytime 118:16 anyway 26:2 25:18 229:14 anytime 118:16 anyway 26:2 25:19 29:19 23:10:23 105:11 anytime 118:16 anyway 26:2 25:19 29:19 23:10:23 105:11 anytime 118:16 anyway 26:2 25:19 29:19 23:10:23 105:11 anytime 118:16 anyway 26:2 25:14 application 235:9 applications 226:24 231:16 appealed applied 44:13 applied 44:13 applicated 235:15 applications 226:24 231:16 appealed applied 44:13 applicated 235:15 applications applied 44:13 applicated 235:15 applications applied 44:13 application 236:24 application 235:14 applications application 226:24 231:16 appealed applied 44:13 application 238:23 application 226:24 231:16 appealed applied 44:13 applicated 238:13 application 238:23 application 238:23 205:8 application 238:23 24:12 application 238:23 205:8 application 226:24 231:16 appealed 238:16 applied 44:13 application 238:23 24:12 applicatio | announcement | 116:14 164:3 | 37:17 225:21 | 109:7 128:19 | 130:7 |
| answer 7:25 appearances 44:165:21 41:65:24 105:2,4 106:6 April 61:2,14 209:21,22 asked 14:6 61:6 asked 14:6 61:6 66:13 83:22 asked 14:6 61:6 aptly 16:8 aptly 16:3 aptly 16:8 aptly 16:3 | 66:9 | 178:19,20,20 | appoint 49:3,3 | approximation | aside 37:2 |
| 8:11,15,19,19 96:13 100:19 108:14 116:11 108:12 108:15 100:19 108:14 116:11 108:12 108:25 109:3 196:19 212:11 215:9 220:12 218:8 29:20:12 218:18 214:17 215:9 220:12 218:18 214:17 214:21 237:25 215:9 220:12 237:25 248:5 249:21 237:25 248:5 249:21 237:25 237:25 248:5 249:21 237:25 248:5 249:21 237:25 248:5 249:21 237:25 248:5 249:21 237:25 248:5 249:21 237:25 248:5 249:21 237:25 248:5 249:21 237:25 248:6 234:19,22,23 248:6 234:19,22,23 248:6 234:19,22,23 248:14 248:12 248:12 248:12 248:12 248:12 248:21 248:12 248:21 248:21 248:21 248:21 248:21 248:21 248:21 248:21 248:22 248:22 248:24 | annual 224:24 | 179:13 182:8 | 62:4 83:22 | 195:15 | 143:4 200:15 |
| 96:13 100:19 | answer 7:25 | appearances | 84:1 100:2 | April 61:2,14 | 209:21,22 |
| 170:21 196:4,8 126:23 246:4 108:25 109:3 area 15:13,20 97:14,16,17,19 196:19 212:11 appeared 65:7 109:3 113:7 16:3 18:20 118:6 129:4 answered appears 248:5 130:20 131:9 37:16 82:2 16:17:17 188:18 214:17 239:18 135:25 154:16 167:17 214:21 237:25 134:22 135:13 98:18 99:4 167:22 175:3 3nswering 191:8 appleants 7:6 153:16 154:18 112:22 144:19 176:7 181:7 19t:11 209:25 37:20 38:1 4ppointed 44:6 154:8,12 214:11 12:8 Anthony 4:7 99:19,20,22 59:22 108:13 160:25 180:25 229:25 232:6 5:19 100:18 179:7,11 109:23 114:22 181:3,15 236:14 anticipating 110:12 applicant's 234:14 4pplicant's 15:77:7 136:25,17 223:23 asking 14:6,19 10:12 38:7,7 39:12 39:19 64:11 135:5 135:5 223:23 23:4 225:18 229:14 100:23 105:11 115:5 135:5 225:14 225:16 229:20 235:4 | 8:11,15,19,19 | 4:1 65:21 | 105:2,4 106:6 | 112:13 | asked 14:6 61:6 |
| 196:19 212:11 215:9 220:12 appeared 65:7 66:8 235:16 109:3 113:7 114:20 130:11 16:3 18:20 25:3,18 32:18 135:25 154:16 135:25 154:16 answered 188:18 214:17 214:21 appears 248:5 appellant 237:25 130:20 131:9 133:22 135:13 37:16 82:2 98:18 99:4 167:22 175:3 167:22 175:3 answering 191:8 191:11 209:25 37:20 38:1 37:20 38:1 37:20 38:1 400:18 179:7,11 203:17 234:16 66:14 176:11 235:17 appointed 44:6 100:18 179:7,11 203:17 234:16 234:19,22,23 applicant's 110:12 anybody 50:8,9 74:11 117:5 anymore 52:7 anymore 52:7 316:3,5 204:2 225:18 229:14 anytime 118:16 anyway 26:2 29:21 220:10 235:3 application 235:4 approlacition 235:4 approlacition 235:4 application 236:12 application 236:14 approlacition 236:12 application 236:14 approach 109:24 119:1 200:13 139:0 203:4 227:15 approach 109:24 119:1 200:13 23:9 118:6 129:4 135:25 154:16 125:14:19:7:17 118:6 129:4 167:22 175:3 112:22 144:19 156:4:8,12 229:25 232:6 160:25 180:25 229:25 232:6 229:25 232:6 223:23 applicant's 115:17 116:4 appointment 115:5 135:5 appointment 115:5 135:5 approintments 42:21 108:22 40:24 arraign 201:6 arraignment 120:16 approaching 201:16 approach | 96:13 100:19 | 108:14 116:11 | 107:5,9,23 | aptly 161:8 | 66:13 83:22 |
| 215:9 220:12 answered appears 248:5 appellant 249:17 214:21 237:25 appellant 37:6 appellant 37:6 appellant 37:6 37:20 38:1 applicant 44:6 45:66:14 anticipated 203:17 234:16 234:19,22,23 anticipating 110:12 anticipating 110:12 anytone 52:7 anymore 52:7 anymore 52:7 38:7,7 39:12 anywore 52:7 anymore 52:7 | 170:21 196:4,8 | 126:23 246:4 | 108:25 109:3 | · | 97:14,16,17,19 |
| answered appears 248:5 appellant 130:20 131:9 appellant 37:16 82:2 psite 167:22 175:3 161:12 167:17 167:22 175:3 214:21 237:25 134:22 135:13 psite 154:18 psite 152:15 psite 19:11 209:25 176:11 235:17 psite 19:12 144:19 psite 154:8 psite 15:10 154:8 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:8 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:8 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:8 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:8 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:8 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:8 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154:14 psite 154: | | | 109:3 113:7 | 16:3 18:20 | 118:6 129:4 |
| 188:18 214:17 214:21 appellant 237:25 134:22 135:13 153:16 154:18 98:18 99:4 112:22 144:19 167:22 175:3 176:7 181:7 answering 191:8 191:11 209:25 Anthony 4:7 37:20 38:1 99:19,20,22 5:19 153:16 154:18 176:11 235:17 112:22 144:19 145:4 152:25 154:8,12 176:7 181:7 192:23 194:14 214:11 218:8 Anthony 4:7 5:19 99:19,20,22 100:18 179:7,11 100:18 179:7,11 109:23 114:22 59:22 108:13 160:25 180:25 181:3,15 236:14 160:25 180:25 232:23 232:23 232:23 241:24 236:14 248:10 236:14 248:12 236:14 248:12 asking 14:6,19 136: 43:9 203:4 227:15 203:4 227:15 203:4 229:14 115:17 116:4 257:14 areas 30:12 241:12 203:4 227:15 229:10 242:5 229:10 242:5 229:10 | 215:9 220:12 | | | · · | |
| 214:21 answering 191:8 applicant 37:6 37:20 38:1 176:11 235:17 145:4 152:25 192:23 194:14 214:11 218:8 219:19,20,22 59:22 108:13 160:25 180:25 229:25 232:6 191:14 218:3,15 236:14 anticipated 66:14 234:19,22,23 anticipating 110:12 234:14 applicant's 234:14 appointing 110:12 anywody 50:8,9 74:11 117:5 anymore 52:7 136:3,5 204:2 225:18 229:14 appointment anytime 118:16 anyway 26:2 29:20 235:3 application 235:3 application 235:3 application 206:12 99:18,23 application 206:12 179:3,6 203:9 121:15 188:16 191:10 209:11 220:13 220:13 220:13 220:13 220:13 220:13 220:13 220:14 220:15 appealed 234:24 220:20 applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 applicant 57:3 applicant's applicant's 100:23 105:11 appointment 125:17 appointment 125:14 assessment 1222 144:19 176:7 181:7 192:23 194:14 214:12 128:8 160:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 180:25 181:3,15 asking 14:6,19 113:6 143:9 appointing 115:17 116:4 areas 30:12 241:24 areas 30:12 247:24 227:15 125:16 225:14 armor 147:17 Army 25:7 40:6 40:24 armor 147:17 appointment 155:14 222:12 arraign 201:6 arraignment 155:14 222:12 arraign 201:6 arraignment 155:14 222:12 arraign 201:6 arraignment 153:1 136:4 170:9 175:19 170:19 175:19 170:22 189:5 170:22 189:5 170:22 189:5 170:22 189:5 170:22 189:5 170:22 189:5 170:22 189:5 170:22 189:5 170:22 189:5 170:22 189:5 170:24 181:3 19:20 200:8 170:24 181:3 19:20 200:8 170:24 181:3 19:20 200:8 170:24 181:3 19:20 200:8 170:24 181:3 19:20 200:8 170:24 181:3 19:20 200:8 170:24 181:22 171:15 139:7 170:22 189:5 170:24 181:22 171:15 139:7 170:22 189:5 170:24 1 | | | | | |
| answering 191:8 191:11 209:25 applicant 37:6 37:20 38:1 4pointed 44:6 176:11 235:17 appointed 44:6 5p:22 18:13; 154:8,12 16:25 18:25 29:25 232:6 192:23 194:14 214:11 218:8 216:0:25 180:25 229:25 232:6 232:3 23:14 24:11 218:8 214:11 218:8 216:0:25 180:25 229:25 232:6 232:12 23:23 23:14 224:24 231:14 214:12 22:12 223:23 23:24 24:24 | | | | | |
| 191:11 209:25 | · · | | | | |
| Anthony 4:7 5:19 100:18 179:7,11 109:23 114:22 181:3,15 236:14 234:19,22,23 241:24 234:19 234:14 234:14 234:14 234:14 234:14 235:17 37:7 235:18 235:18 235:18 235:14 235:18 235:14 235:18 235:14 235:18 235:14 235:18 235:14 235:18 235:14 235:18 235:14 235:18 235:14 235:18 235:14 225:18 235:1 | | | | | |
| 5:19 100:18 179:7,11 109:23 114:22 181:3,15 236:14 anticipated 66:14 234:19,22,23 161:16 162:7 241:24 113:6 143:9 anticipating 110:12 applicant's 234:14 169:25 170:6 appointing 110:17 areas 30:12 203:4 227:15 anybody 50:8,9 74:11 117:5 35:17 37:7 139:6 225:14 appointment 113:6 225:14 Arkansas 229:10 242:5 anymore 52:7 136:3,5 204:2 225:18 229:14 anytime 118:16 39:19 64:11 appointment 115:5 135:5 appointments appointments 105:12,14 42:21 108:22 appointments 42:21 108:22 appointments appointments 42:21 108:22 appointments 42:21 108:22 appointments 42:21 108:22 appointments 42:21 108:22 appreciate 49:23 appreciate 47:17 appreciate 47 | | | | • | |
| anticipated 203:17 234:16 115:20,25 223:23 asking 14:6,19 66:14 234:19,22,23 161:16 162:7 241:24 113:6 143:9 anticipating 110:12 applicant's 234:14 169:25 170:6 areas 30:12 203:4 227:15 anybody 50:8,9 74:11 117:5 35:17 37:7 139:6 225:14 Arkansas 229:10 242:5 anymore 52:7 136:3,5 204:2 225:18 229:14 39:19 64:11 115:5 135:5 armed 198:4 assault 80:16 anytime 118:16 105:12,14 42:21 108:22 40:24 assert 161:1 anyway 26:2 29:21 220:10 235:3 application 49:23 arraign 201:6 assign 26:3,4 apart 39:10 20:19 94:3 121:15 188:16 191:10 209:11 235:9 179:3,6 203:9 109:24 119:1 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 120:13 220:13 220:13 220:13 220:13 220:13 220:13 220:14 applications approach approach approaching 241:12 arrangement 3:13:136:4 135:1136:4 191:10 209:11 220:13 225:15 220:13 220:24 231:16 applications 23:9 241:12 approach arrangements 3:15:136:4 135:136:4 135:8 arrangements 3:15:136:4 135:136:4 191:10 209:11 220:13 235:9 applications 226:24 231:16 229: | _ | · · · | | | |
| 66:14 anticipating applicant's anticipating 110:12 234:19,22,23 applicant's 169:25 170:6 appointing 110:12 234:14 appointing 144:12 147:2 227:24 areas 30:12 27:24 appointing 144:12 147:2 227:24 appointing 144:12 147:2 227:24 appointing 242:5 242:24 appointment 117:5 35:17 37:7 139:6 225:14 appointment 136:3,5 204:2 29:19 64:11 15:5 135:5 appointment 18:16 anyway 26:2 100:23 105:11 appointments 118:16 anyway 26:2 29:21 220:10 235:3 appreciate 235:4 application 235:4 application 37:19 38:2,5 applicated 206:12 99:18,23 appreciated 123:23 178:21 200:19 94:3 179:3,6 203:9 109:24 119:1 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 20:13 applications 226:24 231:16 appeal 234:24 235:15 applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 166:16 162:7 169:25 170:6 appointing 144:12 47:2 203:4 227:15 227:24 areas 30:12 21:15 18:9 203:4 225:14 215:16 227:24 225:16 229:20 238:23,24 application 241:12 appointment 242:15 189:7 208:24 144:12 147:2 227:24 27:24 227:24 227:24 227:24 24 24:24 appointment 242:25 242:24 appointment 242:24 appointment 242:24 appointment 242:24 appointment 242:24 appointments 242:24 appointments 242:24 appointments 25:14 40:24 assent 161:1 assessment 25:14 222:12 appreciate 223:23 23:3 appreciated 249:23 appreciated 249:23 appreciated 249:23 appreciated 249:23 appreciated 249:23 approaching 249:25 100:9 203:24 229:20 approaching 249:24 235:15 approaching 249:20 238:23,24 approaching 249:23 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 17 | | | | · · | |
| anticipating 110:12 applicant's 234:14 169:25 170:6 appointing areas 30:12 144:12 147:2 203:4 227:15 anybody 50:8,9 74:11 117:5 applicants 115:17 116:4 139:6 225:14 Arkansas 215:16 229:10 242:5 anymore 52:7 136:3,5 204:2 225:18 229:14 38:7,7 39:12 39:19 64:11 appointment 115:5 135:5 armed 198:4 armor 147:17 assault 80:16 anytime 118:16 anyway 26:2 29:21 220:10 106:4 123:21 235:3 116:9 arose 47:14 appreciate assessment 235:4 application application 49:23 49:23 appreciated arraign 201:6 arraign 201:6 assign 26:3,4 apologize 123:23 178:21 135:8 approach 65:13 arrangement 32:19 36:14 47:17 99:20 200:19 94:3 191:10 209:11 220:13 220:13 220:13 220:13 226:24 231:16 203:23 205:8 205:14 229:20 applications 203:4 approaching 241:12 arrested 68:18 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 appeal 234:24 235:15 229:20 applied 44:13 187:20 238:23,24 approved 35:15 articulated 21:15 139:7 199:20 200:8 | • | | · · | | _ |
| 110:12 234:14 appointing 144:12 147:2 227:24 anybody 50:8,9 74:11 117:5 35:17 37:7 139:6 225:14 215:16 242:24 anymore 52:7 38:7,7 39:12 appointment armed 198:4 assault 80:16 136:3,5 204:2 39:19 64:11 115:5 135:5 armor 147:17 80:20,21,23 225:18 229:14 100:23 105:11 appointments Army 25:7 40:6 80:25 anytime 118:16 105:12,14 42:21 108:22 40:24 assert 161:1 anyway 26:2 106:4 123:21 116:9 arose 47:14 assessment 29:21 220:10 235:3 application 49:23 arraign 201:6 assign 26:3,4 apart 39:10 37:19 38:2,5 appreciated arraignment 32:19 36:14 206:12 99:18,23 135:8 65:13 47:17 99:20 apologize 123:23 178:21 approach 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 121:15 188:16 203:23 205:8 203:4 arrangement 135:1 136:4 191:10 209:11 235:9 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 <tr< td=""><td></td><td>· · ·</td><td></td><td>· ·</td><td></td></tr<> | | · · · | | · · | |
| anybody 50:8,9 74:11 117:5 applicants 35:17 37:7 115:17 116:4 139:6 225:14 Arkansas 215:16 242:24 229:10 242:5 242:24 anymore 52:7 136:3,5 204:2 225:18 229:14 225:18 229:14 anytime 118:16 anyway 26:2 29:21 220:10 235:3 apprintments 29:21 220:10 235:3 appreciate 29:18 29:10 242:21 16:9 apprintments 29:21 220:10 235:3 appreciate 29:18 29:10 242:21 220:10 235:3 appreciate 39:10 37:19 38:2,5 appreciated 206:12 application 37:19 38:2,5 appreciated 39:18,23 178:21 15:18 188:16 203:23 205:8 121:15 188:16 appeal 234:24 235:15 approach applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 Arkansas 21:16:16 242:24 assault 80:16 appeal 234:24 205:14 approintment 30:10 242:24 armor 147:17 appointments 42:21 108:22 40:24 assert 16:11 assessment 42:21 approintment 42:21 appreciated arraign 201:6 assign 26:3,4 arraign 201:6 arrangement 32:19 36:14 47:17 99:20 applications 241:12 arrangements 135:1 136:4 approaching 241:12 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 arriculated 199:20 200:8 approintment 115:6 135:15 armor 147:17 appending 201:6 arrangements 135:1 136:4 appropriate 182:23 arriculated 199:20 200:8 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 | | | | | |
| 74:11 117:5 35:17 37:7 139:6 225:14 appointment 215:16 armed 198:4 assault 80:16 armor 147:17 assault 80:16 armor 147:17 80:20,21,23 136:3,5 204:2 225:18 229:14 anytime 118:16 anytime 118:16 anyway 26:2 100:23 105:11 appointments Army 25:7 40:6 Army 25:7 40:6 80:25 armor 147:17 80:20,21,23 29:21 220:10 235:3 appreciate 42:21 108:22 appreciate 40:24 assert 161:1 assessment assessment 222:12 assessment 29:21 220:10 235:3 appreciate 49:23 appreciated arraign 201:6 appreciated assign 26:3,4 arraign 201:6 assign 26:3,4 arraignment 32:19 36:14 Ar:17 99:20 appreciated 32:19 36:14 Ar:17 99:20 arrangement 32:19 36:14 Ar:17 99:20 arrangements 32:11 36:4 Arrangements 135:1 136:4 Arrangements | | | | | |
| anymore 52:7 38:7,7 39:12 appointment 115:5 135:5 armed 198:4 armor 147:17 assault 80:16 136:3,5 204:2 39:19 64:11 115:5 135:5 armor 147:17 80:20,21,23 225:18 229:14 100:23 105:11 appointments Army 25:7 40:6 80:25 anytime 118:16 105:12,14 42:21 108:22 40:24 assert 161:1 anyway 26:2 106:4 123:21 116:9 arose 47:14 assessment 29:21 220:10 235:3 application 49:23 arraign 201:6 assign 26:3,4 apart 39:10 37:19 38:2,5 appreciated arraignment 32:19 36:14 206:12 99:18,23 135:8 65:13 47:17 99:20 apologize 123:23 178:21 approach arrangement 99:25 100:9 50:19 94:3 179:3,6 203:9 109:24 119:1 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 121:15 188:16 203:23 205:8 203:4 arrangements 135:1 136:4 191:10 209:11 38:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appeal 234:24 20 | | | | | |
| 136:3,5 204:2 39:19 64:11 115:5 135:5 armor 147:17 80:20,21,23 225:18 229:14 100:23 105:11 appointments Army 25:7 40:6 80:25 anytime 118:16 105:12,14 42:21 108:22 40:24 assert 161:1 anyway 26:2 106:4 123:21 116:9 arose 47:14 assessment 29:21 220:10 235:3 application 49:23 arraign 201:6 assign 26:3,4 apart 39:10 37:19 38:2,5 appreciated arraignment 32:19 36:14 206:12 99:18,23 appreciated arrangement 32:19 36:14 47:17 99:20 apologize 123:23 178:21 approach p9:25 100:9 50:19 94:3 179:3,6 203:9 109:24 119:1 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 121:15 188:16 203:23 205:8 203:4 arrangements 135:1 136:4 191:10 209:11 235:9 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 226:24 231:16 applications 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 | | | | | |
| 225:18 229:14 anytime 118:16 100:23 105:11 appointments Army 25:7 40:6 40:24 assert 161:1 assessment 42:21 108:22 116:9 appreciate 49:23 application 206:12 application 49:23 application 49:23 applogize 123:23 178:21 approach 191:10 209:11 235:9 applications 220:13 220:13 applications 220:13 applications 220:13 applications 241:12 approach approach 182:23 articulate 77:3 articulated 199:20 200:8 application applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | | | | |
| anytime 118:16 105:12,14 42:21 108:22 40:24 assert 161:1 anyway 26:2 106:4 123:21 116:9 arose 47:14 assessment 29:21 220:10 235:3 appreciate 155:14 222:12 235:4 application 49:23 arraign 201:6 assign 26:3,4 apart 39:10 37:19 38:2,5 appreciated arraignment 32:19 36:14 206:12 99:18,23 135:8 65:13 47:17 99:20 apologize 123:23 178:21 approach arrangement 99:25 100:9 50:19 94:3 179:3,6 203:9 109:24 119:1 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 121:15 188:16 203:23 205:8 203:4 arrangement 135:1 136:4 191:10 209:11 235:9 approaching 201:16 158:6,23 220:13 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 226:24 231:16 88:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appealed 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 approacle appropriate 155:14 205:14 20 | · · | | | | |
| anyway 26:2 106:4 123:21 116:9 arose 47:14 assessment 29:21 220:10 235:3 appreciate 155:14 222:12 apart 39:10 37:19 38:2,5 appreciated arraign 201:6 assign 26:3,4 206:12 99:18,23 135:8 65:13 47:17 99:20 apologize 123:23 178:21 approach arrangement 99:25 100:9 50:19 94:3 179:3,6 203:9 109:24 119:1 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 121:15 188:16 203:23 205:8 203:4 arrangements 135:1 136:4 191:10 209:11 235:9 approaching 201:16 158:6,23 220:13 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 226:24 231:16 88:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appeal 234:24 205:14 187:20 articulate 77:3 179:22 189:5 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 approeded applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | | | _ | |
| 29:21 220:10 235:3 appreciate 155:14 222:12 235:4 application 49:23 arraign 201:6 assign 26:3,4 apart 39:10 37:19 38:2,5 appreciated arraignment 32:19 36:14 206:12 99:18,23 135:8 65:13 47:17 99:20 apologize 123:23 178:21 approach arrangement 99:25 100:9 50:19 94:3 179:3,6 203:9 109:24 119:1 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 121:15 188:16 203:23 205:8 203:4 arrangements 135:1 136:4 191:10 209:11 235:9 approaching 201:16 158:6,23 220:13 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 226:24 231:16 88:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appeal 234:24 205:14 187:20 articulated 199:20 200:8 appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | _ | | | | |
| 235:4 application 49:23 arraign 201:6 assign 26:3,4 apart 39:10 37:19 38:2,5 appreciated 32:19 36:14 206:12 99:18,23 135:8 65:13 47:17 99:20 apologize 123:23 178:21 approach arrangement 99:25 100:9 50:19 94:3 179:3,6 203:9 109:24 119:1 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 121:15 188:16 203:23 205:8 203:4 arrangements 135:1 136:4 191:10 209:11 235:9 approaching 201:16 158:6,23 220:13 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 226:24 231:16 88:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appeal 234:24 205:14 187:20 articulate 77:3 179:22 189:5 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | | | | |
| apart 39:10 37:19 38:2,5 appreciated arraignment 32:19 36:14 206:12 99:18,23 135:8 47:17 99:20 apologize 123:23 178:21 approach arrangement 99:25 100:9 50:19 94:3 179:3,6 203:9 109:24 119:1 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 121:15 188:16 203:23 205:8 203:4 arrangements 135:1 136:4 191:10 209:11 235:9 approaching 201:16 158:6,23 220:13 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 226:24 231:16 88:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appeal 234:24 205:14 187:20 articulate 77:3 179:22 189:5 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | | | | |
| 206:12 99:18,23 135:8 65:13 47:17 99:20 apologize 123:23 178:21 approach 99:25 100:9 50:19 94:3 179:3,6 203:9 109:24 119:1 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 121:15 188:16 203:23 205:8 203:4 arrangements 135:1 136:4 191:10 209:11 235:9 approaching 201:16 158:6,23 220:13 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 226:24 231:16 88:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appeal 234:24 205:14 187:20 articulate 77:3 179:22 189:5 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | | | _ | |
| apologize 123:23 178:21 approach arrangement 99:25 100:9 50:19 94:3 179:3,6 203:9 109:24 119:1 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 121:15 188:16 203:23 205:8 203:4 arrangements 135:1 136:4 191:10 209:11 235:9 approaching 201:16 158:6,23 220:13 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 226:24 231:16 88:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appeal 234:24 205:14 187:20 articulate 77:3 179:22 189:5 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | • | | . • | |
| 50:19 94:3 179:3,6 203:9 109:24 119:1 53:14 70:17 100:10 105:19 121:15 188:16 203:23 205:8 203:4 arrangements 135:1 136:4 191:10 209:11 235:9 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 226:24 231:16 88:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appeal 234:24 205:14 187:20 articulate 77:3 179:22 189:5 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | • | | | |
| 121:15 188:16 203:23 205:8 203:4 arrangements 135:1 136:4 191:10 209:11 235:9 approaching 201:16 158:6,23 220:13 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 226:24 231:16 88:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appeal 234:24 205:14 187:20 articulate 77:3 179:22 189:5 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | | | _ | |
| 191:10 209:11 235:9 approaching 201:16 158:6,23 220:13 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 226:24 231:16 88:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appeal 234:24 205:14 187:20 articulate 77:3 179:22 189:5 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | • | | | |
| 220:13 applications 241:12 arrested 68:18 170:9 175:19 226:24 231:16 88:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appeal 234:24 205:14 187:20 articulate 77:3 179:22 189:5 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | | | _ | |
| 226:24 231:16 88:25 204:6 appropriate 182:23 177:13,15 appeal 234:24 205:14 187:20 articulate 77:3 179:22 189:5 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | | | | • |
| appeal 234:24 205:14 187:20 articulate 77:3 179:22 189:5 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | | | | |
| 235:15 229:20 238:23,24 articulated 199:20 200:8 appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | | | | · · |
| appealed applied 44:13 approved 35:15 21:15 139:7 208:24 | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

| assigned 32:9 | 161:8 | 19:5,22 20:24 | 146:10,11 | 191:14 196:2 |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| 34:24 47:12 | assume 30:25 | 26:9,12,13,15 | 211:24 | 204:15 205:9 |
| 106:19 111:8 | 57:6 99:4 | 27:14,15 34:6 | available 60:7 | 206:14 212:3 |
| 114:15 138:23 | 135:7 201:18 | 38:23 40:5,21 | 60:15 99:24 | 212:4 221:25 |
| 142:15 146:12 | 227:3 | 41:4,10 74:2 | 100:1 179:12 | 231:10 234:7 |
| 155:4 158:5 | assumes 85:8 | 74:19,22,23 | 213:20 | 237:6 245:7 |
| 176:25 177:3 | assuming | 79:4,15 80:3 | 232:24 | background |
| 199:12 201:12 | 240:4 | 82:10,16,21 | 244:13 | 14:6 22:13 |
| 207:19 224:12 | atrocious | 86:11 88:5 | average 85:19 | 191:14 210:5 |
| 235:22 | 188:10 | 95:9,13,19 | 209:20,23,25 | backwards |
| assigning 46:18 | attach 51:14 | 104:5 108:14 | avoid 27:25 | 232:4 |
| 87:13,16,18 | attached 2:21 | 112:8,21 115:16 | avoidable 28:1 | bad 81:24,25 |
| 101:2,3 139:22 | attaching 157:11 | 115:24,24 | awaiting 175:20 | 84:12 96:25 |
| 139:23 171:9 | attachment | 142:12 146:9 | aware 7:13 44:9 | balance 152:8 |
| 208:15 219:15 | 2:18 181:11 | 147:16 150:2 | 47:7,19 58:5 | Ballalatak 3:15 |
| assignment | attempt 25:19 | 152:10,23,23 | 58:15 94:13 | 4:25 5:12 |
| 235:23 | 86:25 191:5 | 157:18,25 | 123:16 124:11 | 248:3,19 |
| assigns 158:3 | attempted | 159:5 161:1,6 | 131:2 167:7 | 249:23 |
| 198:25 | 180:13 | 162:3,15,17 | 174:24 188:6,7 | ballpark 24:7 |
| assistance | attempting 74:4 | 167:13 173:3 | 188:21 213:18 | 31:15 52:23 |
| 175:5 | 150:1 159:19 | 183:16,17 | 214:1 218:15 | 53:1,4,22 71:17 |
| assistant 4:17 | attention 70:18 | 184:6,21 | 214.1 210.13 | 107:24 109:7 |
| 15:22 25:20 | 88:10 | 185:10,23 | В | 195:13 210:2 |
| 26:4 31:6,12 | attorney 4:17,18 | 186:14 187:3 | B 47:10,13,17,19 | 219:3,4 |
| 74:8 86:20 | 8:17 20:21 | 187:13 189:15 | 48:2 49:16 | Band-Aid 151:5 |
| 100:11 103:3 | 27:7 31:22 | 189:17 194:3 | 62:5 177:25 | 151:17 |
| 103:22 128:5 | 36:5,10 41:13 | 207:24 211:1 | 246:19 | bar 41:19 83:21 |
| 149:8 159:7,18 | 45:2,4,6,9,13 | 215:7 228:4 | babies 26:23 | 83:21 100:6 |
| 180:24 199:4 | 45:18 47:23 | 232:8,20 | back 15:7 25:10 | 112:12 161:7 |
| 200:15,18 | 63:13 75:4,4 | 235:19,25 | 33:21 34:13 | 195:12 |
| 202:14 204:1 | 82:13,16 87:19 | 238:12 241:7 | 39:9 40:2,11 | bare 222:21 |
| 204:4 205:10 | 107:6 109:1 | 241:10,16,18 | 44:23 59:8 | 223:13 |
| 207:20 219:12 | 128:7 144:25 | 242:15 243:17 | 65:18 66:19 | Barrett 21:12 |
| 220:20 246:8 | 149:12,23 | 244:5,13 | 66:20 70:4,6 | 91:25 143:22 |
| assistants 101:2 | 152:15 160:16 | attorneys' | 75:13 77:20 | based 30:21 |
| 139:20 159:8 | 184:24 189:25 | 96:20 160:4 | 78:16 90:22 | 32:7 34:14 |
| 199:5,6 | 199:14 202:13 | atypical 24:12 | 92:11 102:24 | 49:6 108:7 |
| 202:12 205:1 | 210:7 211:17,18 | audit 233:18 | 109:16 120:1 | 128:17 144:23 |
| 205:12 | 212:1 214:23 | August 27:6 | 122:9 125:5 | 144:24 145:19 |
| associate 65:7 | 218:5 232:11 | 69:8 148:23 | 126:6 130:1 | 145:19 160:3 |
| 92:20,25 | 236:10 | 148:25 | 132:6 136:11 | 208:20 |
| 93:5,7 129:13 | 238:24 240:6 | authority 18:5 | 139:13,15 | 210:24 214:8 |
| 197:16 198:14 | 240:8 248:12 | 141:9 226:4,11 | 151:14 159:12 | 237:1 240:17 |
| associated | attorney-client | 229:24 230:3 | 159:14,15 | basic 40:2 |
| 10:15 121:12 | 184:15,22 | authorization | 167:22 169:13 | 179:8 220:1 |
| 180:12 193:16 | attorneys 5:15 | 214:5 | 171:25 172:10 | 222:17 |
| Association | 11:14 18:6,18 | authorized | 177:17,19 179:7 | basically 51:17 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | |

| 118:3 139:1,3 | Benjamin 93:11 | blood 122:8,17 | box 4:18 37:25 | businesses |
|-----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 228:15 | best 8:1 17:11 | 122:21 123:8 | 67:21 | 122:2 |
| basis 26:20 | 36:12 48:7,10 | Blow 10:14 | brand 47:23 | busy 60:10 |
| 27:17 35:1 | 78:10 83:5 | blown 165:12 | 48:7 | 126:22 |
| 83:7 102:4 | 116:17,18 117:7 | Bluffs 3:14 5:10 | brand-spanki | button 216:15 |
| 110:15,17 | 118:5 127:6 | board 35:20 | 46:7 112:9 | buttons 46:20 |
| Bates 14:8,9 | 152:22 163:7 | 119:4,10 | break 8:13,22 | 231:7 |
| 91:11 134:5 | 164:6 178:25 | Bob 32:8,12,12 | 69:21,23 | |
| 172:16 180:14 | 248:7 | 32:25 33:1,5 | 124:21 132:1 | C |
| 230:25 | better 24:9 | 158:4,5,12 | 139:14 190:22 | C 47:13 73:6 |
| 237:10 | 32:25 91:16,18 | 233:16 | 191:1 221:18 | 141:24 |
| battery 233:21 | 150:22 163:14 | Bob's 33:4 | 239:22 | calculations |
| Beetem 93:4 | 167:1 187:19 | body 21:14 | breakdown | 113:10 |
| began 155:5 | 207:8 211:22 | 94:20 161:2 | 23:20 | calendar 24:19 |
| 166:24 | 212:20 213:5 | 173:12 181:8 | breaking | 24:20 25:3 |
| beginning | 216:9,12 | boils 182:20 | 170:25 | 26:10 145:18 |
| 99:12 122:10 | 228:19 231:14 | bond 121:3 | brief 180:9 | 218:25 241:25 |
| 169:15 193:24 | 238:25 239:6 | 182:12,14,16 | briefly 148:20 | California 4:8 |
| begins 97:5 | 239:11 240:4 | 203:13 204:11 | 166:17 | call 17:21 106:8 |
| 98:22 126:11 | 240:5,7 | 204:18,23 | bring 12:25 | 106:9 133:3,4 |
| behalf 1:18 6:4 | beyond 223:14 | 223:11 | 68:20 103:17 | 136:2 179:7,8 |
| 47:15 70:22 | 235:23 | bondman | broad 108:1,3 | 197:4 198:18 |
| 156:11 173:4 | bifurcation | 204:19 | 193:1 229:24 | 225:2 230:6 |
| 227:25 | 194:5 | bonds 117:23 | broadly 113:22 | 230:23 |
| belief 171:3 | big 156:23 | 203:14 | Broadway 3:14 | 233:24 |
| believe 7:10 | 157:14,14 | bondsman | 5:10 | called 10:12 |
| 17:16 27:3 41:9 | 201:17 204:10 | 179:8 204:16 | broke 167:21 | 66:20 102:15 |
| 48:24 72:12 | 204:18 | borrow 53:18 | broken 177:24 | calls 201:4 |
| 72:16 74:14 | bigger 56:21 | borrowed | brought 33:4 | Camden 92:17 |
| 75:16 76:15 | 200:8 207:16 | 153:23 | 88:9 166:12 | camel's 167:21 |
| 90:9 91:3 | 246:15 | boss 138:15 | 182:4 | Campbell |
| 170:10 178:16 | bill 119:5,6,10 | 228:11 230:7 | bucket 246:14 | 180:23 |
| 180:3 183:22 | bit 24:19 42:20 | bottom 14:9 | bucks 119:7 | cap 214:12 |
| 195:5 211:1 | 139:25 151:3 | 28:8 31:3 | 131:7 | capacity 15:18 |
| 222:2 225:12 | 155:6 179:21 | 37:19 91:11 | budget 2:14 | 98:17 99:7 |
| 230:25 | 202:8 208:19 | 93:23,24 94:2 | 112:1 143:5,15 | 128:20 144:18 |
| 236:14 | 209:3 214:20 | 99:13 125:16 | budgeting | 144:25 145:2 |
| believed 104:18 | 225:7 226:24 | 126:12 127:13 | 190:7 | 145:8 158:10 |
| believing | blame 150:10 | 129:8 132:10 | budgets 17:6 | 158:13 |
| 158:21 | Blau 12:16 17:13 | 132:17 139:16 | buffer 220:2 | capital 238:3 |
| belt 48:14 87:23 | 135:25 136:2 | 147:2 148:10 | build 87:4 | capitol 148:5 |
| 200:2 | 180:18 212:5 | 165:13 169:22 | built 158:8 | car 17:21 119:17 |
| Ben 127:14,16 | 242:10 | 222:21 | bulk 46:1 205:11 | care 128:13 |
| 129:1 | Blau's 147:15 | Boulevard 4:13 | bunch 43:18 | carried 73:9 |
| bench 219:19 | bleeding 87:3 | 249:4 | burn 86:23 | carries 56:21 |
| beneficial 21:17 | block 28:23 | bounced | business 192:7 | 73:6 |
| benefit 22:3 | 176:3 | 129:25 | 210:5 | carry 17:10 52:1 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 www.alaris.us

Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 68 of 107

| 81:7 232:11,14 | 116:22,24 | 215:16 216:1 | 107:10,12,16,18 | 10:22 17:11 |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| carrying 45:13 | 117:19 119:8 | 220:3 221:1,4 | 108:3,8 110:2 | 22:9,25 23:3 |
| 128:20 185:5 | 120:6 121:20 | 221:10 223:15 | 110:3,6,18 111:4 | 23:5,8,11,15,16 |
| Carver 1:17 3:9 | 121:25 122:7,7 | 225:5,5,24 | 113:8 114:9 | 23:17,18 24:1 |
| 5:5 6:2,7 15:8 | 122:8,15 123:3 | 227:9,11 | 123:25 124:7 | 24:8,22 26:2 |
| 70:5 115:3 | 123:9 124:18 | 229:14 231:5 | 124:8,8 137:10 | 26:4 28:23 |
| 125:6 132:9 | 133:4,6,7 | 231:7,9 232:9 | 138:1 140:7 | 29:2 31:25 |
| 133:22 138:12 | 137:12,13,13,14 | 234:17,21 | 144:5 148:7 | 32:19,21,22 |
| 142:23 151:21 | 137:24 138:7 | 241:16 246:25 | 149:25 151:11 | 33:2,3,19 34:7 |
| 152:7,13 154:7 | 138:10,18,19 | 249:10 250:2 | 156:11 165:9 | 34:24 36:9,12 |
| 172:1 249:9 | | | | · |
| | 138:20,23 | case-ballpark | 168:6,23,23 | 36:14,16,18,19 |
| 250:1 251:5 | 140:8 153:18 | 71:14 | 169:2,11,19 | 38:17 41:3,5,11 |
| 251:20 | 153:18 154:11 | case-related | 172:23 173:5,7 | 41:14,21,25 |
| Carver's 149:24 | 154:24 155:2 | 10:18 | 173:8,25 174:9 | 42:2,9,16 |
| case 1:6 2:10 | 155:4 156:1,12 | Case.net 93:15 | 177:23,24 | 43:11,14,16,18 |
| 3:5 5:6 6:10 | 156:19,21 | 120:8 179:6 | 179:17,24 | 44:16 45:14,18 |
| 6:20,217:25 | 157:17,19 | 204:8 | 183:2,14,15 | 45:19,23,23 |
| 10:11,12,15,16 | 158:5 161:21 | caseload 9:24 | 184:5,5 185:5 | 45:24 46:2,7 |
| 10:24,25 11:22 | 163:6 165:17 | 14:17 17:9,10 | 185:14 186:13 | 46:9,9,13,17,18 |
| 11:25 13:18,23 | 166:12,18 | 19:24 22:23 | 187:3 188:22 | 46:24 47:5,8 |
| 23:9 29:13 | 167:6,10 | 23:4 24:12,14 | 189:16,24 | 47:10,23 48:6 |
| 32:5 34:8 | 169:25 170:6 | 25:16,17,19 | 192:11 194:2 | 48:18 49:1,14 |
| 42:4 43:22 | 170:14 171:9 | 26:6 27:8,10 | 206:16 207:11 | 49:16,18 50:13 |
| 44:3 47:14,18 | 172:6,7 173:16 | 28:3 29:1 | 207:13 225:19 | 51:18 52:5,17 |
| 47:19 50:24 | 174:1 175:15 | 30:22 31:1 | 226:5 227:16 | 53:5,6,23,23 |
| 51:21 52:12 | 176:19 177:7 | 36:20 38:9 | 229:1,5 232:8 | 57:4,7,10,14 |
| 53:8,9,11,11,20 | 177:10,11,20 | 38:24 42:17 | 232:12,15,18 | 58:16,18,25 |
| 55:22,24 | 177:24 178:17 | 48:8,9,10,12 | 233:24 237:4 | 60:15 61:1,12 |
| 56:2 60:12 | 178:18 179:13 | 49:6,7,11 50:5 | 238:13,23,24 | 61:15 62:5,12 |
| 61:25 62:22 | 183:11,20 184:1 | 61:25 64:7,10 | 239:4 240:3 | 62:15 64:7 |
| 66:13,15 67:4 | 184:8,18,25 | 64:11 65:4 | 241:2,15,16 | 69:6 70:19 |
| 67:5 68:22 | 185:3,8,12,21 | 66:11,12 72:3 | 243:24 245:9 | 71:1,25 74:11 |
| 70:23,24 71:4 | 185:25 186:10 | 72:23 73:18 | 245:12,20 | 76:1,6 77:13 |
| 71:5,16,22 | 186:16 189:4 | 74:5,6,10,18 | 246:6 | 77:25 79:9,10 |
| 72:17 73:8,11 | 195:23 196:25 | 74:19 76:17 | caseloads | 79:14 80:5,7,8 |
| 73:13,22 74:14 | 197:2,2,3,4,5 | 79:5,15,17 | 91:24 92:9 | 82:4,6,8,12 |
| 75:8,21,23 | 197:5,7,8,14,16 | 80:6 82:9,23 | 95:25 101:1 | 83:1,8,9 84:24 |
| 76:9,11 77:5,9 | 197:18,19,23 | 83:1,3 85:9 | 103:6 108:19 | 85:11 86:7,10 |
| 79:13,20,22 | 197:25 198:1,6 | 86:6,18 87:25 | 139:19 160:5 | 86:13,21 87:9 |
| 80:2,24 | 198:9,10,14,17 | 88:5,14 89:1 | 173:20 175:1,9 | 87:10,10,11,13 |
| 82:23 84:2,9 | 198:18 199:1,18 | 89:17 90:19 | 192:18 193:18 | 87:16 88:16 |
| 94:12,23 | 199:21,25 | 91:1,21 96:4,10 | 205:20 206:5 | 88:22 89:6 |
| 100:9 105:9,15 | 203:15 204:10 | 96:16 97:8,19 | 206:12 207:14 | 91:22 92:3 |
| 105:16 106:11 | 204:21 208:5 | 98:15,19 99:6 | 226:25 | 93:9,9 94:16 |
| 106:21,21 | 208:6,7,17,24 | 100:13 102:2 | 234:12 241:10 | 95:7,8,18,22 |
| 107:15 111:3,7 | 212:13 213:3 | 103:13,14,18 | cases 7:8 9:3,4 | 96:8 100:10,12 |
| 111:10 113:12,13 | 214:3 215:2,12 | 104:4,16 | 9:4 10:20,21 | 101:3 102:13 |
| , , | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 69 of 107

| 104:8,13,17,19 | 198:9,20 | CD 238:5 | challenged | 80:15,18,19 |
|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 104:24 107:4 | 199:12 200:3 | CDs 118:12 | 97:20 | 81:12 119:15 |
| 108:6,12,12,24 | 200:8,9,16 | central 1:2 3:2 | chance 13:10 | chart 144:18 |
| 109:16,20,21 | 203:16 205:11 | 3:18 5:8 12:7,9 | 14:20,23 | 145:5 228:18 |
| 110:5,8,9,14 | 206:10,20 | 12:14 17:18 | 89:25 118:15 | 237:9 |
| 110:22,22 | 207:19 208:1 | 181:22 242:11 | 133:24 | cheaper 216:25 |
| 111:13,21 113:11 | 208:2,3,4,11,11 | centralized | change 31:10 | 217:20 |
| 113:19,20,24 | 208:13,16 | 204:3 | 55:3 56:25 | cheapest |
| 114:2,18 116:10 | 209:5,6,9,18 | certain 3:17 | 130:23 131:3 | 218:19 |
| 116:15,24 117:4 | 219:22 225:18 | 11:21 131:5,12 | 145:21 151:10 | check 37:21,22 |
| 118:20,21 | 226:2,7,12,15 | certainly 7:11,13 | 197:21 202:16 | 37:23,25 |
| 123:17,25 | 226:22 227:1 | 10:14 20:13 | 250:7,11,15,19 | 131:24 179:6 |
| 124:16 126:16 | 227:6 231:4 | 36:22 38:10 | 250:23 | checking 204:8 |
| 133:3,3,14 | 232:25 | 38:18 39:15 | changed 25:18 | Chicago 6:22 |
| 134:11 135:1,4 | 236:18,21,23 | 44:13 47:8 | 36:13 58:12 | Chief 166:9 |
| 135:24 136:4 | 237:2 241:21 | 48:12 51:13 | 68:6 73:10 | child 29:11,12,14 |
| 136:6,12 137:6 | 242:15 243:5 | 85:5,25 86:2 | 88:24 138:21 | 50:25 51:4,6,7 |
| 137:8 139:23 | 243:9,14,18 | 88:21 89:2,8 | 175:16 202:15 | 53:12 213:3 |
| 140:21 145:13 | 244:3,4,23,25 | 95:21 96:5,15 | 203:24 | 215:13,14,18 |
| 145:14 151:20 | 245:25 246:1 | 96:24 105:24 | 229:13 238:11 | children 211:6 |
| 151:20,24 | 246:4,9,13,18 | 117:1 122:5 | 238:17 | choice 63:13 |
| 152:2,9 154:13 | 246:18 | 124:11 145:24 | changes 249:12 | 111:4 171:5 |
| 154:13 155:4 | Catch-22 170:7 | 150:25 151:7 | 251:7,10 | chose 234:24 |
| 155:12 157:12 | 170:13,19,23 | 179:23 182:15 | Chapter 51:8 | Chris 4:23 5:12 |
| 157:17,18,22 | 171:5 | 189:6 192:19 | 131:13 163:2 | Church 1:4 3:3 |
| 157:24 158:3 | categories | 199:18 205:17 | 229:22 | 3:19 5:5 |
| 158:6,16,17,22 | 178:4,5,6 | 215:2 223:11 | characterize | 249:6 250:2 |
| 158:23 161:17 | category 23:14 | 230:11 234:25 | 173:9 228:22 | circling 75:13 |
| 162:8,12,25 | 108:4 | 235:21 | 229:4,7 | 77:20 |
| 163:4,10,18,18 | caught 120:9 | 244:25 | charge 44:24 | circuit 28:11 |
| 163:20,23 | 170:7,13 | CERTIFICATE | 53:15 54:5,6 | 68:13 69:5,12 |
| 164:23 167:15 | cause 3:17 25:1 | 248:1 | 54:13,18,19 | 69:12 92:15,16 |
| 170:11 173:8,10 | 33:17 38:22 | certification | 59:9 64:17,19 | 92:19,22 93:1 |
| 173:17,21,22 | 38:23 84:13 | 44:5,6 | 65:1 73:1,3,4 | 93:2,2,3,4,6 |
| 175:3,10,20 | 170:16 | certified 3:15 | 80:14 120:10 | 129:13 132:21 |
| 175:25 176:1,3 | caused 38:18 | 44:4 248:3,21 | 120:14,21 | 137:22 197:17 |
| 176:5,6,15,16 | 167:13 183:15 | certify 248:4 | 122:11 123:10 | 197:17 198:15 |
| 176:18 177:3,11 | 184:5 215:12 | 251:5 | 146:16 | circumstance |
| 177:13 178:5 | 233:9 | cetera 100:4 | charged 49:16 | 56:18 |
| 179:1,15,20 | causes 28:24 | 177:25 | 51:4 54:19 | citation 161:14 |
| 180:10,12 | 29:2 | chain 90:11,12 | 61:9 65:8 | 161:17 |
| 181:4,8,15,17 | causing 241:6 | 132:8,13,16 | 80:17 81:6 | citations 161:6 |
| 181:23 182:13 | caveat 173:19 | 139:11 | 131:13 197:25 | cite 28:2 215:2 |
| 184:2 187:25 | cc'd 135:25 | chair 219:17,18 | 198:2,14,15 | cities 201:17 |
| 189:25 190:3 | cc'ing 93:25 | 219:20,21 | charges 23:17 | citing 64:9,10 |
| 190:4,5 194:9 | CCR 4:25 | 220:1,5,16 | 33:4 52:20 | 124:6,7 |
| 195:3,10,14,21 | 249:23 | chairs 219:14,15 | 54:9 55:17 | citizenship |
| L | l | <u> </u> | | I |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 www.alaris.us

Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 70 of 107

| 222:19 | 119:23 120:21 | 152:3 173:16 | 100:12 102:9 | comparable |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| city 4:19 6:22 | 121:24 123:8 | 173:17,21 | 104:15 119:24 | 217:19 |
| 16:9,11,19 | 166:21 184:16 | closer 216:25 | 123:21 139:15 | compared |
| 37:22,23 | 184:23 185:16 | closing 219:24 | 140:18 147:16 | 88:14,15 |
| 42:25 43:5 | 186:5,19 | code 133:10 | 159:14 192:19 | 145:13 199:24 |
| 76:12 77:17 | 187:19 188:8,9 | 203:18 | 192:21 196:9 | 200:5 239:13 |
| 99:4 102:17 | 203:12 204:15 | coincidence | 201:5 207:18 | competence |
| 102:25 115:4 | 220:3,20,24 | 115:9,10 | 224:20 | 140:10,10 |
| 145:4 146:23 | 220:24 221:5 | cold 216:2 | 236:15 246:2 | competent 84:1 |
| 146:24 191:21 | 221:7,9,11,14 | Cole 13:19 | comes 56:19 | 140:13,15 |
| 192:16 193:9 | 222:15,17,23 | 16:22 19:13 | 61:3 68:13,15 | 158:16 164:4 |
| 207:2,4 | 223:6,7,15 | 32:23 33:1,7,11 | 69:17 93:8 | 165:13,16 |
| civil 6:21 42:21 | client's 222:25 | 34:19 35:3 | 133:4 154:7 | 175:2,10 |
| 51:7 238:5 | client-relation | 42:3,10 44:2,3 | 159:15 | competently |
| clarify 38:21 | 32:24 | 49:13,17 50:12 | coming 19:12 | 140:9 |
| 57:20 61:23 | clients 10:18 | 51:14 52:5,25 | 28:7 160:5 | complained |
| 180:15 | 22:10 29:5,17 | 59:14 60:25 | 180:20 207:2 | 96:16 |
| class 73:6 81:4 | 29:21 30:8 | 62:8 63:21,24 | 228:4 236:21 | complaint |
| 81:5 85:7 | 33:18 53:17 | 75:1,10 93:3,4 | 236:22 237:1 | 166:20,23 |
| 130:12 133:14 | 64:11 72:4 | 93:5,6,8,11 | 237:2 | complaints |
| 210:11,24 | 76:7,19 78:11 | 114:22 132:21 | commenced 5:1 | 34:4 166:21 |
| 226:12 | 78:17 80:8 | 137:25 154:25 | Comment 140:6 | 166:24 |
| classes 133:14 | 82:11 83:10 | 175:23 176:2 | commission | complete |
| 210:17,18 | 85:13 86:3 | 177:11 179:20 | 49:5 143:24 | 24:20 120:17 |
| clause 128:2 | 108:17 111:3 | 195:10 199:25 | 239:9 251:24 | 222:4,17 |
| cleanly 229:17 | 116:13 117:17 | 220:8 | commitment | completely |
| clear 8:13 42:23 | 118:6 119:11,14 | colleagues | 31:20 238:6,7 | 39:10 119:11 |
| 70:16 88:12 | 134:15 152:9 | 168:20 240:16 | committee 2:15 | 192:17 |
| 105:21 165:7 | 152:10,12,22 | college 210:11 | 97:24 148:4 | completion |
| 177:2 210:19 | 152:23 164:5 | 210:17 | 150:24 151:4,8 | 218:25 |
| 229:18 | 166:20 168:3 | Colorado | 194:15 | complex 87:11 |
| clearly 228:13 | 168:9,12,21 | 215:17,17 | common 28:2 | 199:21 |
| clerks 129:16 | 169:6 171:7 | colorful 95:6 | commonality | complexity |
| click 46:20 | 177:15 183:10 | Columbia 3:14 | 84:16 | 208:5 209:7 |
| 216:15 231:7 | 183:19 187:9 | 4:13 5:11 12:18 | commonly 17:21 | 232:19 |
| client 10:14 29:4 | 187:15,23 | 17:23 37:22 | 52:11 73:20 | compliance |
| 30:6 32:8,12 | 188:2,23 | 181:9 193:17 | communicate | 165:9 |
| 32:12 34:24 | 189:18 190:1 | 249:5 | 19:4 25:23 | complicated |
| 47:12,15 48:3 | 235:4 238:11 | column 144:16 | 72:7 183:10,19 | 87:9 146:5 |
| 56:2 59:8 | 238:19 | 145:1 146:22 | communicated | 178:24 208:7 |
| 73:20 75:14 | clients' 175:4 | combination | 91:1 205:9 | 208:11,12 |
| 77:16 78:1,2 | clips 133:16 | 52:3 | 233:12 | Complicating |
| 79:2,22,24 | close 10:22 | combine 52:19 | communities | 184:9 |
| 80:10 83:15 | 113:10 139:8 | come 10:3 20:9 | 50:7 | comply 171:13 |
| 84:6 85:17 | 225:5 | 28:6 29:11 | community | component |
| 87:11 111:6,11 | closed 106:22 | 38:25 69:4,5 | 38:20 39:18 | 199:23 |
| 111:12 117:8,24 | 151:20,21,24 | 69:8 98:2 | 192:16 | components |
| | l | l | l | ı |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

| compromising | 9:24 13:18 | 85:25 117:10 | content 230:4 | 189:16,24 |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 184:15,22 | 36:20 49:7 | 117:23 169:3 | context 19:13 | convenient |
| computer | 64:7 66:11,12 | 194:19 197:23 | 192:18 212:12 | 169:7 |
| 155:14 173:18 | 66:15 107:10 | 198:6,7 | 217:21 221:1 | conversation |
| 217:2 | 107:13,17 | 210:23 243:8 | 246:12 | 158:15 225:12 |
| concern 83:25 | 108:3 113:9 | considerable | continuance | 230:4 |
| 88:10 213:16 | 114:9 116:6 | 19:25 21:1 | 77:4 100:16 | conversations |
| concerned | 123:25 124:8 | 34:18 74:12 | 121:20 | 210:25 |
| 27:10,20 | 137:24 138:1,2 | consideration | continuances | convicted 59:11 |
| 47:22 48:8,9 | 154:25 155:2 | 167:20 | 77:9 118:20 | convictions |
| 162:12,20 | 155:7 156:1,10 | 204:23 | 123:13 126:17 | 51:25,25 |
| 163:15 167:14 | 156:21,22,24 | 232:23 | 126:24 127:4 | 58:22 |
| 200:3 | 172:6,24 | considerations | continue 26:3 | convince 110:1 |
| concerning | 173:16 174:9 | 199:12 | 55:5,5,6 | 235:8 |
| 210:25 225:8 | 175:16 176:6 | considered | 62:10 100:12 | cook 25:23 |
| 226:25 | 179:18,25 | 198:8 | 105:8 133:6,15 | coordinate |
| concerns 61:24 | 189:5 [°] | considering | 134:13 | 34:20,22 |
| 61:25 124:15 | conferences | 168:21 169:3 | continued 21:5 | 118:2 |
| 134:14 135:19 | 107:19 163:2 | consistent | 21:7 227:1 | coordination |
| 168:19 192:11 | 229:23 | 102:5 103:23 | continuing | 17:5 |
| 207:11,13 | confident 185:1 | 140:4 146:14 | 134:9 | copies 222:13 |
| 229:1 | confined 82:12 | 161:24 | continuity 47:16 | 249:9 |
| conclude | 176:1 | consistently | continuous | copy 136:10 |
| 231:25 | conflict 137:14 | 58:25 83:3,11 | 197:19 | 174:5 234:21 |
| concluded | 152:21 | 236:3 | contract 42:5,11 | 249:12 |
| 247:6 | confluence | consists 207:15 | 89:6 217:22 | core 200:6 |
| concurrent | 228:20 | constraints | contrary 161:7 | correct 7:7 11:13 |
| 56:15 | conforms 141:11 | 190:1 | 161:19 | 17:13,14 22:6 |
| condensed | confused | consult 185:20 | contributed | 22:11,24 26:11 |
| 156:23 157:13 | 214:20 | 185:25 186:6 | 25:16,17 | 26:19 30:16 |
| condescending | conjunction | 216:19 | control 74:5,10 | 30:24 36:7,8 |
| 60:11 | 156:19 | consulting | 74:14 79:6 | 40:8,22,25 |
| condition 52:16 | conjunctive | 157:25 | 97:8 101:1 | 43:12 44:11,20 |
| 57:17 63:1 | 140:12 | contact 72:15,17 | 139:19 206:16 | 45:12 47:2,6 |
| 119:5 | consecutive | 72:20 75:2 | 226:4 227:14 | 54:1,4,10 |
| conditions 55:6 | 56:11,16 57:2 | 76:9 79:2 | 227:15 238:13 | 55:16,19 |
| conduct 103:24 | consequence | 89:4 122:3 | controlled | 57:23,25 60:4 |
| 141:11 142:3,4 | 56:21 74:5,6,9 | 166:21 188:10 | 120:11 140:8 | 60:22 61:22 |
| 142:5,12,13 | 188:21 | 188:23 | 206:6 | 64:3,3 70:23 |
| 154:14 160:12 | consequences | contacted | controlling 74:6 | 71:9 72:6,6 |
| 161:2,5,13 | 121:9,10,17,19 | 102:14,16 | controls 27:8 | 73:19 79:7,11 |
| 177:5 | 123:6 187:24 | 212:10 | 74:19 79:17 | 81:14 89:18 |
| conducted 10:11 | 221:15 | contained 172:4 | 80:6 82:9 | 108:16 112:3 |
| conducting | conservative | contemplating | 87:25 88:5 | 116:1,3 130:2,8 |
| 142:14 | 204:5 228:5 | 49:10 116:3,5 | 97:19 104:4 | 131:18 133:17 |
| conference | conserve 213:14 | contempt 51:3,7 | 183:15 184:5 | 134:17 136:14 |
| 2:10,17,19 | consider 55:12 | 225:9 | 186:13 187:3 | 141:2,3,6 |
| | • | • | • | • |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 72 of 107

| 147:6,12,13,19 | 175:5,20,21 | 123:20 124:5 | 97:18,21 98:8 | crash 38:11 |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| 150:6 156:18 | 187:9,14 188:2 | 127:17 128:4,7 | 98:8 109:2 | cream 49:25 |
| 161:23 163:24 | 220:20 | 128:8 132:21 | 116:20 117:13 | create 231:7 |
| 164:8,12,19,20 | 233:19 235:16 | 137:5,15,23 | 120:7,14 121:2 | created 241:4 |
| 165:3 171:11,14 | 248:9,13 | 137:25 138:1,2 | 121:4 123:22 | creates 30:11 |
| 173:23 180:21 | counseled | 138:6,7,10,20 | 129:16 135:12 | creating 231:11 |
| 183:4,24 | 221:15 | 138:21,23 | 135:13 137:22 | creditability |
| 188:24 189:12 | count 7:19 197:7 | 154:25 167:25 | 140:5 154:9,17 | 29:20 |
| 189:22 190:8 | 197:19 | 175:23 176:2 | 155:25 161:22 | creek 123:2 |
| 198:11 202:18 | counties 16:20 | 177:11 179:1,15 | 162:10 167:4,5 | crime 51:4 |
| 202:21 205:3 | 33:5 42:1 | 179:20,20 | 170:17 172:6 | 85:16 120:15 |
| 207:3 209:19 | 92:17,18 94:9 | 199:25 220:8 | 174:15,17,23 | 128:8 159:20 |
| 210:21 218:13 | 119:4 121:3 | 233:17 251:3 | 176:12,14 | 196:16 |
| 226:18 231:1 | 128:23 195:11 | couple 9:23 | 177:10 178:9 | crimes 61:10 |
| 236:7,8,22 | counting 26:13 | 24:16 35:22 | 197:11 198:14 | criminal 42:20 |
| 237:3,17,19,20 | 26:15 | 51:2 55:8,13 | 198:16 201:5 | 49:14,18 |
| 237:23,25 | counts 197:3 | 58:20 60:8,17 | 205:7 225:23 | 50:23 51:10,18 |
| 238:1,4,8 | county 13:19 | 64:22 65:3,5 | 225:23 | 51:21 52:4,12 |
| 241:8,20 | 16:22,22,23 | 69:11 70:21,22 | 234:23,24 | 52:19 53:8,9 |
| 243:25 244:6 | 19:13,24 20:2 | 79:1 114:18 [°] | 235:12,12 | 53:15 54:4,5,8 |
| 247:1 251:9,13 | 20:2,5 32:20 | 118:13 160:12 | 248:3,20,21 | 54:12,18 55:17 |
| corrected 178:8 | 32:21,23 33:7 | 173:14 189:4 | court's 134:24 | 55:22,24 |
| 178:9 | 33:9,11,11,12 | 193:5,10 | courthouse | 56:2,8 57:10 |
| correctional | 34:19,19,23 | 196:12 200:1 | 220:9 | 58:15,17,22 |
| 78:19 | 34:23,24 35:2 | 228:12,12 | courtroom | 59:9 60:25 |
| corrections | 35:3 42:3,3,5 | 234:5 | 60:19 61:4 | 62:22 64:1,17 |
| 51:24 52:2 | 42:10 43:11,14 | course 8:18,22 | 62:19 66:4 | 64:19 65:1,19 |
| 54:22 55:11 | 43:21 44:2,3,9 | 32:4 88:12 | 67:8,17 68:1 | 65:22 67:5 |
| 73:7,10 75:11 | 44:10 49:13,17 | 119:10 194:6 | 72:20 182:22 | 70:23,24 71:4 |
| 76:5 249:12 | 50:12 51:14 | 228:18,20 | courtrooms | 72:17 73:1,13 |
| correctly | 52:5,25 54:21 | 240:2 244:1 | 220:9 | 84:3,4,8 85:1 |
| 205:20 | 55:8 56:4,5,6 | 246:2 | courts 25:24 | 85:2,2,4,6,7 |
| 225:16 | 56:7 59:14 | court 1:1 3:1,15 | 90:6 91:2 | 85:10,18,24 |
| corresponding | 60:25 62:8 | 3:18 4:24 5:7 | 100:17,21,23 | 93:9 119:15 |
| 117:13 | 63:18,21,24 | 5:11,25 7:11 | 103:11 110:4 | 167:2 187:25 |
| cost 214:8 | 64:6,13,17 | 8:7 9:22 10:16 | 111:9 114:4 | 195:10 198:4 |
| 217:23 242:13 | 65:3 68:13,25 | 17:11 20:1,7,10 | 134:6 135:22 | 225:18 226:7 |
| counsel 17:6 | 75:1,10,15 | 34:18,18 52:13 | 138:25 165:15 | 226:22 |
| 43:25 51:10,13 | 77:17,23 78:10 | 54:24 55:2,3 | 167:23 201:5 | 228:23 |
| 53:6 62:4 | 78:18,19 83:6 | 55:9,10 56:9 | 206:4 226:4 | 232:16 |
| 64:24,25 | 92:21,23,25 | 56:10,14,20 | 229:21 | 238:25 239:1 |
| 66:24 67:15 | 93:3,4,5,6,8,8 | 59:11 63:5,17 | cover 77:24 | 239:6,7,12 |
| 81:25 100:5 | 93:11,12 94:13 | 63:25 66:1,10 | 108:5 148:10 | 240:5,6,7,8,10 |
| 105:12,13 107:1 | 114:11,22 117:3 | 67:4 68:20 | 148:12 159:23 | 240:12,13,18 |
| 113:7 116:4 | 117:4,22 | 70:13 72:11 | coverage | 241:13 245:25 |
| 134:22 153:16 | 118:23 119:3 | 76:22 90:17 | 219:15 | 246:1 |
| 166:9 174:24 | 120:18 121:24 | 92:20 97:17 | covering 82:21 | criteria 51:12 |
| | I | I | ı | ı |

| 107:14 179:9 | database 10:13 | 207:10 220:21 | 107:8,20,21 | 125:25 126:15 |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 234:18 | 225:2,3 | 237:18 | 107:22 113:7 | 131:14,17 135:6 |
| criticize 221:14 | date 3:13 5:3 | dealing 58:23 | 113:25 114:3,5 | 135:10 137:17 |
| criticizing | 13:20 66:14,15 | 98:11 102:2,22 | 114:14 124:7 | 138:12,14,14,16 |
| 233:18 | 68:16 72:11 | deals 123:14 | 136:18 137:3 | 139:7 143:23 |
| cross 219:22 | 144:8,9 148:9 | 124:13 187:9 | 153:15 154:17 | 144:4,13,20 |
| Cross-Examin | 159:15 178:11 | 187:14 196:9 | 154:17 156:16 | 148:7 149:9,10 |
| 2:4,5 190:20 | 178:14,15,16 | 196:13 220:17 | 162:25 163:17 | 149:15 154:8,9 |
| 232:2 | 250:3 | 236:15,21 | 179:18 | 161:5 164:10 |
| crossed 173:13 | dated 125:21 | 237:1 | declining 38:16 | 164:18 166:20 |
| 173:15 | dates 10:16 | dealt 51:1 201:19 | dedicate 118:3 | 174:25 176:17 |
| Cumulative | 76:22 117:14 | Dear 249:8 | defend 195:21 | 178:22 179:2 |
| 144:5 | 118:8 121:2,4 | death 31:20 | defendant 57:4 | 179:4,10 |
| curious 201:1 | 197:11 | decade 98:14 | 62:20 85:1 | 190:10 191:15 |
| current 15:12 | dating 6:22 | December 1:19 | 113:15 154:10 | 191:17 192:18 |
| 99:6 171:3 | day 3:10 14:23 | 3:11 5:3 9:23 | 235:15 239:6 | 194:1 195:11 |
| 173:3,20 | 19:22 21:20 | 90:7,12,25 | 240:5,7 | 198:20 203:5 |
| currently 40:20 | 21:22 35:22 | 95:4 114:11 | defendants 1:8 | 203:9,23 |
| 113:14 146:9 | 46:19 54:20 | 125:21 127:23 | 3:7,21 4:11 | 204:6 205:15 |
| 159:16 164:2 | 64:16 67:10 | 129:19 229:8 | 5:24 32:3 | 205:21 207:1 |
| 209:18 224:6 | 70:12,12,15 | 249:2,9 | 34:4 77:15 | 211:21 216:20 |
| 244:23 | 78:12,15 85:19 | 250:3 | 118:21 142:15 | 220:20 |
| custody 53:13 | 111:16 119:5,7 | decent 20:19 | 146:12 238:25 | 224:14 226:21 |
| 100:18 119:18 | 127:23 129:1,2 | decide 64:14 | 241:13 | 234:14,18 |
| 120:5,23 | 133:16 152:24 | 196:15 227:22 | defender 4:12 | 235:25 |
| 176:21,25 | 182:20 204:16 | decided 64:22 | 11:11,15 15:11,13 | 237:14 243:8 |
| custom 219:12 | 206:9 224:8 | 65:4 155:25 | 15:19,21,22,24 | 245:21 249:4 |
| 227:2,5 | 224:8 244:2,3 | 233:22 | 16:1,3,25 18:4 | defender's 28:7 |
| cut 30:9 65:12 | 251:14 | decides 63:7,11 | 22:22 26:4 | 29:22 37:6,10 |
| 104:19 158:22 | day-to-day 18:7 | 63:12 | 27:1 28:10,12 | 38:12,15 82:3 |
| 168:17 209:9 | days 20:4,11 | deciding 123:14 | 31:6,13 32:9,9 | 86:17 97:6,13 |
| cutoff 218:16 | 21:24 46:20 | 232:24 | 32:11,13 39:12 | 121:12 143:6 |
| | 55:11,12 119:9 | decision 38:18 | 39:17 44:14,18 | 148:8 150:14 |
| D | 133:6 199:19 | 47:17 103:6 | 51:9,12 53:3 | 162:11 206:15 |
| D 4:12 47:13 | 232:14,17 | 155:24 166:14 | 53:25 54:3 | defenders |
| 249:3 | 249:17 | 167:16,19,19 | 60:6 61:11 | 25:20,25 |
| daily 12:8 | days' 35:10 | 226:3 227:17 | 62:21 64:2,12 | 29:18 33:6 |
| Dan 93:6 | deadlines | 227:21 229:3 | 68:21 74:8 | 37:13 60:10,12 |
| danger 57:19 | 166:22 | 234:24 246:3 | 86:17,21 | 60:15 101:21 |
| Daniel 132:18 | deal 17:4 19:19 | decisions | 88:25 91:19 | 103:4,22 |
| 132:20 | 19:19 30:9 | 187:24 | 94:21 98:17 | 135:13 138:16 |
| data 9:3,9,12 | 53:9,14 64:15 | declare 251:12 | 99:18 100:11 | 160:14,15 |
| 10:8,24 11:2,7 | 65:12 67:4,13 | decline 10:1 | 101:25 102:23 | 161:15 162:6 |
| 11:11,15,17,22 | 88:11 92:6 | 36:19 49:2 | 106:19 107:15 | 201:2 207:21 |
| 11:25 12:7,17 | 95:25 96:9 | 62:4 64:10 | 111:24 118:24 | 219:13 227:14 |
| 21:14,16,17 | 98:15 104:14 | 103:14 105:1,5 | 120:20 123:22 | 227:23 246:8 |
| 22:1 98:4,6 | 187:10,15,19 | 106:5 107:5,8 | 124:4,15 | defense 31:21 |
| | l | | | |

| 161:10 232:16 | 7:2,5 | 79:23 111:17 | 192:20 193:10 | discretionary |
|------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 238:6,7 | deposes 6:4 | 149:4 150:8 | 196:13 197:10 | 18:5 217:14 |
| define 196:24 | deposition 1:17 | 170:20 | 197:12 200:24 | discuss 67:13 |
| 197:1 | 3:9 5:1,4,9 8:8 | deserve 100:24 | 209:15 211:8 | 125:9 156:11 |
| defined 231:4 | 9:1 13:8 14:20 | deserved 79:22 | 213:16 216:6 | 188:4 |
| definitely 34:21 | 89:22 133:20 | deserves 87:11 | 225:4 227:15 | discussed 73:18 |
| 164:4 239:11 | 141:16 142:20 | desired 249:13 | 228:11,12,12 | 111:25 127:2 |
| delay 121:12 | 147:22 153:8 | desk 17:25 | 228:20 234:11 | 183:3,8 |
| delays 37:1 | 156:4 157:2 | 118:12 | difficult 37:5 | discussing 13:6 |
| 118:20 123:14 | 160:13 169:15 | despite 83:13 | 121:8 | 90:10 125:8 |
| 124:4 | 171:22 180:4 | 134:14 163:16 | difficulties | Discussion 15:5 |
| deleted 90:23 | 192:10 211:19 | 164:6,9 168:18 | 149:25 | discussions |
| deleterious | 211:23 212:2,9 | destitute 119:11 | difficulty 37:2 | 167:9 |
| 111:3,6,11 | 212:15,20,25 | detail 14:5 | 38:6,25 | dismissal 77:8 |
| denial 226:20 | 213:2,6,10,21 | 36:23 78:24 | dig 82:7 | dismissals 152:1 |
| 234:19,22,22 | 213:23 214:24 | 172:9 | diligence 140:7 | dismissed |
| 235:12 | 215:15,17 216:1 | details 78:23 | 141:1 204:8,25 | 75:23 77:2 |
| denied 114:4 | 216:8 217:21 | detained 43:24 | 205:11 221:1 | 80:20,22 81:1 |
| 154:23 205:15 | 242:9,10,11,14 | detention 55:7 | direct 12:15 | 198:15,16 |
| 205:17 211:25 | 242:17,20 | determination | 141:8 161:21 | distinctly 194:16 |
| 212:1 214:23 | 244:2 247:6 | 179:11 202:9,11 | 219:23 | distribute 73:5 |
| 216:18 217:8 | 248:6,11 | 232:10 | directed 18:24 | distributed |
| 218:4 235:4 | 249:9 251:6,8 | determinations | 225:22 | 198:21 200:16 |
| 242:6,7,9 | 251:11 | 89:14 | directing | district 1:1,1 3:1,1 |
| deny 62:9 | depositions | determine | 225:23 | 3:18,18 5:7,8 |
| 234:13,16,19 | 7:16 9:15 | 232:7 | direction 248:9 | 11:3,4,7,9,11,12 |
| denying 138:5 | 73:15 195:23 | determined | directions 199:1 | 11:15 15:13,19 |
| 242:9,11,17 | 195:24,25 | 128:17 | 199:7 200:17 | 15:21,24,25 |
| department | 214:3,5,9 | determining | directive 101:24 | 16:3,21,25 |
| 49:5 51:23 | 215:6,13,17,20 | 157:23 | 226:16 | 18:4 22:15,16 |
| 52:2 54:22 | 238:20 242:6 | 204:23 234:8 | directly 34:3 | 22:17,22 |
| 55:10 73:7,9 | 243:5,9,13,19 | developing | 129:25 | 30:15 37:13,16 |
| 75:11 76:5 | 244:23 | 194:20 | director 17:17 | 44:18 86:17 |
| 83:24 217:3 | deprived | deviation | 21:12 91:25 | 91:8 97:11 |
| 239:9 | 106:25 | 210:12 | 143:22 233:12 | 98:17 101:21 |
| depend 223:14 | Derek 128:6 | dialogue 96:7 | Disciplinary | 101:25 111:24 |
| depending | describe 76:2 | diet 104:16 | 166:9 | 147:18 149:9 |
| 69:14 109:17 | 79:19,21 | difference | discipline 17:4 | 149:15 154:7 |
| 208:17 209:7 | 202:22,25 | 225:21 | disclosed 9:17 | 190:10 193:25 |
| 222:21 223:4 | 210:14 | different 10:23 | discovery 9:18 | 195:3,21 198:9 |
| depends 20:15 | described | 19:18 30:12 | 25:22 90:22 | 198:19 200:16 |
| 33:13 54:14 | 55:18 79:13 | 33:5,14 34:6 | 118:12 179:14 | 201:2,23 |
| 107:1 208:5 | 89:16 101:12 | 51:2 60:9,17 | 179:17 185:7 | 202:1,2,6 |
| 209:10,11 | 111:2 117:16 | 70:12 77:7 | 185:12,17 | 205:13 207:1 |
| 220:4 | 167:20,21 | 83:17,17 89:4 | 221:4 | 207:10 213:18 |
| depose 214:7,7 | describing | 102:19 155:12 | discretion 18:6 | 218:24 219:12 |
| deposed 6:16 | 73:25 74:21 | 179:21 192:17 | 100:9 | 220:19 223:19 |
| | | | | 1 |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 75 of 107

| 224:14 225:14 | 73:23 76:18,19 | 222:19 | 229:12 | efficiently |
|-------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| 226:1 227:14 | 81:22 83:9 | drugs 117:25 | e-mails 2:20 | 229:17 |
| 227:23 243:8 | 96:9,17 | dry 228:14 | 90:17,20 91:4 | effort 164:7 |
| district's 202:8 | 103:24 111:12 | due 82:23 83:1 | 139:11 180:12 | 228:5 241:7 |
| districts 144:12 | 111:13,14 113:5 | 108:19 110:18 | 180:17 181:12 | efforts 141:10 |
| 147:2 | 117:17 118:17 | 159:14 161:19 | earlier 42:22 | eight 120:16 |
| distrust 29:17,18 | 119:2 163:2,7 | 188:22 204:8 | 90:10 126:3 | 172:23 197:3 |
| divide 34:14 | 163:12 168:21 | 205:11 220:25 | 139:17 153:16 | 232:15 |
| 176:5 208:22 | 169:6 171:16 | duly 248:6 | 154:1 163:23 | eight-page |
| division 1:2 3:2 | 177:12 204:5 | dumping 74:7 | 166:15 167:22 | 139:11 |
| 3:19 5:9 17:17 | 208:14 221:11 | duplicate 29:25 | 180:17 188:9 | either 15:23 |
| 31:20 138:18 | 227:24 229:9 | duties 128:15 | 194:12 199:8 | 18:15 28:3 |
| 138:22 237:16 | 229:15 234:11 | 161:13 | 228:3 230:14 | 34:3 55:4 |
| 237:17,18,25 | 243:8,13,19 | duty 25:9 201:3 | 237:11,13 | 58:16 60:2 |
| 238:3,8 | dollar 28:8 31:4 | 201:12 | 238:2 | 65:9,14 66:10 |
| divisions | 131:5 214:11,13 | DVDs 118:13 | earliest 220:19 | 97:2 110:20 |
| 237:21 | dollars 213:15 | DWR 130:15 | early 14:19 | 113:12 114:4,15 |
| DNA 199:21 | 213:20,22 | DWRs 130:12 | 26:23 155:19 | 114:16 117:19 |
| DNR 100:3 | domestic 53:10 | dynamic 16:18 | 159:13 163:11 | 142:13 151:13 |
| docket 59:21 | door 227:7 | dynamics | ease 8:8 | 158:14 168:3 |
| 62:15 65:7,13 | double- 34:25 | 53:20 | easiest 246:17 | 170:14 171:5 |
| 67:5 69:13 | double- 34.23 dozen 10:23 | 33.20 | economic | 189:8 227:13 |
| 70:19 76:24 | 137:7,8 | E | 39:25 | 246:20 |
| 77:1,3,10,22 | dozens 78:13,13 | e-mail 2:11,12 | economy 39:9 | elected 93:10 |
| 133:5 174:1 | 78:13 | 9:21,22 90:5 | 39:15 | 93:12,14 |
| 178:7 189:18 | drafted 153:21 | 90:6,11,12,16 | effect 60:14 | 196:14 |
| 206:21 227:10 | dragging 64:8 | 90:25 91:5 | 120:6,23 141:8 | electronic 9:11 |
| dockets 34:19 | dramatic 50:6,9 | 92:12 93:12,13 | 175:12 206:3 | 9:12 10:8 |
| 34:20,22 | 80:7 120:6,23 | 93:16,21 94:5 | 233:3 | elements |
| 100:22 227:6 | dramatically | 94:14,20,22 | effective 79:23 | 152:15 |
| document 13:14 | 168:17 183:16 | 95:2,4 96:11 | 84:25 89:10 | eligible 27:4 |
| 19:2 142:23 | 184:6 223:4 | 96:18 101:13 | 91:25 92:10 | 51:12 107:15 |
| 144:2 147:25 | drawer 206:11 | 101:15 102:18 | 103:18 175:5 | 130:12 131:14 |
| 153:11 157:5 | drive 5:10 16:12 | 103:11 125:14 | 190:14 212:14 | Ellen 12:16 17:13 |
| 180:8 230:13 | 16:13 169:10 | 125:15,17 | 241:12,19 | 135:25 147:15 |
| 230:16,20 | driven 56:16 | 126:3,4,7,19 | effectively | 180:18 212:5 |
| documents 9:13 | driving 16:13 | 127:9,20 | 25:13 28:21 | 242:10 |
| 10:5 12:25 13:1 | 17:22 130:15 | 128:25 129:9 | 46:22 50:13 | employed 15:9 |
| 13:1,5 14:23 | 208:6 | 129:18,25 | 81:15 85:17 | 49:4 100:2 |
| 25:21 90:10 | drop 246:14 | 130:5,10 | 91:22 94:8 | 115:18,19 |
| doing 6:11 17:3 | drove 16:9 | 131:22 132:8,11 | 134:15 146:7 | 154:18 248:10 |
| 21:13 25:18 | | 132:11,12,13,15 | effects 118:19 | 248:13 |
| 28:14 48:7 | drowning 71:25 134:11 | 132:17 134:4,5 | | |
| | | 134:6,18 | efficiency 29:24 32:23 | employee 17:3 |
| 49:9,10 50:9 | drug 47:14,17 | 135:21 158:11 | | 217:9 248:12 |
| 51:17 52:6 | 48:2 73:3 | 158:14 181:2,8 | 34:15 | employees |
| 54:7 59:21 | 120:15,16 | 181:13 217:16 | efficient 228:10 | 11:23 12:1 |
| 61:6,8,19 67:2 | 177:25 208:11 | 101.10 217.10 | 229:19 | 18:23 |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 76 of 107

| employer 1 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| cilibioaci . | 08:21 117:7 | 171:13 181:5,17 | 19:10 33:7 | 141:20 142:19 |
| | 79:13,16 | ethically 87:2 | 34:20 35:4 | 142:20 147:21 |
| employers en | velope | 88:2 92:4 | 53:13 67:19 | 147:22 153:7,8 |
| 120:9 | 227:19 | 142:11 157:22 | 68:16 69:7 | 153:10 156:3,4 |
| employment en | vironment | 164:1 232:21 | 84:22 111:8,17 | 156:7 157:1,2 |
| | 206:17 | evaluate 150:1 | 117:3 179:1 | 157:11 160:20 |
| 92:8 120:12 eq | ual 232:17 | evaluation | 197:2 199:25 | 169:13 171:22 |
| I - | uitably | 146:19 160:5 | 200:10 208:7 | 172:3,5,10,18 |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 200:8 | event 33:1 | 208:18 214:3 | 172:21 173:19 |
| enclosed 249:9 era | ased 122:4 | 56:22 69:19 | 217:21 235:11 | 173:24 174:8 |
| 249:10 err | rata 249:10,13 | 100:7 108:4 | examples 79:1 | 177:19,20,21 |
| | 249:16 250:1 | 134:22 182:22 | 113:23 242:8 | 180:1,3,3,4 |
| - | r or 135:17 | eventually | exceeding | 230:9,10 |
| 75:23 129:24 err | r ors 142:25 | 64:22 81:21 | 55:25 | 237:6 |
| | 43:4 | 81:23 136:11 | exceedingly | exhibit 89:21 |
| | cape 76:3 | 168:12 180:18 | 77:10 114:7 | exhibits 2:8,9 |
| | pecially 37:4 | everybody 11:16 | Excellent 191:2 | 2:21 14:15 |
| | 246:16 | 32:22 39:11 | exception 94:11 | 157:13 172:5 |
| | sential 152:14 | 48:24 82:6 | 161:4 206:1 | 172:19,23 |
| | sentially | 95:22 104:16 | excess 236:12 | exist 222:22 |
| | 10:21 129:22 | 162:11 206:6 | excessive | existence |
| | 30:5 137:8 | 206:18,18 | 108:19 110:18 | 44:25 234:2 |
| | 54:16 155:8 | 212:19 | 111:4 161:17 | existing 31:17 |
| | 228:2 | everybody's | 162:8 | 46:10 108:6 |
| | tablish 161:9 | 48:9 | excuse 50:15 | 111:13 |
| | tablished | evicts 119:20 | 169:22 | exists 223:14 |
| · · | 207:9 | evidence 85:17 | execute 55:4 | expanded |
| | timate 20:5 | 121:21 122:6 | executed 56:6 | 208:10 |
| | 20:19 24:9 | 128:18 159:21 | 251:14 | expect 110:4 |
| | 15:17 52:22 | evidentiary | execution 55:1 | 222:16 |
| | 72:18 107:24 | 136:17 137:2 | 56:3,14,17 | expectation |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 214:8 | 137:19 138:4 | exempt 236:6 | 205:4 217:21 |
| | timated | 139:3 | exempted 97:17 | 218:18 221:3 |
| 1 ' ' | 245:25 | evolved 80:19 | exercise 59:14 | expectations |
| | 1:4,7 3:3,6,19 | evolving 105:3 | 100:9 | 19:1 |
| | 3:20 5:5,6 | ex 135:12 | exercising | expecting |
| _ | 00:3 177:25 | exact 23:20 | 226:10 227:14 | 25:10 |
| | 249:6,7 | 45:17 47:8 | exhausted | expensive |
| | 250:2,2 | 71:15 230:20 | 102:7 | 203:14 |
| | nical 88:7 | exactly 74:24 | exhibit 2:10,11 | experience |
| | 00:25 139:18 | 242:24 | 2:12,13,14,15 | 28:8,16 29:21 |
| | 40:1,20,22 | Examination 2:1 | 2:16,17,18,19 | 37:12 48:6 |
| | 40:24 142:8 | 2:3,6 6:5 | 2:20 13:7,8 | 57:10 73:25 |
| | 58:16 160:7 | 239:18 | 89:21,22 | 74:17,20 77:21 |
| | 61:12 165:14 | examined 3:10 | 125:7 133:18 | 87:12 146:15 |
| | 65:16 167:15 | 6:3 | 133:20,23 | 160:3,4 164:14 |
| 1 | 70:25 171:6,8 | example 12:15 | 139:9 141:16 | 164:15,16,22 |
| | · | • | | |

| 165:1 168:16 | 33:25 | 51:4,5,6 | 139:5 157:22 | 106:11 109:16 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| 194:1 199:15 | explanation | failure 52:18 | 162:22 163:8 | 110:14,21 117:6 |
| 199:24 200:2 | 204:14 209:12 | fair 22:18 33:24 | 163:10,10,13 | 126:23 143:13 |
| 209:17 210:9 | Expo 193:15 | 48:18 86:4 | 163:25 188:1 | 179:13,13 |
| 218:19 220:7 | express 83:4 | 103:24 115:15 | 232:21 | 186:9,15,20 |
| 222:6 232:13 | 245:19,23 | 126:21,24 | feeling 170:22 | 206:11 226:20 |
| 232:14,16,18 | expressed | 144:20 146:8 | feelings 101:15 | 229:24 230:2 |
| 235:14 240:17 | 66:23 83:16 | 150:3 160:8 | feels 83:20 | 230:6 234:20 |
| 245:21 | 83:18 84:17 | 171:3 172:9,21 | fell 16:17 39:10 | 234:22 |
| experienced | 86:15 91:20 | 173:24 178:5 | 206:12 | 238:19 |
| 45:2,4,6,9 | 95:22 152:7 | 228:22 229:4 | fellow 64:16 | filed 46:4 49:3 |
| 85:2 112:25 | 152:13 162:9 | 236:6 241:1,4 | 65:2,7 74:25 | 62:6 64:9,20 |
| 113:2,3 164:17 | extend 214:5 | 241:9 244:21 | felonies 23:12 | 65:3,9 66:10 |
| 164:18 189:20 | extent 36:15 | 245:2 | 23:13 24:9 | 105:1 106:5 |
| 199:14 200:7 | 204:7 224:6 | fairly 117:23 | 41:16,17 45:21 | 107:4,12,16,25 |
| 239:1,7 240:6 | 224:15 | faith 29:23 | 47:10,17 43.21 | 107.4,12,10,23 |
| 240:9,12 | extra 86:13 | familiar 7:23 | 49:19 61:10 | 109:8,17 |
| experiences | 135:3 220:10 | 18:12,15 90:1 | 62:5 246:22 | 110:10 114:2 |
| 82:10 | eyes 29:20 | 222:14 231:13 | felony 23:11,17 | 114:23 153:22 |
| experiencing | eyes 29.20 | family 16:18 | 24:7 41:3,4 | 155:1,9,11 |
| | F | 27:2 34:5 | 45:13 47:8,13 | 156:11,20 |
| 74:23,24 79:16,16 | face 44:24 | 42:19 53:10,11 | 48:2 49:16 | 167:24 197:4 |
| 168:24 170:23 | 51:22 54:11 | · · | | 229:23 |
| | 55:17,21 121:18 | 118:6,7 245:8 | 51:6,24,25 54:18,19 | files 77:22 |
| experiment 234:11 | 152:21 205:8 | fancy-pants 204:9 | 56:24 57:4 | 197:25 |
| - ' | faced 233:21 | far 25:4 67:18 | 73:6 177:25 | |
| expert 122:12,15 122:16 128:17 | facing 51:21 | | | filing 49:2 62:3 |
| | 55:16 57:5 | 68:3 103:1 133:1 144:15 | 177:25 208:13 214:3 225:11 | 64:6 66:11 |
| 186:6 210:23 | 58:18 60:2 | | | 100:1103:14 |
| 216:19,19,25 | 73:1,2 80:3,15 | 163:14 200:2 | 246:19 | 107:10 109:18 |
| 217:8,13 218:4 | 81:11,13 86:12 | 207:5 235:24 | felt 21:15 88:9 | 113:18 117:21 |
| 218:4,12 | 119:15 123:10 | 241:25 | 101:15 104:7 | 123:24 134:21 |
| 244:10,10,11,14 | 124:19 152:24 | fashion 72:8 | 165:7,9 188:3 | 155:18 156:15 |
| 244:18,19 | 190:2 | 113:11 114:1 | 203:16 206:17 | 157:12,14,15,16 |
| expertise | fact 30:1 47:22 | fast 118:11 209:8 | 229:25 233:4 | 157:20,21 |
| 210:10 218:20 | 95:18 113:17 | faster 118:7 | fewer 39:19 | 177:16 179:16 |
| experts 185:20 | 126:6 141:13 | 227:7 | 48:18 | 179:17,24 |
| 185:25 196:5 | 152:20 161:20 | fax 165:19,20 | field 88:15 | 249:18 |
| 216:17 217:19 | 163:16 164:9 | fear 103:11 | fifth 29:6 168:14 | filings 93:15 |
| 240:21,22 | 165:14 167:16 | February 41:19 | 211:16 | 155:17 |
| 244:7,8 245:3 | 171:4 204:9 | 112:12 | Fifty 109:14 | fill 88:20 159:19 |
| Expires 251:24 | factor 26:6 | fee 121:6 | figure 102:2 | 179:3 |
| explain 32:6 | factors 199:17 | feedback | 133:2 176:9 | filled 35:6,7 |
| 34:15 48:21 | 213:22 232:10 | 152:14 | 179:9 | 39:20 |
| 60:5,8,17 | | feel 8:14 27:10 | file 10:16 36:19 | filling 123:22 |
| 147:14 168:2 | failed 58:25 193:21 223:6 | 83:19 85:22 | 46:22 65:10 | final 189:14 |
| 178:24 189:4 | | 100:24 135:10 | 75:17,18 84:6 | finally 206:7 |
| explained | failing 50:25 | 135:16,18 | 85:14 100:15 | financial 51:11 |
| | | | 1 | |

| 107:14 234:17 | 182:4 215:9 | 86:9 203:18 | forwarded | 69:15,16 |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| financially | 224:22 | follow-up 90:7 | 129:18 | 118:10 131:23 |
| 248:14 | 227:13,13,14 | 210:16 223:2 | forwarding | 134:8 137:5,15 |
| find 32:11 34:25 | 237:18 | 223:3 | 132:13 | 139:10 141:20 |
| 53:18 84:14 | first-in 227:5 | following 14:7 | found 78:2,10 | 143:14 |
| 87:7,15 92:7 | first-offense | 35:16 103:7 | 122:18,23 | fruitful 244:11 |
| 122:11,15,18 | 130:19 | 108:21 148:4 | 154:10 175:8 | fruitless 242:21 |
| 123:1 138:13 | first-out 227:5 | force 141:14 | foundation 87:6 | frustration |
| 175:2 204:12 | first-time 57:4 | 170:14 | four 3:12 20:18 | 152:7 245:20 |
| 216:25 228:19 | first-year 31:5 | forceable 80:18 | 32:4 34:6 | full 118:11 161:21 |
| 235:3 244:9 | fiscal 144:5 | forced 50:14 | 47:4 51:23 | 221:4 |
| 249:9 | 147:5 | 79:4,9 100:15 | 54:21 55:18 | fully 96:25 |
| finding 21:20 | fit 109:2 | foregoing | 56:12,23 60:2 | 145:15 171:13 |
| 37:2 38:7 | five 16:12,14 | 248:6 251:6 | 75:15,19 77:5 | 221:15 |
| 92:8 175:6,11 | 25:4 26:8,17 | 251:13 | 78:3 79:19 | Fulton 15:20,22 |
| 175:14 | 32:4 34:6 | forensic 217:2 | 80:8 81:17,18 | 16:1,9,10,16 |
| fine 6:12 14:24 | 43:7 56:13,13 | forever 36:1 | 81:19 95:11 | 22:17 39:13 |
| 47:9 52:3 | 73:9 75:24 | 76:3 82:7 | 119:23 126:11 | 149:15 207:2 |
| 54:22 118:1 | 76:21 80:13 | 102:22 117:15 | 139:16 154:4 | fund 161:9 213:9 |
| 131:12 196:18 | 81:16 87:1 | 122:12 150:15 | 172:22 173:21 | funded 128:14 |
| 196:19 206:4 | 126:11 154:4 | Forget 118:24 | 189:14 | funds 213:9 |
| 219:4 | 164:16 172:22 | forgive 214:17 | four- 56:10 | 214:5,24 |
| fingers 7:20 | 175:23 189:1,9 | forgot 218:1 | four-year 59:11 | 216:17 218:4 |
| finish 8:10 36:1 | 195:24 211:1 | forgotten | fourth 29:6 | funny 39:8 |
| 194:11 | 235:24 245:7 | 217:24 | fraction 146:5 | 150:14 |
| finished 180:2 | five-minute 21:3 | form 9:10 51:2 | Franklin 135:12 | furious 29:15 |
| firm 28:10 43:4 | 223:20 224:11 | 153:14 155:11 | frankly 21:19 | furlough 117:24 |
| 43:5,7 115:1,3 | five-year 56:6 | 157:10 181:2 | 25:11 28:8 | 118:8 |
| 191:18,19 | fix 92:4 103:20 | 222:16 251:7 | 29:7 33:2,13 | furnish 161:11 |
| firms 42:13,24 | 117:22 151:5,16 | formal 18:19 | 49:22 72:22 | further 96:23 |
| 43:1 | fixed 56:8,23 | 19:2 96:10 | 76:1 88:11 | 98:21 248:11 |
| first 13:21 14:6 | 182:14 226:8 | 179:3 202:5,6 | 101:10 102:19 | future 110:13 |
| 26:22 31:4 | flat 200:20 | 207:7 213:24 | 103:8 110:2 | 221:8 |
| 35:13 37:20 | flip 52:7 | 216:11 | 111:5 122:25 | |
| 46:19,23 47:1 | floated 62:13 | format 230:21 | 124:16 135:2 | G |
| 47:24 49:16 | floating 114:13 | formats 19:9 | 151:11 170:8 | gal 6:22 |
| 52:12 54:19 | flood 86:20 | 107:7 | 220:8 229:12 | gander 174:5 |
| 59:10 65:18 | Florida 215:12 | formatting | 246:13 | gap 29:20 |
| 91:1,15 97:4 | fluctuated | 142:24 143:4 | free 8:14 72:13 | 36:17 159:20 |
| 102:13 128:2 | 205:23 219:6 | 230:22 | 72:14 119:12,12 | gather 20:23 |
| 130:18,24,24 | focus 162:5 | former 173:3 | 135:10,16,18 | 244:10 |
| 132:10,11,15 | focused 149:24 | forth 32:16 | freely 126:17 | gathering |
| 149:11,21 | focuses 152:9,11 | 197:12 | frequently | 192:12 |
| 151:18 154:2,3 | folks 30:21 | forum 192:17 | 244:8 245:3 | gears 225:7 |
| 154:4 155:1 | 38:25 53:5 | forward 38:2 | Friday 235:24 | 228:17 |
| 160:24 177:15 | 133:3 | 63:8,12,14 | frivolous 136:12 | general 4:17 |
| 178:19,19 | follow 18:24 | 102:3 | front 59:4 68:4 | 17:6 55:15 |
| | I | l | I | I |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

| 201:24 244:17 | 52:22 74:8 | 145:7 148:19 | 116:17 117:5,14 | 39:3 125:11 |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| general's 4:18 | 83:10 86:6 | 169:9 171:17 | 118:15 119:9 | 150:22 182:23 |
| 27:7 211:17,18 | 98:3,4 100:19 | 172:8 181:12 | 119:20,22 | 187:18 |
| generalization | 116:6 126:23 | 182:3 192:2 | 120:3,12,14,18 | govern 162:3 |
| 57:15 | 136:3 138:5 | 196:1 199:12 | 121:7 122:21 | governing |
| generally 35:11 | 158:19 170:11 | 203:22 | 123:1,2,6,8 | 217:12 |
| 35:11,15,22 | 198:25 199:1 | 205:25 212:17 | 124:1 130:11,13 | governments |
| 42:2,18 57:15 | 209:2,4 210:1 | 215:12 217:22 | 139:13 147:21 | 161:9 |
| 57:16 61:18 | 219:3,5 | 218:19 219:15 | 150:15 158:4,6 | governor 4:16 |
| 63:8 83:4 | given 12:10,14 | 221:12,19 | 158:19 160:6 | 5:22 97:15 |
| 85:19 114:15 | 13:5 36:25 | 229:8 232:4 | 167:3 170:11,14 | 98:10 191:4 |
| 158:15 182:10 | 37:20 41:24 | 232:10 234:7 | 170:25 174:23 | governs 160:14 |
| 182:12,13,17 | 43:11 53:24 | 235:2,25 | 175:2,5,11 | 160:16 |
| 188:1 204:20 | 72:2,2 78:22 | God 206:9 | 176:8 177:13 | grabs 33:10 |
| 205:10 217:3 | 79:1,9 86:3 | goes 33:9 | 178:24,25 | gracious 128:6 |
| 218:23 227:9 | 111:4 126:14,17 | 112:24 114:17 | 179:3 180:19 | graduates |
| 229:22 | 126:20 157:19 | 119:19 198:2 | 181:25 191:14 | 38:13 |
| 236:23 | 157:25 183:2 | 216:7 231:6 | 196:15,15 | grand 198:3,3 |
| 242:18 | 183:2 190:1 | going 7:24 13:6 | 197:6,10 | Grandma 26:23 |
| gentleman 66:8 | 199:3 213:11,12 | 20:1,2,6,14 | 200:4,4 | Grandpa 26:24 |
| 66:19 75:25 | 213:14 238:23 | 25:24 26:3 | 203:6 204:19 | grant 59:7 62:9 |
| 81:6 154:24 | 239:4 240:2 | 27:24 28:22 | 206:1 208:17 | granted 103:17 |
| geographic | gives 21:18 | 31:14 32:10 | 208:24 209:7 | 110:8,12,13 |
| 37:21 38:3 | 222:3 | 33:5,9,19 46:9 | 209:9,23 | 120:3 128:6 |
| 199:9 | giving 29:1 | 50:2 52:15 | 215:20 219:22 | 154:23 |
| geographically | 35:12 95:7 | 53:6 54:2 | 220:15 221:13 | graph 231:4,11 |
| 32:19 | 102:12 | 55:25 57:13 | 223:1,3,3,8 | 231:15,18 |
| geography | glance 13:11 | 57:16,19 58:3 | 225:14 226:16 | great 16:14 |
| 34:14 | 89:25 133:24 | 59:1 61:2 62:9 | 226:23 | 102:20 174:3 |
| getting 22:2 | glass 67:20 | 62:10 65:10,15 | 227:10 228:17 | 221:18 |
| 29:8 32:21,22 | go 8:19 14:25 | 65:18 66:22 | 230:2 231:24 | greater 147:10 |
| 38:19 43:19 | 17:10 20:9,16 | 68:17,18,22 | 233:14,17,22 | green 93:6 |
| 59:22 75:21 | 25:6,12 28:19 | 69:18,21 74:7 | 234:18 | 132:18,20 |
| 75:22 118:11 | 28:19 29:12 | 74:8 76:3,10,11 | 242:20,20 | 133:3,7,9,12 |
| 119:6 177:10 | 30:5,10 31:19 | 76:20 77:25 | 245:7 246:10 | Greitens 4:16 |
| 184:14,21 | 34:13 35:22 | 78:7,14,24 | good 6:7,8 | 5:22 191:5 |
| 185:15 186:3 | 37:24 40:2 | 82:11 84:2,4,11 | 21:25 27:3 | gross 57:15 |
| 186:18,23 | 44:23 50:2,2 | 84:12,12,20 | 35:11 67:2 | grossly 76:2 79:14 |
| 187:17 201:4 | 59:3 62:24 63:7,11,14 | 85:12,12,14 | 81:22 83:9,19 | |
| 216:7 242:23 giant 156:23 | 64:15,23 65:6 | 86:5,15,20 87:16 88:19 | 85:12 87:9 109:14 112:15 | group 98:1 194:16 200:6 |
| 157:14,14 | 66:21 70:6,15 | 90:18 95:8,24 | 124:9 139:6 | grow 48:12 |
| ginormous | 78:15 85:16 | 96:22 102:1,3 | 196:22 216:10 | grown 83:3 |
| 204:11 | 102:3 109:16 | 102:13 103:16 | goodness | guess 58:13 |
| give 13:10 | 117:25 118:25 | 103:17 106:15 | 74:25 | 91:15 127:12 |
| 24:24 29:9 | 120:22 123:15 | 109:24 110:1 | gopher 220:2 | 141:15 171:15 |
| 48:17,17 | 124:1,14 133:1 | 111:11,17 113:19 | gotten 37:5 | 177:4 199:11 |
| 10.17,17 | 12 1.1,17 133.1 | 111.11,17 113.13 | 901101107.0 | 177.4 155.11 |
| | | | | |

| 202:19 207:8 | handles 41:15 | header 92:23 | hesitate 8:12 | 53:22 |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 211:13 219:9 | handling 45:18 | heading 160:25 | hey 19:18 29:9 | holistic 160:5 |
| 225:20,25 | 88:22 100:21 | heads 100:24 | 62:25 63:2 | hope 62:10 |
| 227:19,25 | 181:23 | Healea 93:14 | 68:5 89:11 | 87:24 |
| 231:17 | happen 27:25 | health 222:20 | 98:24 102:12 | hopefully 35:9 |
| guesstimate | 69:15,16 78:8 | hear 205:7 | 122:16 158:12 | hopes 87:2 |
| 10:23 109:14 | 105:22 | heard 34:4 | 158:15 200:24 | hoping 121:19 |
| guideline | 220:25 221:7 | 59:20 60:8,9 | 226:6 228:16 | horrible 120:22 |
| 233:19 | 222:6 223:2 | 60:13,17 95:16 | 229:21 | horribly 71:25 |
| guidelines | 234:13 235:6 | 95:19 124:3 | hidden 50:19 | hour 21:22 |
| 18:23 203:17 | happened | 162:14 166:1,3 | high 4:19 30:25 | hours 3:11 9:8 |
| guilty 67:6 | 28:13 60:20 | 201:7,16,22 | 38:23 72:3 | 20:24 111:16 |
| 221:8 | 60:21 66:1 | hearing 13:18 | 91:21 117:23 | 129:2 208:21 |
| gushing 151:5 | 77:15 82:18 | 44:6 65:12 | 204:16 205:21 | 208:21,23 |
| guy 79:19 | 94:12 104:20 | 66:15 103:2,4 | higher 24:19 | 222:5,5 |
| 120:10 | 104:24 117:1 | 114:11 137:14 | 205:17,24 | 224:8,8 |
| guys 51:17 61:6 | 123:17 185:1 | 137:25 139:3 | Hinkebein | 236:12 |
| 61:11 63:3 | happening | 155:1 169:18 | 38:18 166:12 | housing 119:17 |
| 226:6 | 29:15 58:7,8 | 169:19 172:7 | 166:14 167:5 | 120:25,25 |
| | 63:20 73:12 | 175:15,22,24 | 167:19 227:16 | HR 38:2 |
| H | 74:21 76:15,16 | 175:24 182:14 | 229:3 | huge 39:25 |
| H 172:19 173:19 | 76:22 106:10 | 182:15 222:8 | Hinkebein's | 121:10 |
| 173:24 177:20 | 113:24 133:9 | hearings 98:8 | 167:3 | human 17:5 |
| H-a-e-l-a-e | happens 32:7 | 136:17,20 | hire 32:10 33:7 | humanly 204:7 |
| 93:17 | 32:17 235:21 | 137:3,19,24 | 53:19 59:23 | 223:12 |
| H-e-a-l-e-a | 236:2 | 138:5 148:4,6 | 106:14 204:20 | hundred 31:24 |
| 93:18 | hard 20:6 121:7 | 148:12,14 | 235:1 | 39:12 |
| half 47:24 67:21 | 196:11,16 | 182:4,9,11 | hired 25:6 36:5 | hundreds 107:11 |
| 95:12,12,14 | 209:8 220:22 | heavy 113:6 | 88:20 168:13 | 155:10 163:17 |
| 96:21,22 | harder 120:2,4 | 149:25 | 175:17 | 163:18 |
| 149:18 150:5 | Hayden 69:3,6 | heck 30:9 | hiring 17:2 25:5 | hung 59:10 |
| 150:19 153:3 | 69:7 92:19 | held 5:9 13:18 | 30:13,14 36:1 | hypothetical |
| 154:5 | Hazel 155:24 | 225:9 | 37:5,9 38:3 | 197:22 201:11 |
| hallway 6:14 | he'll 10:14 68:19 | help 30:2 | 39:10 112:1 | hypothetically |
| Hamner 69:5,8 | 69:4 | 49:22,23,24 | 190:6 | 198:13 220:23 |
| hampered | head 23:2,19 | 112:25 130:20 | historical 222:11 | 221:2 |
| 184:17,24 | 24:3 45:20 | 151:7 152:15 | historically | |
| 185:16 186:5 | 52:10,22 71:3 | 180:20 225:3 | 86:16 243:22 | <u> </u> |
| 186:20 | 77:14 82:2 | 225:20 | history 77:8 | ice 49:25 |
| hand 135:4 | 85:3,5 89:18 | 229:10,12 | hit 31:18 228:11 | iceberg 50:1 |
| 145:20 209:2 | 94:8 109:9 | helped 88:21 | Hmm 20:6 | idea 7:19 43:24 |
| 231:10 | 137:2,21 | 89:2,9 151:1 | hold 99:23 | 67:2 |
| handle 19:15,16 | 145:23 175:19 | helpful 10:4 | 119:14 122:2 | ideal 244:22 |
| 23:1 41:3,4,7 | 193:20 196:3 | 16:18 78:23 | 191:24 197:24 | ideally 244:13 |
| 41:10,13 91:22 | 196:7 205:16 | 243:14 | 208:19 209:3 | identification |
| 111:21 145:14 | 206:24 | HERRINGTON | 213:2 | 13:9 89:23 |
| 232:21 | 215:23 216:4 | 4:3,7 | holding 45:16 | 133:21 141:17 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 www.alaris.us

Fax: 314.644.1334 Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 81 of 107

| 142:21 147:23 | impression | 236:25 | 147:18 150:17 | instances |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 153:9 156:5 | 38:12 39:2 | increased | 164:2 167:10 | 63:23 212:4 |
| 157:3 171:23 | 68:11 88:18 | 238:22 | 168:9,20 171:9 | instituted 61:21 |
| 180:5 | 102:20 103:16 | increments 21:3 | 176:16,18,20 | 74:13 79:5 |
| identified 73:14 | improve 128:8 | 223:20 224:11 | 182:9 | 87:25 104:4 |
| 122:16 | improved | independent | ineffective 76:2 | 183:14 184:4 |
| identify 73:21 | 152:16 229:6 | 210:9 | 78:7 79:14 | 186:13 189:16 |
| identifying | improvement | independently | 82:23 83:1 | 189:24 |
| 147:10 | 228:25 | 225:22 | 142:14 241:6 | instructed |
| ignore 19:20 | in-custody | index 2:1,8 | ineffectively | 82:20 181:20 |
| 92:5 | 177:14 | 172:18 | 83:14 104:1,6 | instructions |
| imagine 199:15 | in-house 17:3 | indicate 22:14 | inefficiency | 200:15 225:3 |
| immediate | in-patient | 249:12 | 30:7 | instructs 8:18 |
| 100:17,19 | 117:25 | indicated 72:3 | ineligible 51:8 | intake 222:16 |
| 101:18 228:6 | in-prison 72:8 | 98:16 | 131:16 234:17 | intended 98:13 |
| immediately | inability 188:22 | indicating | inexperience | 161:10 176:15 |
| 41:21 99:21 | 189:5 | 94:23 131:22 | 152:11 244:17 | intent 73:5,8 |
| 104:15 | inaccurately | 203:12 | infant 80:16 | intention 164:6 |
| immigration | 173:9 | indicts 198:3 | infinitum 133:15 | interchangea |
| 187:24 188:4 | incarcerate | indigence | inflation 151:13 | 138:8 |
| 188:12,21 | 48:3 | 202:9 204:24 | inform 200:16 | interested |
| impact 69:18 | incarcerated | indigency 89:14 | informal 51:15 | 248:14 |
| 100:17 120:12 | 69:19 72:16 | 234:8 | 112:24 | interesting |
| 167:18 246:15 | 72:25 75:1,25 | indigent 42:8 | information | 232:5 |
| impacts 100:20 | incarceration | 154:10 | 12:21 158:7 | Interestingly |
| impatient 191:10 | 131:11 | individual 32:3 | 203:12 205:5 | 50:12 |
| implement 55:9 | incident 198:5 | 34:4 36:16 | 217:5 | interim 36:10 |
| 84:11 233:22 | 198:18 222:10 | 40:10,11,23 | informs 154:9 | 81:1 97:24 |
| implemented | inclined 52:14 | 58:18 59:13 | inherent 226:11 | 105:22 148:3 |
| 27:9 88:5 | 59:6 | 60:1,7 63:25 | inherit 226:4 | 150:24 151:4,8 |
| 238:12 | include 23:7 | 65:18,24 70:6 | initial 81:25 | 194:15 |
| implicit 161:8 | include 23.7 | 71:21 76:16,20 | 127:20 182:4 | intern 220:6 |
| important | 94:14 | 77:15 80:12,13 | 188:15 217:24 | internal 152:21 |
| 121:25 122:8 | includes 110:22 | 103:13 114:17 | 218:1,3 | internally 36:12 |
| 123:3 | includes 110.22 | 117:19 118:20 | initially 9:22 | 36:18 98:22 |
| importantly | 151:20 175:21 | 123:10,12 | 24:7 58:8,17 | 104:11 135:1 |
| 175:4 | 201:2 | 164:22 171:1 | 136:9 138:19 | 158:3 |
| impose 54:25 | incoming 104:17 | 181:11 207:18 | 138:20 198:2 | international |
| 119:4 | 237:5 | 230:7 232:11 | initiate 105:20 | 192:7 |
| imposed 56:12 | incomplete | 230.7 232.11 246:7 | initiated 231:4,9 | Internet 120:8 |
| 59:11 76:5 | 203:11 | individually 19:7 | injured 6:24 | interrupt 33:16 |
| 243:24 | inconvenience | individually 19.7 | injured 6.24 injuries 7:4 | 33:19 75:3 |
| imposition | 100:22 | 42:24 55:16 | inmate 67:19 | 131:21 191:6 |
| 54:15 55:23 | increase 56:20 | 92:13 94:6,10 | innocent 120:13 | 194:10 |
| 56:1,4,9 | 100:13 145:21 | 106:2 121:18 | 204:14 | |
| impossible | 145:24 146:2 | 123:14 124:12 | instance 7:13 | interruption 29:3 33:17 |
| 86:21 | 195:25 | 127:19 136:10 | 59:3 215:4 | intervening |
| 00.21 | 190.20 | 127.13 130.10 | 39.3 Z13.4 | intervering |
| _ | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES

www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 82 of 107

| 40:1 | It'll 69:13 | 37:22 42:25 | 123:23 125:16 | 196:14 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| interview 35:18 | items 9:16,19 | 102:17,25 | 125:18 126:21 | jury 59:10 67:21 |
| 213:6 | 10:3,16,18 | 115:4 145:4 | 129:9,25 | 126:14 198:3,3 |
| interviewed | | 146:23,24 | 130:6 132:21 | 219:19,20 |
| 223:10 | J | 191:21 192:16 | 133:12 137:5,11 | justice 111:12,13 |
| Interviewing | Jackie 165:24 | 193:9 207:2,4 | 137:15,16 | 128:16 228:24 |
| 213:3 | Jacqueline 4:12 | job 28:10,12 | 138:10 139:2 | justify 211:23 |
| invariably 36:17 | 5:23 249:3 | 83:9 87:9 | 170:13 174:18 | 214:10 216:15 |
| 52:17 86:23 | jail 20:2,8,10 | 119:19 121:1 | 174:19 175:8 | Justin 1:17 3:9 |
| 223:1 | 29:11,13 54:21 | 159:7 191:17 | 176:7 182:5 | 5:5 6:2 135:19 |
| investigate | 55:8 62:6 | 235:11 | 225:13,17 | 154:7 249:9 |
| 184:1,7,17,25 | 64:12,22 65:2 | Joe 10:14 | 226:6,9,17 | 250:1 251:5 |
| investigation | 65:5 66:8,17 | joined 41:19 | 227:10 235:16 | 251:20 |
| 73:15,22 | 68:12,19 72:1 | joining 191:17 | judge's 115:12 | juvenile 41:11,13 |
| 85:15 166:24 | 72:10 75:1,11 | joking 129:3 | 129:13 225:25 | 41:21 42:2,4,8 |
| investigative | 75:15 76:21 | Jon 92:20 | 227:10 246:3 | 42:9,16,21 |
| 117:18 | 77:17,18 78:2 | journalism | judges 26:1 | 43:9,14,22,24 |
| investigator | 78:5,10,18 | 193:11 | 34:19,22 49:9 | 43:25 44:13 |
| 10:17 40:25 | 79:19 80:9 | Joyce 14:3 | 62:8 69:17 | 44:16 |
| 159:3,10,11,17 | 99:20,22 | 49:13 61:6,16 | 74:7 82:25 | juveniles 44:10 |
| 159:22 184:10 | 105:7,8 109:4 | 61:21 93:2 | 83:2,12,18 | |
| 212:11,12,16,16 | 109:4 111:18 | 116:3 174:19 | 84:17 91:7 | K |
| 212:21,22 | 117:9 118:22 | 225:17 226:6 | 92:22 94:15 | K 128:6 |
| 213:4 | 119:1,6,7,13,16 | Joyce's 61:4,25 | 110:1 117:22 | Kalie 180:23 |
| investigators | 119:23 121:11 | judge 14:1,3 | 134:7,12 138:4 | Kaltenbronn |
| 184:12 240:21 | 121:24 124:5 | 28:11 49:13 | 167:25 192:12 | 92:20 137:5 |
| 240:22 | 130:20 131:16 | 51:17 52:15 | 201:5 228:24 | 139:2 |
| investment | 175:23 176:2 | 56:1,16,25 | 229:11 | Kansas 37:23 |
| 28:21 | 176:10 188:11 | 57:1 58:2 | judgment 98:5 | Karl 166:11,12 |
| Invoices 17:6 | 189:1 221:5 | 59:5,20,25 | judicial 84:16 | KBIA 193:16 |
| involve 216:13 | jails 78:19 | 60:5 61:4,6,16 | 92:16 93:1,3 | keep 48:7,11 |
| involved 75:22 | January 25:12 | 61:16,21,25 | 139:4 | 74:7 78:21 |
| 142:5 194:21 | 27:9 36:11,13 | 62:14,16 63:2 | July 25:9,10 | 101:2 102:12 |
| issue 19:15 | 39:21 40:13 | 63:4 66:19 | 68:16,17 69:7 | 111:15 128:20 |
| 68:15 88:14,15 | 73:10 74:15 | 68:4,13,24,24 | 144:8 | 139:22 151:12 |
| 106:13 188:4,6 | 75:13 87:25 | 69:3,3,5,5,6,7 | jump 183:15 | keeping |
| 188:7,12 | 99:13,17 104:3 | 69:8,12,13 | jumping 226:23 | 223:22 |
| 192:17,18 | 104:15 130:24 | 77:7,7 78:6 | 243:1 | Kenneth 92:18 |
| issues 17:4 | 148:12 159:14 | 82:22 83:8 | June 27:2 41:19 | kept 29:8 151:10 |
| 19:12,14 30:11 | 224:2,2 | 84:5,25 92:15 | 61:5,13 116:8 | kicked 102:18 |
| 137:9 152:12 | 227:18 229:2 | 92:18,19,20 | 144:9 145:17 | 120:24 223:8 |
| 156:11 173:5 | 234:15 | 92:25 93:1,2 | junior 113:1 | kid 16:16 29:7 |
| 183:3 197:6,9 | jaw 6:23 | 93:2,4,4,5,6,7 | 170:10 | kidnapping |
| 197:9,12 | Jeff 43:5 76:12 | 93:7,9,25 | jurisdiction | 199:21 |
| 222:19,20,22 | 77:17 99:4 | 94:8,13 105:6 | 33:15 55:11 | kids 119:18 120:24 |
| 222:24 | Jefferson 4:19 16:9,11,19 | 111:10 114:19 | 201:15 | kind 6:20 7:4 |
| 230:12,22 | 10.5,11,13 | 116:3 118:10 | jurisdictional | KIIIU 0.20 7.4 |
| | • | • | • | • |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 83 of 107

| 19:17 25:7,21 | 32:18,24,25 | 109:25 110:10 | 212:10 214:2,4 | 231:14 |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 29:19 39:8 | 35:9,12,19,20 | 111:5 114:6,8,10 | 214:6 215:24 | Laclede 92:17 |
| 43:5 50:3 | 36:2 38:4,11 | 117:1,2,7,14,21 | 217:15,17,18 | 137:15 138:6,7 |
| 53:20 58:3 | 38:14,15,17 | 118:5,11,14 | 217:20 219:22 | 138:10,21 |
| 59:7 62:7 | 39:9,10,12,13 | 119:13,22 | 219:24 220:2 | laid 59:25 67:17 |
| 64:8,21 73:1 | 39:16,19 42:7 | 120:4,7,9,14 | 220:8,23 | Lake 193:15 |
| 75:17 77:20 | 42:19 43:4,6,6 | 120:16,17,19 | 221:2,13 | landlord 119:19 |
| 88:18 89:10 | 44:2,3,5 46:21 | 120:20 121:1,6 | 222:4 223:4,7 | language 95:6 |
| 96:7 103:8 | 47:9 48:4 49:1 | 121:8,9,11,13 | 223:9,12 | 181:6,19 |
| 105:10 155:17 | 49:8,20,22 | 122:2,4,6,21 | 225:10 226:6 | languished |
| 159:21 165:12 | 49:25 50:3 | 123:5,7 124:1 | 226:9 227:9 | 76:7 |
| 170:13 178:24 | 52:6,11,11 53:2 | 125:11 126:12 | 227:23 | large 197:8 |
| 182:25 199:2 | 53:7,16 57:16 | 126:14 127:6 | 228:14 229:7 | 199:9 205:10 |
| 199:23 200:6 | 58:14,21,22 | 131:11,23 | 229:9,10,11,12 | larger 48:13 |
| 202:5 203:15 | 58:23 59:3,5 | 132:16 133:24 | 229:14,18 | late 6:21 9:22 |
| 205:3 206:7 | 60:13,14,23 | 134:21 135:2,11 | 232:13 233:10 | laughing 150:10 |
| 206:12 207:8 | 61:9,12 62:3,7 | 138:24 139:2 | 233:23 234:2 | 150:11 |
| 207:17 212:19 | 62:15 64:5,7 | 139:4 145:19 | 237:7 239:14 | law 3:13 27:11 |
| 214:8 215:19 | 64:11,21 66:16 | 150:23 151:3,3 | 242:2,17 | 28:10 42:12 |
| 215:23 220:4 | 66:19,25 67:1 | 153:15,17 | 244:18,19 | 42:24 43:1 |
| 222:20 | 67:3,17 68:3,5 | 158:5 163:2,3 | 245:16 246:10 | 48:14 84:4,8 |
| 223:12 226:10 | 68:20 69:4,16 | 163:4,4,5,8 | 246:12,13,15 | 85:2,2,4,7,7 |
| 228:18,20 | 70:7,18 71:16 | 164:17,21,25 | knowing 76:10 | 85:10,18,24 |
| 232:6 233:20 | 74:9,24 75:13 | 166:1,4,11 | knowledge 6:15 | 112:10,16 |
| 246:15 | 75:25 76:12 | 168:11,15 | 21:10 27:17 | 191:16,25 |
| kitchen 105:10 | 76:13,15,17,22 | 169:8 170:21 | 58:11 74:1 | 192:3 199:19 |
| 109:5 | 77:19,20,25 | 173:12,14,21 | 82:15 85:18 | 236:9,9 239:1 |
| knew 6:25 | 78:7,8,11,13,17 | 176:3,21 177:11 | 90:20 93:17 | 239:7,12 |
| 163:12 | 79:18,21 81:23 | 177:14 178:8 | 115:11,19,22,24 | 240:6,10,12,13 |
| knights 147:17 | 82:4 83:4,6,7 | 178:25 179:12 | 140:11,13,16 | 240:18 |
| know 6:10 7:4 | 83:17,23 84:2 | 179:14,22 | 142:4 189:7 | law-related |
| 8:7,23 10:13 | 84:4,18,22 | 182:22,23,24 | 214:12 | 85:7 |
| 10:23 16:10,12 | 85:9,10,18 | 188:5 190:13 | known 39:18 | lawful 6:3 |
| 16:15 17:3,6,8 | 86:7,14 87:4 | 193:16 194:18 | knows 57:12 | lawyer 10:20 |
| 17:15,22,23 | 87:10,12 88:11 | 194:22 195:24 | 68:12 111:8 | 17:8 21:2 |
| 18:8,22,25 | 88:14 89:2,10 | 196:1,14 199:8 | KOMU 193:9 | 25:12,14 27:2 |
| 19:3,10,11,17,19 | 89:24 95:23 | 199:13,18,21 | KRCG 193:9 | 28:21,22 29:6 |
| 19:23 20:13 | 96:2,5,7,8,12 | 199:22 200:1 | | 29:8,9,25 |
| 21:8,14,16,22 | 97:22,23 98:6 | 200:7 201:14 | lab 120:15 | 30:2,3,3 32:12 |
| 21:23,24 24:6 | 98:7,10,20,23 | 201:17 203:6 | 122:18,18,23 | 32:20,21,25 |
| 25:5,7,17,20 | 98:25 100:14 | 203:8,10,25 | labor 138:18,22 | 33:9,10,15,18 |
| 27:22,24 28:2 | 101:10,18 102:1 | 204:4,12,12,15 | 236:6,9 | 33:20 34:25 |
| 28:4,5,9,14,18 | 102:8,11 103:8 | 205:3,6,16 | lack 166:21 | 35:9,21 41:18 |
| 28:20 29:5,6 | 103:15,19 | 206:7,15 | 184:17,24 | 42:7,8,12 44:6 |
| 29:7,10,16,19 | 104:9,12 106:9 | 207:13,16 | 185:17 186:6 | 47:1 48:5,5,25 |
| 29:24 30:1,4,8 | 106:25 109:10 | 208:8,10,13,14 209:24 210:12 | 186:20 207:8 | 49:4 53:19 |
| 31:5,9,11,18 | 109:18,19,24 | 203.24 210.12 | 100.20 207.0 | 59:16,22 61:4 |
| | - | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

| 61:13 71:2,3,7 | 20:3 21:18,23 | leaves 34:12 | 91:24 92:9 | 250:9,13,17,21 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| 71:10,11 75:2,4 | 25:4,6 26:25 | 35:5,9 | 116:17,18 | lines 126:12 |
| 75:16,19,20,21 | 27:5 28:2,6,17 | leaving 28:3 | 168:16 177:20 | 139:16 154:5 |
| 76:10 77:5,19 | 28:25 32:4 | 35:21 40:19 | 201:23,25 | 169:24 179:19 |
| 78:6 80:11 | 33:6 34:17 | Lebanon 138:6 | 207:10,25 | 199:22 218:6 |
| 81:19,20 84:2 | 35:11 36:16 | 138:7 | 208:2 232:13 | 219:25 237:18 |
| 84:21 85:6 | 40:12,18 42:6 | led 227:25 | license 162:21 | Lisa 3:15 4:25 |
| 86:4 87:12,16 | 42:12,15 43:7 | left 26:17 27:2,5 | 167:3,15 | 5:12 248:3,19 |
| 87:23 92:3 | 76:17 82:2,17 | 27:15,18 36:5 | licensure 162:13 | 249:23 |
| 99:20 100:2,3 | 83:23 86:23 | 36:10 40:16 | 163:14 | list 106:1,8 |
| 100:25 101:3 | 87:1,1,8,13,15 | 46:3,4 61:5,13 | lieu 123:22 | 109:15 117:12 |
| 105:2,19 | 88:1 89:11 | 71:11 75:20 | life 81:9,13 | 121:10 173:15 |
| 106:14 107:9 | 91:20,23 92:2 | 81:19,25 82:2 | 119:14,14 | 176:7,12 214:6 |
| 107:23 109:3 | 92:5,7 95:5 | 211:1 240:1 | 222:25 | listed 144:13 |
| 109:4 111:7 | 96:5,16 99:21 | 245:8 | light 206:9 | lists 149:8 178:3 |
| 112:11,12,15 | 99:24,25 | leg 84:7 | 233:6 | 178:4 |
| 114:18,20 | 100:1 101:19 | legal 5:13 28:7 | likewise 28:11 | literally 107:11 |
| 116:23,24 | 102:11,24 | 29:17 38:10 | 43:21 137:13 | litigants 50:13 |
| 117:3 139:18 | 103:13,17 | 50:7 85:14 | 215:18 217:20 | litigating 103:13 |
| 141:8,9,10 | 112:17,17,25 | 117:20 118:16 | limit 213:19 | litigation 3:13 |
| 142:1,3 154:11 | 113:1,2 114:22 | 121:20 123:6 | limitation | 5:10,14 18:13 |
| 154:18 155:5 | 115:9 135:3 | 159:8,18 189:6 | 243:15,15,22 | 249:1 |
| 158:4,9,12,12 | 136:3 139:22 | 197:12 199:5,6 | limitations 7:3 | little 24:18 28:7 |
| 158:14,18,19 | 140:4,23 141:5 | 200:15,17 | 53:7 128:23 | 42:20 45:7 |
| 168:14 169:8 | 145:14,16,20 | 202:12,14 | limited 63:24 | 71:19 124:22 |
| 170:10,14 171:1 | 146:20 151:2 | 204:4 205:1,9 | 104:17 114:8 | 130:21 139:25 |
| 171:7 175:17 | 154:11 155:3 | 205:12 222:18 | limiting 213:22 | 151:3 155:6 |
| 176:4,11 179:12 | 161:11 167:17 | 223:1 238:19 | 218:11 | 178:23 179:21 |
| 179:22 184:10 | 168:16 184:11 | 238:21 | limits 216:23 | 208:19 209:3 |
| 189:6,21 | 200:1,4,7 | legislative | 241:3,10,16 | 214:20 |
| 199:19,24 | 204:3 207:14 | 83:24 84:15 | 243:24 | 226:24 |
| 200:5,9 | 207:19 215:23 | 139:5 143:15 | line 18:6,18 19:5 | lived 16:9 26:24 |
| 203:15 204:10 | 215:25 228:19 | legislature | 19:22 26:13,15 | lives 161:16 |
| 204:20 205:5 | 236:3 238:18 | 56:23 84:13 | 40:21 41:4 | 162:7 |
| 208:15,24 | 240:8 242:18 | 84:19 97:14 | 74:19,22,23 | LLP 4:3,7 |
| 209:17 213:4 | 242:21 244:17 | 98:3,9 233:12 | 75:4 79:15 | local 102:9 |
| 213:5 216:9,14 | lawyers' 92:9 | length 183:3 | 80:3 82:10 | 103:3 135:5 |
| 220:3,23,25 | leaner 229:19 | 214:9 | 86:11 87:19 | 207:10 228:17 |
| 221:3,9,9,14 | learning 48:14 | lesser 112:25 | 92:12,14 95:19 | located 17:18 |
| 222:9,12,15,16 | leave 25:12 | 113:1 | 96:20 101:25 | 67:25 |
| 232:14,15,17 | 26:9,23 28:19 | let's 114:8 | 112:8 132:25 | location 115:1 |
| 235:2 239:1,2 | 28:22 29:13 | 183:18 192:15 | 142:12 149:11 | 199:9 |
| 239:8,12,13 | 30:22 35:16 | 197:13 200:24 | 164:18 165:13 | log 21:2,21 |
| lawyer's 48:8 | 38:23 40:6,11 | 221:19 234:7 | 174:22 181:15 | logged 22:4 |
| 103:5 140:7 | 40:13,24 41:1 | 234:10 | 194:6 231:25 | 224:22,23 |
| 142:2 | 110:7 159:11,12 | Letters 141:24 | 241:7 243:17 | logging 21:17 |
| lawyers 19:1,14 | 167:17,19 | level 31:5 50:5 | 244:5 250:5 | 225:6 |
| | • | • | | |

| logic 197:13 | 160:20 174:4 | main 160:24 | market 38:10 | 116:18 117:8,10 |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| logistical 37:1 | looks 126:2 | maintaining | marking 156:3 | 118:2,16,22 |
| logistics 66:6 | 127:13 129:8 | 149:25 224:16 | Markrichards | 120:21,23 |
| long 9:6 15:14 | 129:12,22,24 | major 6:23 | 93:10 | 121:8 123:5 |
| 21:13 22:5 | 142:24 143:14 | 28:9 78:18 | Marsh 4:8 | 129:15 131:20 |
| 27:23 45:1,8 | 144:11 149:4 | 192:6 193:12 | massive 86:11 | 137:7 143:8 |
| 46:10,16 69:19 | 153:17 180:22 | majored 192:7 | material 122:6 | 145:12 150:17 |
| 79:1 82:11 85:1 | 181:1,10,14 | majoring 193:11 | math 24:3,8 | 151:15,23 |
| 86:5 90:21 | 230:17 | making 31:23 | 113:6 146:4 | 162:24 163:8 |
| 98:11 106:18 | loop 139:8 | 36:15 | 164:24 208:18 | 165:11 168:15 |
| 119:8 120:22 | lose 119:18,19 | Man 121:8 | 209:3 245:14 | 170:4 178:15 |
| 122:3 158:20 | losing 119:17 | 158:18 | matter 5:5 16:15 | 182:19,24 |
| 174:14 191:22 | lost 22:1 25:4,4 | manage 25:19 | 35:19 73:23 | 185:2 194:10 |
| 209:6 220:12 | 28:22 121:14 | 207:14 224:12 | 75:12 78:4 | 195:21 201:17 |
| 221:8 239:24 | 122:7 123:7 | 226:5 | 92:6 103:1 | 204:17 207:15 |
| longer 24:23 | 188:15 231:16 | management | 110:10 189:20 | 221:2 227:9 |
| 75:10 86:8 | 235:11 | 10:13 11:21 18:7 | 191:5 196:25 | 228:2 242:19 |
| 106:20,21 | lot 18:1 29:17,20 | 31:19 86:19 | matters 225:8 | 246:7,8,10 |
| 119:2 122:15 | 38:14,19 49:7 | 89:5 101:20 | 225:15 | meaning 140:15 |
| 123:15 209:12 | 49:8 53:17 | 101:22,24 | maximum 54:12 | meaning 140.13 |
| | | • | | 246:5 |
| 227:6,9 | 62:24 119:13 | 102:1,6,14,16 | 133:2 160:6 | |
| 233:22 | 120:5,9 125:9 | 103:4 136:1 | McCullough | means 17:1 |
| look 23:3 26:1 | 163:11 233:15 | 152:8,11,14 | 233:16 | 30:25 105:18 |
| 29:9,10 44:23 | lots 244:9 | 224:24 | mean 16:24 | 145:10 146:8 |
| 59:1,5,21 | Lotus 10:12 | 228:16 230:1 231:5 233:20 | 18:14 19:3,9 | 151:16,24,25 |
| 62:25 63:2 | 20:21 | | 22:16 23:13 | 168:11 |
| 66:20 75:17 | loud 188:13 | managers 141:4 | 25:13 26:9 | meant 57:6 161:12 |
| 84:18 85:16 | Louis 17:25 18:1 | mandate 19:16 | 28:13 29:14 | - ' |
| 90:23 98:25 | 37:22 233:17 | manner 19:17 | 30:10 33:16 | mechanically |
| 117:12 118:15 | 249:18 | 60:11 183:10 | 35:8 37:10,25 | 173:17 |
| 132:11 139:4 | love 101:10 | 183:19 184:2,8 | 38:9,15 39:8 | mechanisms |
| 140:6,9 | 169:5,5,5 | 185:8,12,20 | 42:23 43:6 | 227:15 |
| 148:20 172:13 | 243:12 | 185:25 186:10 | 44:13,17,22 | media 38:16 |
| 176:9 200:4 | low 30:25 | 186:16 | 48:7 50:4 | 124:9 192:20 |
| 203:10 | 38:23 217:22 | manually 199:2 | 52:7 56:22 | 193:14 |
| 204:22 | low-level | Mariea 191:20 | 57:6,9 58:12 | medium-sized |
| 206:14 212:10 | 246:21 | mark 13:7 89:20 | 63:12 66:25 | 42:24 |
| 212:15,19 | lowest 31:5 | 142:18 147:21 | 67:16 71:7 | meet 9:7 30:5 |
| 216:23 217:3 | lunch 125:3 | 153:7 157:1 | 73:19 75:4 | 51:11 78:11,15 |
| 219:2 221:11 | Lute 129:10,13 | marked 13:8 | 79:18,20 | 78:16 107:14 |
| 229:21 | 129:24 130:7 | 89:22 133:20 | 84:17 85:9,17 | 222:15 234:17 |
| looked 118:14 | NA | 133:23 141:16 | 86:1 88:8 | meeting 19:11 |
| 203:8,11 | M | 142:20 147:22 | 96:6,15 98:10 | 101:21,24 |
| looking 14:10 | M-a-r-i-e-a | 153:8 156:4,7 | 102:21 106:8 | 102:6,15,17 |
| 28:15 63:1,6 | 191:20 | 157:2 171:22 | 106:20 108:12 | 103:2,7,12 |
| 91:10 93:22 | magical 180:19 | 172:2 173:19 | 109:4,21 114:8 | 117:17 148:23 |
| 125:10 127:22 | mail 234:21 | 180:4 228:25 | 114:12 116:16 | 149:5 194:17 |
| | I | I | I | |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 86 of 107

Fax: 314.644.1334

| 224:24 | 112:24 | 140:18 174:5 | mix 41:15,17 | 75:24 76:21 |
|----------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| 228:15 | mess 24:20 | 185:3 192:21 | 42:16,18 | 76:25 77:18 |
| meetings 19:8 | 48:15 83:22 | 206:2 | MO 249:18 | 78:3 80:9,14 |
| meets 179:9 | 84:19 | minimizing | mobilized 25:8 | 110:23 116:5 |
| 221:5 | message 102:5 | 129:3 | mocking 129:3 | 117:9 119:7,24 |
| member 89:8 | met 6:13,14 9:2 | minimum | modification | 120:16,22 |
| 118:4 179:5 | 9:15 221:9 | 222:21 223:13 | 53:12 | 121:20,23 |
| members 18:17 | metadata | minister 128:15 | Mom 53:18 | 122:14 165:6 |
| 136:1 159:6,9 | 231:14 | minor 192:8 | moment 48:11 | 170:20 175:23 |
| 193:6 194:21 | metric 144:5,23 | minute 155:7 | 50:15 157:25 | 177:6,9 188:11 |
| 198:24 233:11 | 144:24 165:11 | minutes 16:12 | 237:7 | 189:1,10 200:6 |
| memory 31:15 | 208:9,20 | 16:13,14 21:20 | Monday 221:4 | 206:5 219:8 |
| 44:24 70:25 | 208.9,20 | 126:8 | 235:24 | Moore 92:15,18 |
| 131:4 149:9 | | misdemeanor | money 28:17 | moot 106:13,16 |
| | Meyer 155:24 Michael 115:3 | 23:8,17,25 | 53:18 89:5 | 106:24 114:16 |
| 156:18,19 | | ' ' | | |
| 224:1 | 143:22 | 47:13 51:5 | 97:15,16 169:9 | moral 49:8 |
| memos 10:16 | Michigan 216:2 | 208:6 209:5 | 204:19 213:13 | Morgan 92:17 |
| Menlo 4:8 | middle 13:21 | 225:10 | 214:4 216:11 | 128:7,23 |
| mental 222:20 | 91:11 155:22 | misdemeanors | 217:12 228:11 | morning 3:12 |
| mention 146:23 | 174:13 201:5 | 23:14,21 41:8 | 242:12 243:15 | 6:7,8 |
| 159:2 193:21 | 201:18 | 41:16 45:21 | 245:9,12,16,18 | motion 2:16,17 |
| mentioned | military 25:9 | 246:21 | Moniteau 16:22 | 9:24 10:1 |
| 17:12 18:3 | Miller 16:22 | misfiling 166:22 | 32:20 33:1,10 | 36:19,20 46:5 |
| 20:20 22:21 | 19:24 20:2,2 | mission 169:5 | 33:12 34:23 | 49:3 62:10 |
| 32:3 38:6 | 20:5 32:21 | Missouri 1:1,7 | 35:2 43:21 | 64:21 65:9,10 |
| 40:9 41:3 | 33:1 34:23 | 3:1,6,14,16,18 | 44:9,16 92:17 | 66:10,11 100:1 |
| 48:15 65:19 | 42:3 43:11,14 | 3:20 4:12,13 | 92:25 179:20 | 100:7 105:3,4 |
| 78:25 79:12 | 63:18 64:6,13 | 4:15,18,19 5:6 | 195:11 | 105:5 106:5,5 |
| 82:20 95:1 | 64:17 65:3 | 5:8,11,22 | monitor 101:1 | 106:11 107:7,8 |
| 113:1 115:17 | 68:25 75:15 | 13:23 15:10,11 | 139:19 | 107:16 108:18 |
| 123:12 155:9 | 77:16,23 78:10 | 50:25 98:3 | month 24:24,24 | 110:8,11,15,17 |
| 155:18 156:15 | 78:18 83:6 | 100:3 115:4,18 | 35:23 45:11 | 113:18 114:3,5 |
| 159:3,24 | 92:16,21,23 | 115:20 120:7 | 45:24 69:4,13 | 114:19 118:10 |
| 160:10,12 | 93:12 114:11 | 140:5 143:23 | 69:14,17 | 123:25 124:6 |
| 163:23 165:4 | 117:3,4,22 | 144:12,19 | 99:23,24 | 124:7,8 139:3 |
| 168:18 177:20 | 118:23 119:3 | 153:18 154:8 | 104:17 109:23 | 153:22 154:22 |
| 180:17 184:13 | 120:17 123:20 | 154:14,19 | 112:11 158:24 | 155:1,9,11,18 |
| 186:4 188:9 | 124:5 127:17 | 155:25 160:17 | 177:12 208:15 | 156:10,14,14,16 |
| 188:25 199:13 | 128:4,9 137:5 | 161:22 162:9 | months 24:13 | 156:22,23 |
| 202:16 207:6 | 137:23 138:1,2 | 164:10 166:19 | 24:15,16 25:8 | 157:20 160:10 |
| 208:14 225:10 | 138:20 167:25 | 167:4,4 191:4 | 29:8 40:1 | 179:17,18,24 |
| 227:17 238:2 | 179:1,15 195:10 | 191:15,21 | 49:17 62:13,13 | 189:5 230:7 |
| 245:10 | million 33:14 | 211:21 216:20 | 62:13,13 64:13 | motions 49:2,3 |
| mentioning | 81:8 225:4 | 237:14 248:4 | 64:22 65:3,5 | 49:5,6 62:3,7 |
| 80:13 101:9 | mind 10:3 56:19 | 248:20 249:4 | 66:17 68:12,14 | 64:6,9,10 |
| mentor 150:1 | 61:3 68:6 | 249:5,7 | 69:6 70:21,22 | 65:4 85:15 |
| mentoring | 128:21 132:8 | 250:2 | 71:19 72:11,13 | 100:16,16 |
| | 1 | | | 1.505,10 |
| | | | | |

| 103:14,14 105:1 | NAC 165:10 | 212:16 221:6 | 235:24 | 30:12 43:14 |
|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| 105:2 107:5,10 | name 5:11,12 | 221:10 222:6 | Nineteen 16:4,5 | 45:17 47:8 |
| 107:13,18,19,21 | 6:9 13:23 | 222:9,12,15 | nonattorney | 52:21 71:15 |
| 107:22,24 | 44:24 93:17 | negotiate 187:8 | 159:1 | 74:12 76:6 |
| 108:2,3,4,7,20 | 149:7,22 | 187:14 | nonsupport | 77:12 79:9 |
| 109:6,7 113:5 | 151:19 185:4 | negotiating | 49:14,18 50:13 | 81:8 86:21 |
| 113:7,8,9 114:9 | 191:3 226:10 | 124:13 | 50:24 51:18,21 | 88:10,19 90:17 |
| 114:14,23 | 250:1,2 251:11 | negotiations | 52:5,12,20 | 90:24 95:5 |
| 115:21 116:2 | names 5:16 | 65:25 70:8 | 53:8,15 54:4,6 | 95:24 98:18 |
| 117:21 134:21 | 193:13 | neither 62:9 | 54:9,13,19 | 101:17 102:19 |
| 134:23 136:17 | National 233:19 | 92:5 154:23 | 55:17,22,24 | 104:17 108:6 |
| 136:18 137:3,4 | natural 239:9 | 248:9 | 56:2,8 57:10 | 110:11 113:17 |
| 137:12,25 | nature 77:14 | never 28:9,11 | 58:16,18,22 | 114:4 117:2 |
| 138:5 153:14 | 171:4 223:14 | 35:20 59:5 | 59:9 61:1,7,8 | 126:16 133:2 |
| 153:25 155:10 | near 221:8 | 76:4 77:19 | 61:12,15 62:15 | 135:24 137:6,7 |
| 155:18 156:1,2 | necessarily | 78:4 84:14 | 62:22 64:1 | 145:13,20,22 |
| 157:12 167:24 | 57:5 96:18 | 110:1,4 161:10 | 93:9 225:8,18 | 151:2 152:2 |
| 177:16 186:9 | 192:10 214:12 | 201:7 213:12 | 226:7,22 | 161:17 162:8 |
| 186:15,21 | 242:13 243:7 | 220:4 230:16 | 245:25 246:1 | 175:20 178:3,4 |
| 229:23,24 | 244:20 | 230:19 242:16 | Nope 80:1 | 178:5 181:14 |
| 230:2 238:20 | necessary | new 4:4,4 29:8 | normal 235:24 | 192:12,19 |
| move 22:12 | 50:10 218:20 | 29:25 30:2,3 | north 216:2 | 193:21 197:2,4 |
| 33:15 34:11 | 222:4 251:9 | 32:11,14,21,22 | notarized | 197:18,21 |
| 35:24 50:17 | need 8:13,22 | 33:12 38:25 | 249:16 | 198:17 209:6 |
| 50:18 108:25 | 12:21 20:9 | 45:23 47:23 | notary 249:14 | 212:5 219:14 |
| 109:1 125:8 | 21:16 30:17 | 48:8 60:15 | 251:23 | 225:5 228:10 |
| 162:25 163:1 | 59:16 69:21 | 65:8 69:4 | note 2:21 22:13 | 236:15,21 |
| 174:7 240:25 | 76:18 88:15 | 77:5 92:8 | 25:20 78:5 | 237:1,2 |
| moved 113:11,25 | 98:4 101:16 | 112:15 117:4 | noted 161:8 | numbers 14:8 |
| 163:17 211:12 | 110:9 117:13 | 128:21 152:10 | 230:13,20 | 91:11 158:8 |
| 211:13,15 | 122:24 126:24 | 157:12,17 | notes 10:12 | 159:25 160:1,2 |
| MSPD 4:11 5:24 | 146:19 188:7 | 197:17 198:17 | 20:21 75:17 | 196:2 208:20 |
| 7:6 15:18 37:17 | 191:1 197:11 | 235:9 | 220:1 | 209:4 219:2 |
| 147:3 167:10 | 201:6 203:5 | newer 71:5 | notice 9:14 | 232:7 |
| 225:3 237:22 | 213:6,14 | news 91:16,18 | 35:10,13 | Numeral 160:24 |
| multiple 58:25 | 216:25 223:10 | 193:9,12 | 134:23 | numerical 147:11 |
| 123:17 219:12 | 230:5 232:18 | nicely 97:15,16 | noticed 195:20 | numerous |
| murder 23:9 | 232:18 233:13 | Nifong 4:13 | 195:22 | 111:23 190:6 |
| 31:24 87:10,13 | 239:22 | 249:4 | notices 135:5 | 243:18 244:4 |
| 87:16 123:11 | 244:19 | night 182:23 | notifications | |
| 199:20 200:9 | needed 73:15 | 201:6,18 | 93:15 | 0 |
| 208:7,24 | 97:1 117:18 | nighttime | November | O 4:18 |
| 246:18,25 | 122:20 155:15 | 201:15 | 13:19,22 45:10 | o'clock 3:11,12 |
| murders 177:25 | 209:13 211:22 | nine 3:11 35:2,3 | 68:23 164:23 | 35:2,3 |
| | 217:5 244:11 | 56:13 75:24 | 175:22,24 | oath 8:2 |
| N N | needs 73:21 | 76:21,25 | number 7:11 | object 8:17,18 |
| N 249:17 | 119:3 122:17 | 80:14 222:5 | 14:14 27:3 | objection 83:11 |
| | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 88 of 107

| 108:23 116:12 | 12:18,20 14:22 | 128:4,18 130:11 | 245:2,8 | 55:20 58:1,15 |
|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 117:6 | 15:20 16:12,13 | 131:21 135:2 | 246:6,15 | 59:19 60:23 |
| obligation 101:1 | 16:14 17:2,5,19 | 136:7 138:13 | 249:17 | 62:18 63:17 |
| 139:19 140:1 | 17:23,25 18:7 | 138:14,23 | office's 99:6 | 63:20 65:24 |
| obligations | 18:9,13,17,18 | 142:15 143:6 | 138:18 | 66:6 69:2,11 |
| 140:18 181:5,17 | 19:10,22 20:1 | 145:15 146:8 | offices 3:13 | 69:24 71:10,14 |
| obliged 110:7 | 20:23 22:17 | 146:17 147:6,11 | 12:18 27:1 | 71:20 72:2,21 |
| 140:3 | 24:21 25:3 | 148:8 149:12 | 37:14 38:2 | 72:24 73:12 |
| obtain 185:7,11 | 26:14 27:7,15 | 149:15,24 | 102:9 135:6 | 73:24 75:7 |
| 185:17 | 28:7,14,24 | 150:2,14 | 138:17 144:19 | 82:19 84:20 |
| obviously 8:2,9 | 29:22 30:15 | 151:20 154:12 | 147:6,10 | 85:8 89:20 |
| 8:13 13:11 14:5 | 31:3,7,7 32:12 | 155:3 158:1 | 162:17 163:12 | 90:1,2 91:3,7 |
| 14:21 22:17 | 32:18 33:6 | 159:1,5,7,16 | 202:4 211:8 | 91:10,14 92:11 |
| 36:6 48:16 | 34:7 35:6 | 160:15 162:3 | 228:17 237:14 | 94:17 95:1,15 |
| 58:5 60:20 | 36:6 37:6,10 | 162:12,15 | official 17:16 | 96:19 97:3,9 |
| 94:5 96:19 | 37:10,13 38:12 | 163:19 164:16 | 128:15 159:7 | 98:16 99:2,12 |
| 98:16 100:20 | 38:15 39:1 | 164:19 165:2 | officially 129:15 | 101:5 104:20 |
| 104:21 111:1 | 40:3,5,14,15 | 166:9,10 167:7 | oh 27:21 94:2,3 | 106:1 107:18 |
| 119:16 121:7 | 40:16,21 41:22 | 167:14 168:9 | 117:20 124:16 | 108:1,11 109:6 |
| 164:13 | 45:2,9,25 | 168:17 171:10 | 129:22 174:6 | 109:11 110:20 |
| occasions | 46:10 47:24 | 173:3 180:11 | 206:8,23 | 111:1 112:4 |
| 60:21 | 48:23,25 | 180:20,24 | 218:8,10 | 113:4,22 115:5 |
| occur 57:24 | 50:5 53:2 | 181:3,9,15,22 | okay 6:16 7:15 | 115:8,13,15,23 |
| occurred 6:25 | 57:7,21 58:6 | 181:24,24 | 7:18,23 8:5,22 | 116:10 123:19 |
| 142:25 143:13 | 58:16 60:25 | 182:7,8 183:17 | 8:25 9:6,9,12 | 124:25 125:13 |
| occurring | 62:1 66:3 71:8 | 183:17 184:7 | 11:5,18 12:5,12 | 126:6,10,18 |
| 235:23 | 71:11 74:3,11 | 184:21,24 | 13:4,14,20 | 127:2,9,25 |
| OCDC 165:17 | 74:20 75:5,21 | 185:10,24 | 14:4 15:8,17 | 128:24 129:7 |
| 166:7,24 | 74.20 73.3,21 76:1,12 77:17 | 186:14 187:4 | 16:2,24 17:18 | 129:18 130:9 |
| October 2:12 | 77:21 79:3,4 | 187:13 189:8 | 18:21 20:20 | 130:17,22 |
| 24:17 69:9 | 79:12,13 80:6 | 189:17 190:14 | 21:10 22:12,12 | 131:2,6,8,15,19 |
| 132:12 134:6 | 81:24 82:1,3 | 196:10,13 | 22:20 23:6,19 | 132:7,15,22 |
| 143:19 159:13 | 82:13,16,21 | 199:4 202:12 | 23:24 26:20 | 133:8,12 134:1 |
| 159:13 181:13 | 86:17 87:5 | 203:7,21 | 27:13,20 | 134:18 135:23 |
| offender 56:24 | 89:17 91:19 | 204:1 206:6 | 30:17,20 31:9 | 136:9,19,22 |
| 57:5 | 94:21,24 95:6 | 206:15 207:2 | 31:11,16,22 | 136:24 137:18 |
| offense 51:5,6 | 95:10,13,20 | 207:5 211:2,17 | 32:2 33:24 | 138:3 139:12 |
| 52:12 54:19 | 97:7,11,13 99:4 | 211:18 215:23 | 34:2,10 36:4 | 140:25 141:3 |
| 65:9 81:4,5 | 100:12 101:19 | 221:6 222:15 | 36:22 39:7 | 140.25 141.5 |
| 130:24 215:18 | 100:12 101:19 | 223:24,25 | 40:2,9,17,20 | 142:18 143:9,11 |
| offer 128:6 | 102.12,17,25 | 223.24,25 224:14 | 41:7,20,25 | 144:1,3,11,24 |
| 236:24 | 103.3 104.5 | 225:22 228:9 | 43:9,20 44:1,8 | 145:7 146:7,14 |
| offered 242:16 | 104.22,25 | 235:20 | 44:12,21 45:1 | 147:1,8,20 |
| offers 237:5 | 112:1,8,19,23 | 236:16,21,22 | 45:8,11,16 | 147.1,8,20 |
| office 2:20 4:18 | 113:13 114:16 | 237:1,3 | 46:16,25 47:3 | 148.9,16,19 |
| 9:3 11:14,16 | 116:11,14,23 | 237.1,3 240:16 241:5 | 48:20 50:11 | 150:16 151:18 |
| 12:7,10,14,17 | 121:5,12 124:19 | 244:17,21 | 53:21 55:15 | 152:4,17 153:2 |
| 12.7,10,14,17 | 121.5,12 124.19 | ۷ 44 .۱/,۷۱ | 55.21 55.15 | 132.4,17 133.2 |
| | | | | |

| 179:10 | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|
| 154:16 155:6 cone-month 24:23 cone-sixth 146:3 cone-sixth 14 | 153:6.17 154:2 | 179:10 | options 59:24 | 100:11 | 172:13 174:13 |
| 155:21 156:2 24:23 102:7,19,21 249:10,14,17 250:5,9,13,17 159:16,24 249:10,14,17 250:5,9,13,17 250:24 260:5,9,13,17 250:4,17 250:4,17 250:4,17 250:4,17 250:4,17 250:5,9,13,17 250:24 260:10,17 260:5,1 | • | | • | | |
| 156:13,25 | | | | • | |
| 157:23 159:11 159:16,24 20:63 39:20 25:24 51:15 25:25 25:24 51:15 25:25 25:24 51:15 25:2 | | | · · | | |
| 159:16,24 | · | | | | |
| 160:10,19 | | | | • | |
| 161:18,24 | | | | | · • |
| 163:16 165:22 167:6,13 168:7 204:17,19 245:14 242:13 169:12,21 170:19 171:15 23:5,8 24:9,11 173:1 174:3,20 35:17 41:24 83:11 114:4,5,6 194:21 200:21 203:9 200 | · · | | | · · | - : |
| 167:6,13 168:7 169:12,21 16:10 23:5,8 24:9,11 173:1174:3,20 175:7,13 177:2 45:19 46:5 177:17 178:11 47:23 145:13 135:11 144:4,5,6 16:10,22 181:1 173:11,25 177:13 179:12 177:10 178:17 181:9 177:10 178:17 181:9 177:10 178:17 181:9 177:10 178:17 181:9 177:10 178:17 181:9 178:19 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:14 179:13 179:14 179:14 179:14 179:15 179:14 179:14 179:15 179:14 179:14 179:14 179:15 179:14 179:14 179:15 179:14 17 | * | | | | • |
| 169:12,21 | | | • | | • |
| 170:19 171:15 | | - | | • | |
| 173:1 174:3,20 35:17 41:24 45:19 46:5 116:16,20 117:6 134:23 137:11 oversee 224:12 oversee 175:11:19 152:5 overtime 78:20 151:19 152:5 overwhelmed 38:13 overwhelmed 38:17 overwhelmed 205:11 overwhelmed 38:17 overwhelmed 38:17 overwhelmed 205:11 overwhelmed 38:17 overwhelmed 205:11 | · · | | | | • |
| 175:7,13 177:2 177:17 178:11 47:23 145:13 135:9 137:12 135:9 137:13 1 | | | | | • • |
| 177:17 178:11 | • | | | | |
| 180:22 181:1 173:11,25 137:16 163:5 163:19 235:12 183:2,5 184:13 189:24 219:24 189:24 219:24 188:19 189:13 241:22 242:1 134:16 138:11 236:4,5 | · · | | * | | |
| 181:10 182:3,11 | | | | | · · |
| 183:2,5 184:13 | | • | | | · · |
| 185:6 187:7 | - | | | | |
| 188:19 189:13 241:22 242:1 134:16 138:11 236:4,5 paragraphs 190:12,16,24 242:2 opened 45:24 177:10 38:17 parameters 191:13,19 195:3 241:24 orders 142:4 177:10 overwhelming 199:3 193:23 194:7 241:25 organizations 199:3 paraphrasing 195:1 197:20 operate 18:18 operates 18:18 operating 192:14,20 198:12 200:13 201:20,23 opinion 39:24 193:7 194:14 paraphrasing 201:20,23 opinion 39:24 194:24 paraphrasing 210:22 214:1 201:20,23 193:7 194:14 paraphrasing 215:11 221:20 106:18 128:17 192:14,20 paraphrasing 226:19 231:23 168:7 183:9,13 249:10 221:21,24 233:4; 238:23 185:6,19 186:8 0RICK 4:3,7 247:4,6 122:8 123:3 249:10,22 189:15,23 111:14 165:10 148.8,9 37:20 197:8 215:9 244:2,24 187:2,7,12,22 189:15,23 111:14 165:10 148.8,9 37:20 | · · | | | | |
| 190:12,16,24 190:25 191:1,7 191:13,19 192:25,25 195:3 241:24 241:25 opened 45:24 177:10 overwhelming 199:3 199:3 paraphrasing 199:3 195:1197:20 operate 18:18 operating 201:8,10 204:18 209:16 210:22 214:1 214:16,19,22 226:19 231:23 233:25 245:6,24 213:5 238:10 246:22 246:22 246:23 246:23 246:23 246:24 246:24 246:24 246:24 246:24 246:24 246:24 246:24 246:24 246:24 246:24 246:25,25 186:12,23 246:24 | | | | | · |
| 190:25 191:1,7 191:13,19 178:17,18,19 192:25,25 195:3 241:24 241:25 organization 195:1 197:20 operate 18:18 operates 18:18 operating 201:20,23 193:7 194:14 operating 201:20,23 193:7 194:14 194:24 original 2:21,22 216:11 221:20 106:18 128:17 226:19 231:23 183:16,25 239:5,15,24 240:25,25 186:12,23 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 241:22,2 242:4,23,25 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 242:4,23,25 241:21,24 242:4,23,25 242:22 242:4,23,25 243:5 238:10 238:25 opportunities 248:14 opportunities 248:14 59:6 68:4,5 opposed 195:12 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | | | | · · | |
| 191:13,19 | | | | | |
| 192:25,25 | · · | • | | | • |
| 193:23 194:7 241:25 operate 18:18 115:2 194:19 Ozark 193:19 203:3 pardon 193:7 Park 4:8 part 9:18 13:18 19:214.20 19:215.20 19:214.20 19 | · · | | · - | | |
| 195:1 197:20 | · · | | • | | |
| 198:12 200:13 operates 18:18 operating 192:14,20 204:18 209:16 201:20,23 193:7 194:14 194:24 original 2:21,22 121:14 197:25 121:14 197:25 121:14 197:25 234:4 238:23 183:16,25 ORRICK 4:3,7 249:10 Orage 93:8 240:25,25 186:12,23 187:27,12,22 111:14 165:10 out-of-state 242:4,23,25 245:6,24 213:5 238:10 216:8 outcome 30:8 122:22 opportunities 248:14 outright 217:6 opposed 195:12 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 overall 37:17 descriptions 192:14,20 P | | | | | |
| 201:8,10 operating 192:14,20 P Park 4:8 part 9:18 13:18 204:18 209:16 201:20,23 193:7 194:14 P 4:18 part 9:18 13:18 210:22 214:1 opinion 39:24 194:24 p.m 125:1,4 17:10 19:11,23 214:16,19,22 88:4 104:4 original 2:21,22 132:2,5 171:19 42:16 86:24 215:11 221:20 106:18 128:17 121:14 197:25 171:24 181:14 92:22 96:6 226:19 231:23 168:7 183:9,13 249:10 221:21,24 104:9 117:12 234:4 238:23 183:16,25 ORRICK 4:3,7 0sage 93:8 pace 151:10,12 197:8 215:9 240:25,25 186:12,23 ought 88:23 paddle 123:3 215:22 244:7 242:4,23,25 189:15,23 111:14 165:10 14:8,8,9 37:20 245:10 245:6,24 213:5 238:10 216:8 91:12 93:20 237:8 80:8 122:22 opportunities 248:14 101:7 125:10,16 210:4 80:8 122:22 opportunity outright 217:6 127:10,12 participation 10:4 | | • | | Ozark 193:19 | |
| 201:20,23 | | • | _ | P | • |
| 210:22 214:1 opinion 39:24 88:4 104:4 194:24 original 2:21,22 121:14 197:25 171:24 181:14 92:22 96:6 249:10 234:4 238:23 183:16,25 ORRICK 4:3,7 Osage 93:8 249:24 187:2,7,12,22 187:2,7,12,22 189:15,23 249:10 out-of-state 245:6,24 213:5 238:10 old 30:1 71:15 80:8 122:22 opportunities 248:14 outright 217:6 once 6:19 20:3 31:18 46:14 59:6 68:4,5 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 19:11,23 13:22,5 171:19 13:22,5 171:19 13:22,5 171:19 13:22,5 171:19 17:24 181:14 132:2,5 171:19 17:24 181:14 132:2,5 171:19 17:24 181:14 12:24 121:24 121:24 187:2,7 13:23 249:10 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | · · | | |
| 214:16,19,22 88:4 104:4 original 2:21,22 132:2,5 171:19 42:16 86:24 215:11 221:20 106:18 128:17 121:14 197:25 171:24 181:14 92:22 96:6 226:19 231:23 168:7 183:9,13 249:10 221:21,24 104:9 117:12 234:4 238:23 183:16,25 ORRICK 4:3,7 247:4,6 122:8 123:3 239:5,15,24 185:6,19 186:8 Osage 93:8 pace 151:10,12 197:8 215:9 240:25,25 186:12,23 ought 88:23 paddle 123:3 197:8 215:9 241:21,24 187:2,7,12,22 111:14 165:10 page 13:21 14:2 245:10 242:4,23,25 189:15,23 out-of-state 14:8,8,9 37:20 participate 245:6,24 213:5 238:10 216:8 91:12 93:20 participate 248:14 00trome 94:4 99:14 101:7 125:10,16 210:4 80:8 122:22 opportunities 248:14 101:7 125:10,16 210:4 123:8 239:2,8 27:12 outright 217:6 128:25 129:8 9:19 48:11 31:18 46:14 37:20 182:15 72:20 181:24 132:9,10,17,23 95:2 131:3 59:6 68:4,5 | | · · | | | |
| 215:11 221:20 106:18 128:17 121:14 197:25 171:24 181:14 92:22 96:6 226:19 231:23 168:7 183:9,13 249:10 221:21,24 104:9 117:12 234:4 238:23 183:16,25 ORRICK 4:3,7 247:4,6 12:8 123:3 239:5,15,24 185:6,19 186:8 Osage 93:8 pace 151:10,12 197:8 215:9 240:25,25 186:12,23 ought 88:23 paddle 123:3 215:22 244:7 241:21,24 187:2,7,12,22 111:14 165:10 page 13:21 14:2 245:10 242:4,23,25 189:15,23 out-of-state 14:8,8,9 37:20 participate 245:6,24 213:5 238:10 216:8 91:12 93:20 participate 0ld 30:1 71:15 238:25 outcome 94:4 99:14 participation 210:4 80:8 122:22 opportunities 248:14 101:7 125:10,16 210:4 particular 7:13 9:19 48:11 37:20 182:15 72:20 181:24 132:9,10,17,23 9:19 48:11 95:2 131:3 143:10 144:2 153:18 213:20 10:15 75:16 227:22 183:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | | • | | - | |
| 226:19 231:23 168:7 183:9,13 249:10 221:21,24 104:9 117:12 234:4 238:23 183:16,25 ORRICK 4:3,7 247:4,6 12:8 123:3 239:5,15,24 185:6,19 186:8 Osage 93:8 pace 151:10,12 197:8 215:9 240:25,25 186:12,23 ought 88:23 page 13:21 14:2 245:10 242:4,23,25 189:15,23 out-of-state 14:8,8,9 37:20 participate 245:6,24 213:5 238:10 216:8 91:12 93:20 participate 0ld 30:1 71:15 238:25 outcome 94:4 99:14 participation 80:8 122:22 opportunities 248:14 101:7 125:10,16 210:4 123:8 239:2,8 27:12 outright 217:6 127:10,12 particular 7:13 9:19 48:11 37:20 182:15 72:20 181:24 132:9,10,17,23 95:2 131:3 31:18 46:14 37:20 182:15 203:17 148:10,12 153:18 213:20 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | | | | · · | |
| 234:4 238:23 | | | | | 0 0 0 0 |
| 239:5,15,24 185:6,19 186:8 240:25,25 186:12,23 111:14 165:10 page 13:21 14:2 245:10 242:4,23,25 189:15,23 245:6,24 213:5 238:10 213:5 238:10 238:25 238:25 248:14 213:8 239:2,8 27:12 opportunities 213:8 239:2,8 27:12 opportunity 31:18 46:14 37:20 182:15 227:22 opposed 195:12 27:15 227:21 overall 37:17 248:10,12 213:23 215:22 244:7 paddle 123:3 page 13:21 14:2 245:10 participate 245:10 participate 245:10 participate 245:10 participate 245:10 participate 245:10 participate 237:8 page 13:21 14:2 245:10 participate 237:8 participation 210:4 part | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | |
| 240:25,25 186:12,23 ought 88:23 paddle 123:3 215:22 244:7 241:21,24 187:2,7,12,22 111:14 165:10 page 13:21 14:2 245:10 242:4,23,25 189:15,23 out-of-state 14:8,8,9 37:20 participate 245:6,24 213:5 238:10 216:8 91:12 93:20 participate 80:8 122:22 opportunities 248:14 101:7 125:10,16 210:4 123:8 239:2,8 27:12 outright 217:6 127:10,12 particular 7:13 once 6:19 20:3 37:20 182:15 outside 20:1 128:25 129:8 9:19 48:11 31:18 46:14 37:20 182:15 72:20 181:24 132:9,10,17,23 95:2 131:3 59:6 68:4,5 opposed 195:12 203:17 148:10,12 153:18 213:20 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | | • | · · | • | |
| 241:21,24 187:2,7,12,22 111:14 165:10 page 13:21 14:2 245:10 242:4,23,25 189:15,23 out-of-state 14:8,8,9 37:20 participate 245:6,24 213:5 238:10 216:8 91:12 93:20 237:8 old 30:1 71:15 238:25 outcome 94:4 99:14 participation 80:8 122:22 opportunities 248:14 101:7 125:10,16 210:4 123:8 239:2,8 27:12 outright 217:6 127:10,12 particular 7:13 once 6:19 20:3 37:20 182:15 outside 20:1 128:25 129:8 9:19 48:11 31:18 46:14 37:20 182:15 72:20 181:24 132:9,10,17,23 95:2 131:3 59:6 68:4,5 opposed 195:12 203:17 148:10,12 153:18 213:20 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | | • | _ | • | |
| 242:4,23,25 189:15,23 out-of-state 14:8,8,9 37:20 participate 245:6,24 213:5 238:10 216:8 91:12 93:20 237:8 old 30:1 71:15 238:25 outcome 94:4 99:14 participation 80:8 122:22 opportunities 248:14 101:7 125:10,16 210:4 123:8 239:2,8 27:12 outright 217:6 127:10,12 particular 7:13 once 6:19 20:3 opportunity outside 20:1 128:25 129:8 9:19 48:11 31:18 46:14 37:20 182:15 72:20 181:24 132:9,10,17,23 95:2 131:3 59:6 68:4,5 opposed 195:12 203:17 143:10 144:2 153:18 213:20 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | · · | • | | • | = : |
| 245:6,24 213:5 238:10 216:8 91:12 93:20 237:8 0ld 30:1 71:15 238:25 outcome 94:4 99:14 participation 80:8 122:22 opportunities 248:14 101:7 125:10,16 210:4 123:8 239:2,8 27:12 outright 217:6 127:10,12 particular 7:13 once 6:19 20:3 opportunity outside 20:1 128:25 129:8 9:19 48:11 31:18 46:14 37:20 182:15 72:20 181:24 132:9,10,17,23 95:2 131:3 59:6 68:4,5 opposed 195:12 203:17 143:10 144:2 153:18 213:20 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | • | | | | = : = |
| old 30:1 71:15 238:25 outcome 94:4 99:14 participation 80:8 122:22 opportunities 248:14 101:7 125:10,16 210:4 123:8 239:2,8 27:12 outright 217:6 127:10,12 particular 7:13 once 6:19 20:3 opportunity 37:20 182:15 72:20 181:24 132:9,10,17,23 95:2 131:3 59:6 68:4,5 opposed 195:12 203:17 143:10 144:2 153:18 213:20 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | | • | | | • |
| 80:8 122:22 opportunities 248:14 101:7 125:10,16 210:4 123:8 239:2,8 27:12 outright 217:6 127:10,12 particular 7:13 once 6:19 20:3 opportunity outside 20:1 128:25 129:8 9:19 48:11 31:18 46:14 37:20 182:15 72:20 181:24 132:9,10,17,23 95:2 131:3 59:6 68:4,5 opposed 195:12 203:17 143:10 144:2 153:18 213:20 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | | | | | |
| 123:8 239:2,8 27:12 outright 217:6 127:10,12 particular 7:13 once 6:19 20:3 opportunity 128:25 129:8 9:19 48:11 31:18 46:14 37:20 182:15 72:20 181:24 132:9,10,17,23 95:2 131:3 59:6 68:4,5 opposed 195:12 203:17 143:10 144:2 153:18 213:20 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | | | | | • |
| once 6:19 20:3 opportunity outside 20:1 128:25 129:8 9:19 48:11 31:18 46:14 37:20 182:15 72:20 181:24 132:9,10,17,23 95:2 131:3 59:6 68:4,5 opposed 195:12 203:17 148:10,12 153:18 213:20 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | | • • | | • | |
| 31:18 46:14 37:20 182:15 72:20 181:24 132:9,10,17,23 95:2 131:3 59:6 68:4,5 opposed 195:12 203:17 143:10 144:2 153:18 213:20 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | • | | | · · | • |
| 59:6 68:4,5 opposed 195:12 203:17 143:10 144:2 153:18 213:20 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | | • • | | | |
| 70:15 75:16 227:22 overall 37:17 148:10,12 213:23 217:13 | | | | | |
| 70.10 70.10 | 59:6 68:4,5 | opposed 195:12 | 203:17 | | 153:18 213:20 |
| 113:17 157:17 option 171:12 overburdened 160:21 169:22 218:12 225:24 | 70:15 75:16 | | overall 37:17 | · · | 213:23 217:13 |
| | 113:17 157:17 | option 171:12 | overburdened | 160:21 169:22 | 218:12 225:24 |
| | | | l | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 90 of 107

| | | | | 1 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 231:11 | 117:15 118:23 | 52:14,15 55:1 | pilot 241:5 | 151:25 236:18 |
| parties 9:17 | 122:20 123:21 | 55:10 57:12,18 | pinch 207:22 | plug 233:13 |
| 90:8 248:10 | 123:25 124:17 | 58:21,24 | place 33:11 | plus 40:21,23 |
| 248:13 | 163:12 165:2 | 67:23 84:5 | 52:14,15 55:1 | 52:20 56:12 |
| partner 28:9 | 170:8 173:15 | 100:20 105:7 | 55:10 169:7 | 56:13 98:15 |
| 43:4 | 176:1 182:21 | 106:25 154:20 | 216:2,2 | pocket 102:24 |
| partners 141:4 | 182:25 188:10 | 164:17 179:2,9 | 226:24 | point 12:10,14 |
| parts 26:24 | 234:25 245:7 | 211:16 220:10 | 233:16 241:10 | 20:25 33:8 |
| 27:1 219:21 | percent 92:1 | 224:12 | placed 151:17 | 41:24 43:23 |
| pass 177:19 | 94:16 99:7 | person's 52:18 | Plains 37:24,25 | 49:11 50:6 |
| passed 41:18 | 128:19 144:18 | personal 58:11 | Plaintiff's 13:7 | 57:22 62:6 |
| 97:19,21,23 | 145:1,8,8,13,15 | 77:21 100:13 | 89:21 125:7 | 66:16 68:8 |
| 130:6 | 147:8 158:9,10 | 115:11 121:17 | 139:9 142:19 | 69:22 77:6 |
| passive 219:25 | 158:13 195:14 | 194:1,2 222:18 | 147:21 153:10 | 80:19 89:6 |
| passive 219.23 paste 181:7 | percentage | 222:25 | 156:3,7 157:1 | 95:23 105:15 |
| patience 135:8 | 145:19 195:9 | personally 21:19 | 157:11 160:20 | 105:17 110:6 |
| pause 196:20 | perfect 12:24 | 211:20 215:20 | 169:13 172:2 | 114:7 122:21 |
| pay 28:3,8 | 243:3 | 216:18 | 172:10 177:18 | 143:7 157:24 |
| 30:22,25 | perform 128:13 | personnel 11:23 | 230:10 | 159:2 162:13 |
| 31:25 38:9,23 | 128:15 | persons 131:13 | plaintiffs 1:5,18 | 162:23 165:6 |
| 42:6 50:25 | performance | perspective | 3:4,20 4:2 | 165:24 168:12 |
| 51:1,4,6,7 | 152:16 | 16:18 29:24 | 5:18,20 6:4,10 | 168:15,16,22 |
| 52:16,19 57:12 | period 21:1 22:5 | 32:24 96:20 | plan 25:22 | 176:24 190:23 |
| 57:14,18,19 | 24:23 36:10 | 106:14,25 | 101:10 | 194:8 195:2 |
| 58:25 63:2 | 38:4 55:7 | pertain 11:2 | planning 110:21 | 206:7,21 215:1 |
| 121:6 151:12 | 72:13,14 96:11 | Peter 212:7 | play 196:2 | 221:17 223:17 |
| 223:6 236:11 | 177:6 180:9 | Phase 176:6 | playing 122:19 | 223:18,23,25 |
| paying 217:1 | 206:2,16 | phone 122:19 | 199:9 | 224:10 |
| payment 119:4 | 212:17 223:18 | 189:4 201:4 | plays 199:15 | 233:25 237:11 |
| pays 31:3 | 233:5,10 | 215:14 | plea 65:13,25 | 237:12 246:16 |
| PCR 237:25 | 241:3 | phrase 233:9 | 67:13 123:14 | point-blank |
| PD 235:4 | periods 79:1 | physical 85:16 | 124:13 187:8 | 167:18 |
| Peggy 92:24 | 241:2 | 222:20 | 187:10,14,15,19 | points 84:10,14 |
| penalty 31:20 | perjury 251:12 | pick 38:13 46:11 | 196:9,13 | 89:4 205:23 |
| 51:20 251:12 | permissible | 46:11,13,17 | 220:17,21 | police 30:2 |
| pendency 34:8 | 81:9 | 83:23 119:22 | 236:15,20,24 | policies 18:16 |
| pending 3:17 | permission | 217:20 | 237:1,5 | 18:20 200:14 |
| 8:15 80:24 | 35:14 230:1 | 239:25 | plead 220:23 | 200:19 201:24 |
| 107:13 114:10 | permitted 161:1 | picked 41:20,21 | 221:8 | 202:5,6 207:7 |
| 120:21 | perpetually | 64:20 71:1,2,6 | pleadings 10:15 | 213:17 217:11 |
| people 12:13,19 | 236:13 | 71:12,21 | please 5:15 6:1 | 219:11 221:3 |
| 28:15 43:8 | persisted 89:16 | picks 36:9 | 8:10 78:6 | policy 60:24 |
| 49:15,18 61:9 | 150:13 | picture 207:16 | 128:20,22 | 213:24 216:11 |
| 62:6 64:13 | persistent | piece 43:10 | 165:16 191:2 | 217:17 218:9 |
| 78:12,14 94:1 | 56:24 | 51:16 112:4 | 194:13 215:24 | 218:10,15 |
| 106:7,10 | person 32:14 | 121:18 200:21 | 249:9,12,16 | 227:4,8 |
| 107:14 117:12 | 33:12 51:3 | pieces 203:8 | pled 67:6 | pool 37:7 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| pools 37:6 | practices 18:13 | presents 68:15 | 173:25 | probably 10:23 |
|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| position 15:15 | practicing 27:11 | 100:22 | printouts 14:17 | 20:13,19 43:17 |
| 35:6,15 37:3 | 41:18 45:3,5,7 | presiding 69:3 | prior 15:17 36:11 | 46:19 50:2 |
| 39:13,19 44:19 | 45:9,10 47:1 | 92:19 | 51:24,25 | 58:13 68:2 |
| 54:24 | 85:4,23 86:2 | press 193:6,6 | 58:21,21 | 72:18,19 75:9 |
| positions 28:20 | 87:14,17,19,22 | presumably | 70:23 74:13,17 | 105:24 110:3 |
| 88:15,20 | 104:1,6 112:11 | 84:24 246:16 | 79:5,16 80:6 | 145:21 151:6 |
| 191:25 | 199:19 200:5 | 246:24 | 82:9 86:12 | 158:19 163:13 |
| positives 38:20 | practitioners | presume 143:7 | 88:4 90:16 | 176:25 188:12 |
| possession | 42:25 | 227:3 | 91:4 93:20 | 195:13 203:5 |
| 73:4,4,5,7 | preceded 73:11 | presumed | 104:3 128:25 | 203:6 209:12 |
| 120:11 | 103:10 | 120:13 | 183:14 191:17 | 214:25 215:3 |
| possibility | preceding 25:11 | presumes | 210:20 234:10 | 231:22 |
| 122:6 130:19 | 64:8 72:11 | 145:15 | 234:15 | probation 23:15 |
| 131:16 | 98:14 101:19 | pretend 198:1,13 | prison 30:10 | 23:16,16,21,23 |
| possible 28:18 | 101:23 102:18 | pretrial 186:9,15 | 48:3 52:14 | 41:16 45:21 |
| 55:20 127:7 | 116:5 122:9 | 186:21 | 54:25 57:5,13 | 52:3,16,17,20 |
| 165:23 167:15 | precipitated | pretty 21:25 | 57:19 58:8,19 | 54:5,8,12,14 |
| 204:7 223:12 | 95:2,5 101:18 | 56:18 69:18 | 59:12 60:3 | 54:16,22 55:2 |
| 229:17 | predecessor | 108:5 116:19 | 64:15,23 65:1 | 55:2,5,5,7,12 |
| possibly 83:8 | 203:4 | 126:17 168:17 | 65:6 66:21,23 | 55:16,21 56:5 |
| 122:15 168:3 | Predominantly | 228:13 230:7 | 70:8 72:5 | 56:7 57:17,22 |
| 170:11 176:4 | 23:11 | prevent 76:14 | 81:13 118:25 | 58:17 59:2,7 |
| 181:3,16 | preference | 87:3 208:2 | 123:15 124:14 | 63:1,6 64:18 |
| 208:16 243:10 | 37:21 38:3 | previous 147:15 | private 27:7 | 64:20,25 |
| 243:10 | 217:18 | 181:1 | 28:19 42:6,6 | 65:6,20,22 |
| post 35:14 | preliminary | previously 6:14 | 42:8,11,12,15 | 66:9,13,16,18 |
| 204:22 | 65:12 | 18:3 55:18 | 53:6,19 59:15 | 67:6 69:15,16 |
| posted 35:16 | premise 161:9 | 56:12 57:7 | 72:19 83:21,21 | 71:5,14,16,21 |
| 203:13 204:11 | preparation | 72:3 73:18 | 100:6 108:25 | 78:4 119:5 |
| posting 37:1 | 140:11,14,17 | 80:13 94:23 | 109:3 114:18 | 120:3,4 121:5 |
| Potentially | 192:9 221:10 | 95:1,16,20 | 114:20,21 | 167:5 197:6,8 |
| 209:4 | 237:9 | 97:11 101:12,14 | 115:23 116:4 | 222:1,7,10,11 |
| power 226:1 | prepare 8:25 | 101:23 104:25 | 121:5 176:11 | 222:23,24 |
| practical 16:15 | 126:15 186:24 | 108:21 109:17 | 191:18 195:12 | 223:5 246:21 |
| 35:19 92:6 | 187:5 222:7 | 111:25 125:11 | 203:14 204:10 | problem 27:23 |
| 110:10 | prepared 148:11 | 125:15 153:24 | 211:13,15 | 28:4 50:20,21 |
| practice 27:8 | 173:4 | 156:15 177:21 | 245:17 | 89:3,12 92:4 |
| 28:19 48:14 | present 4:22 | 179:18 184:14 | privatize 233:14 | 92:5,7 96:21 |
| 58:7,12 60:24 | 5:15 182:7 | 230:13 | privilege 184:15 | 96:25 98:15 |
| 87:2 88:1,6 | 194:5 213:4 | primarily 17:24 | 184:22 | 98:19 103:20 |
| 103:23 112:10 | presentation | 45:23 177:4 | pro 30:10 50:14 | 123:9 129:4 |
| 112:15 134:8 | 224:25 | primary 30:21 | 58:9 64:15 | 150:3,7,12,22 |
| 140:4,8,24 | presentations | 197:7 | 65:25 67:7 | 151:6,16 168:6 |
| 211:13,16 213:5 | 225:1 | printed 143:2 | 70:15 114:17 | problems 28:5 |
| practiced 59:4 | presently | printout 173:7,7 | 118:25 123:15 | 84:13 88:13 |
| 85:1 | 204:25 | 173:9,10,25 | 124:13 235:2 | 89:16 91:2 |
| | | | <u> </u> | |

| 94:24 127:3 | 207:8 | 220:25 221:14 | 161:5,10,15 | Q |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 147:9 151:14 | proper 152:12 | 224:17 | 162:6,11 | qualified 38:7 |
| 233:21 | 152:14 | provider 217:23 | 164:10 166:19 | 44:14 |
| procedure | proposed 109:5 | providers 161:10 | 174:25 176:17 | qualifies 100:20 |
| 201:21,23 | 116:8 | provides 225:3 | 178:22 179:2 | 179:11 |
| 202:17 216:6 | prosecute | providing | 179:4,10 191:15 | qualify 11:19 |
| 216:9 218:11 | 166:11 | 158:20 164:4 | 191:17 192:18 | 176:17 203:6 |
| 227:4 | prosecuted | 165:13,15 | 195:11 201:1 | qualifying 99:18 |
| procedures | 166:10 | 238:21 | 203:5,9,23 | 100:12 |
| 18:16,20 | prosecuting | PSC 100:3 | 204:6 205:15 | quality 217:19 |
| 200:14,19 | 128:7 | public 4:12 15:11 | 205:21 206:14 | 238:11,17,21 |
| 202:7 213:17 | prosecution | 15:22 25:20 | 207:20 211:21 | Quentin 13:24 |
| 216:7 217:12 | 128:14 166:25 | 25:25 26:4 | 216:20 219:13 | 153:19 169:18 |
| 219:11 | 167:2 | 26:25 28:6,10 | 220:20 | 172:7 |
| proceed 50:14 | prosecutor | 28:12,20 | 226:21 234:14 | question 8:10 |
| 196:18 227:22 | 30:10 57:11,12 | 29:18,22 31:6 | 234:18 | 8:15,20 33:8 |
| proceeded | 57:13 58:23 | 31:12 32:9,9,11 | 235:25 | 42:22 53:16 |
| 105:13 | 59:1 62:24 | 32:13 33:6 | 237:14 239:8 | 63:10 96:13 |
| proceeding | 63:3,5,5 64:14 | 37:6,9 38:12 | 245:21 246:8 | 105:21 121:15 |
| 51:3,8,11 197:1 | 64:24 65:11 | 38:15 39:11,17 | 249:4,14 | 140:19 170:24 |
| proceedings | 65:25 66:25 | 44:14 49:4 | 251:23 | 184:20 188:13 |
| 222:2 | 67:3,12,18,22 | 51:9,12 53:3 | publicity 38:14 | 188:16 191:12 |
| process 9:18 | 68:7 70:9,17 | 53:24 54:2 | pull 233:13 | 192:22 193:2 |
| 35:18 36:1 | 93:11,12,14 | 60:6,10,11,14 | pulled 33:20 | 194:2,11,12,23 |
| 86:24 115:12 | 94:9 127:17 | 61:10 62:21 | 155:15 | 209:15 210:1 |
| 151:9 166:4,5 | 128:5,16 176:8 | 64:2,12 68:21 | punishment | 214:18,21 |
| 194:21 203:22 | 196:14 233:17 | 74:8 82:3 | 54:16,17,20 | 215:22 217:25 |
| 207:17 224:13 | prosecutor's | 86:17,20 | 54:23 56:23 | 218:2,3 |
| 234:7 | 128:4 | 88:25 91:19 | purely 11:4,24 | 220:12 228:1 |
| produced 3:10 | prosecutor@ | 94:21 97:6,12 | purposes 47:16 | 245:24 |
| 6:3 | 93:13 | 99:18 100:11 | pursuant 161:13 | questioning |
| productivity | prosecutors | 102:23 103:3 | pursue 73:22 | 194:6 231:25 |
| 22:1 | 90:7 91:8 | 103:22 106:18 | 85:20 110:9 166:20 230:5 | questions 7:24 |
| professional 98:5 103:23 | 228:25 | 107:15 118:24 120:20,25,25 | | 8:12,12 14:6 |
| 141:11 142:3 | prospects 120:12 | 120.20,25,25 | pursued 166:25 | 22:14 40:3 |
| 154:14 160:11 | protect 86:10 | 124:4,15 | push 190:23 227:11 | 65:15 135:19 |
| 161:2,5,13,16 | protocol 194:20 | 125:24 126:15 | pushing 227:19 | 179:8 180:2 |
| 162:7,13,21 | prove 89:9 | 131:14,17 135:6 | put 26:2 33:11 | 183:7 189:14 |
| 163:14 | provide 100:5,8 | 135:10,13 | 77:22 104:15 | 191:8 193:22 |
| proficient | 109:1 116:7 | 137:16 138:12 | 111:10 122:14 | 222:17 232:1 |
| 239:13 | 135:10,14 | 138:14,14,16,16 | 141:20 158:6 | 239:16 242:4 |
| program 20:21 | 136:7 140:14 | 139:7 143:6,23 | 165:16 167:5 | 245:6 247:3 |
| progress 96:3 | 158:16 160:7 | 144:4,13,19 | 208:25 | quick 183:6 |
| project 241:5 | 175:1,10 190:14 | 148:7,8 149:8 | 229:13 | quickie 122:1 |
| promoted 15:24 | 214:8 241:11,19 | 150:13 154:8 | puts 57:13 | quickly 28:18 35:7 125:8 |
| promulgated | provided 51:11 | 160:14,15 | putting 37:1 | 33.7 123.0 |
| J | | <u> </u> | | |
| | | | | |

| 139:15 141:14 | rate 31:9,12 | 103:5 109:10 | 117:5 | record 5:2,16 |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 147:20 158:25 | 42:7 100:13 | 113:2 116:21 | recall 9:20 21:8 | 8:9 14:25 15:4 |
| 169:13 171:16 | 101:3 116:19 | 188:7 196:1 | 44:15 61:3 | 15:5,7 32:6 |
| 177:18 182:3 | 132:24,25 | 203:14 204:18 | 62:20 63:23 | 34:16 59:6 |
| 189:3 206:11 | 133:1 139:23 | 207:15 209:6 | 71:3,10 80:14 | 70:1,4 125:2,5 |
| 220:24 223:11 | 205:17 | 213:1 216:10 | 80:17 95:10 | 126:20 132:3 |
| quit 33:7,18 | ratifies 142:5 | 216:12 227:19 | 137:23 148:14 | 132:6 171:17 |
| 86:16,24 | ratify 142:13 | 229:15 236:5 | 194:17 224:21 | 171:20,25 |
| 91:23 95:8 | rational 50:5 | realm 226:15 | 234:3 | 221:19,22,25 |
| 96:22 102:13 | 85:8 86:6 | reapply 235:8 | recalled 67:4 | 247:5 |
| 168:1 245:9 | 158:20 | reason 7:1 14:7 | receive 35:13 | recording |
| quite 38:18 71:4 | rationale 22:8 | 42:4 48:20 | 42:4 99:17 | 121:25 |
| 90:23 179:14 | 83:13 215:3 | 48:22 60:6 | 135:7,9,20 | records 11:24 |
| 230:16 | rationales 138:4 | 61:3 100:23 | 152:12 178:21 | 120:8 |
| quits 32:10,15 | re- 34:5 | 122:25 138:19 | 197:17 203:23 | recruiting |
| quitting 103:19 | reached 157:24 | 158:21 161:15 | 229:24 | 38:25 39:5 |
| 169:4 | read 13:11 30:2 | 161:20 162:6 | received 9:14 | red 133:4,6,9 |
| quote 174:14 | 30:3 84:5 | 191:9 213:10 | 25:21 34:3 | Redirect 2:6 |
| quotes 161:21 | 91:14 97:3 | 213:12 216:10 | 44:16 46:23 | 239:18 |
| | 99:14 101:5 | 227:1 234:15 | 47:5 49:7,11,12 | reduce 89:1 |
| R | 126:10,19 | 234:20 | 53:3 90:21 | reduced 19:2 |
| radio 193:19 | 127:25 128:1 | 242:16 244:8 | 101:25 108:21 | 42:7 86:18,19 |
| raise 124:14 | 128:10 130:9 | 244:9 245:11 | 124:6 176:13 | 246:5 248:8 |
| 150:25 | 131:1 132:23 | 250:7,11,15,19 | 230:3 | reducing 96:4 |
| raises 151:12 | 134:18 139:17 | 250:23 | receives 205:5 | reduction |
| rampant 87:3 | 149:21 152:5 | reasonable | receiving 43:15 | 182:16 |
| Ramsey 2:4 | 154:2 160:23 | 141:10 188:20 | 47:9 79:24 | refer 143:10 |
| 4:17 5:21,21 | 161:19 162:24 | 207:25 219:8 | 104:25 135:5 | reference 98:13 |
| 190:21 191:3 | 169:24 174:20 | 221:1 232:8,12 | 208:3 | 98:24 99:3 |
| 221:19 222:1 | 249:12 250:6 | 239:4 240:3 | recess 70:2 | referenced |
| 231:24 232:6 | 250:10,14,18 | reasons 26:22 | 125:3 132:4 | 166:15 201:21 |
| 236:14 242:5 | 250:22 251:6 | 27:2 28:2 | 171:21 221:23 | references |
| random 94:12 | reading 126:3 | 30:21 36:3 | recognize 13:14 | 210:3 |
| 94:13 239:13 | reads 161:3 | 38:22,22,24 | 90:2 134:1 | referencing |
| range 54:16,17 | real 61:10,10 | 77:2 88:22 | 141:19 147:25 | 125:15 |
| 54:20,23 | 123:9 139:4,5 | 138:3 181:11 | 153:11 156:6 | referred |
| 56:22 | 169:8 207:7 | 196:12 212:22 | 157:4 172:3 | 230:24 |
| rank 146:23,24 | realistically | 234:20 242:8 | 180:6 | referring 90:13 |
| ranked 144:15 | 35:19 162:23 | 245:8,9,12 | recollect 193:8 | 94:22 97:10 |
| rape 80:16,21 | reality 17:9 | reassign 36:12 | recollection | 130:23 131:9 |
| 81:5,10 87:10 | reallocate | 36:18 92:3 | 10:5 | 141:1 157:8 |
| 199:20 | 102:25 | 116:24 | recommenda | 201:20 |
| rare 55:25 | really 22:2,2 | reassigned | 63:6 | refiled 80:23 |
| 56:18 59:3 | 27:25 50:4 | 28:23 29:14 | recommended | 198:15,16,17 |
| 215:4 | 57:11 68:6 | 75:8,20 77:6 | 128:19 | refresh 10:5 |
| rarely 236:2 | 84:15,19 98:12 | 81:20,21 | reconstructive | refusal 117:11 |
| rarest 236:23 | 101:10 102:21 | reassigning | 6:23 | 217:6 |
| | I | I | I | <u> </u> |

| refuse 100:8 | 137:12 | 5:11 | 185:16 186:5 | research 83:25 |
|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 212:23 | remained 27:9 | reporters 193:11 | 186:19 189:7,11 | 85:14 117:20 |
| refused 211:19 | remaining | reports 30:2 | 190:14 238:11 | 186:9,15,20 |
| regard 10:18 | 22:13 23:20 | 222:10,11 | 241:12,19 | 238:19 |
| 76:16 138:24 | remedies 105:9 | represent 6:10 | representative | Reserves 25:7 |
| 192:10 222:22 | remedy 84:11,15 | 25:25 42:8 | 74:2,21 78:25 | 40:7,24 |
| 227:8 | 103:22 109:1,5 | 44:15 57:21 | 79:3,8 80:5 | reset 76:25 77:1 |
| regarding | 139:4,5 154:19 | 59:17,22 | represented | resignation |
| 190:13 194:3 | 168:1,3,5 182:1 | 94:10 114:5 | 64:17 65:19 | 128:5 |
| regime 206:12 | remember 8:2 | 116:17 117:6 | 70:20 73:24 | resigning 169:4 |
| regions 37:21 | 10:2 15:23 | 118:25 123:24 | 106:17 | resolve 227:11 |
| regrets 128:4 | 39:10 73:3 | 134:10,15,16 | representing | resolved 70:15 |
| regular 35:1 | 93:18 105:9 | 137:17 142:16 | 22:9 44:10 | resolves 62:11 |
| 41:15,17 83:7 | 169:17 175:18 | 146:11,12 | 49:17 58:6,9 | 225:19 |
| 208:12 | 193:13,18,20 | 147:17 152:24 | 83:15 108:18 | resource 17:5 |
| regularly | 194:16 223:22 | 164:1 168:10 | 113:14 124:12 | resources 151:9 |
| 188:23 | remind 127:16 | 170:8 179:2 | 124:17 134:13 | 183:10,19 184:1 |
| regulations | 132:20 135:11 | 182:8 189:17 | 152:8 | 184:7 185:7,11 |
| 203:19 | remove 207:18 | 190:1 191:4 | request 2:14 | 185:20,24 |
| reinstated | render 251:9 | 225:14 235:13 | 35:14 53:12 | 186:9,15,24 |
| 130:14 | reoffend 120:1 | representation | 57:17 90:22 | 187:4,8,14,20 |
| reinstatement | repeat 239:3 | 2:16 10:1 29:3 | 115:6 134:25 | 187:23 239:10 |
| 130:12 | repeatedly | 29:23 36:20 | 136:7 143:6,15 | 240:20 244:11 |
| rel 135:12 | 235:16 | 47:16 49:2,15 | 179:16 212:1,9 | respond 133:5 |
| related 52:18 | repercussions | 61:11 62:4 | 214:4 216:18 | 134:25 |
| 128:2,3 173:5 | 168:8 | 64:10 79:22 | 217:8 234:14 | responded |
| 248:10 | rephrase 104:21 | 79:24 81:16 | requested 53:3 | 126:8 167:23 |
| relating 140:6 | 104:22 195:8 | 100:8 105:1,5 | 211:20 | 180:19 |
| 140:10 143:6 | replacement | 105:20,25 | requesting | response 61:24 |
| 166:21 | 25:6 32:10 | 106:2 107:5,8 | 52:13 77:4 | 62:8,23 84:5 |
| relation 172:6 | 35:20,23 | 107:9,20,22 | 156:10,22,23 | 114:19,23 |
| relations 53:10 | 36:6 168:13 | 107:23 108:7 | requests | 126:2,4,18,20 |
| relationship | replacements | 111:10 114:7,14 | 244:15 | 126:25 135:7 |
| 9:25 29:4 | 25:5 33:8 | 116:13 117:8,11 | require 121:3 | 135:9,20 |
| relationships | repo'd 119:18 | 123:16 124:4,7 | 163:9 | 139:2 147:15 |
| 33:18 | report 2:15 | 135:10,14 | required 7:25 | 176:14 181:22 |
| relative 248:12 | 17:12 148:3,11 | 136:8,18 137:3 | 21:2 183:20 | 188:13 192:21 |
| releasing 55:12 | 166:5 177:20 | 137:4 140:15 | 184:8 203:18 | 212:15 |
| relevant 7:25 | 177:23 210:4 | 142:14 152:13 | 204:22 | responses 9:23 |
| 38:4 73:8 | 210:5 222:3 | 153:15 154:18 | requirements | 90:8 |
| 94:9 205:6,7 | 222:12 233:18 | 154:20 155:5 | 89:14 190:9 | responsibilities |
| relief 49:12 | 244:12 | 156:16 158:17 | requires 183:11 | 22:22 30:18 |
| 50:9 103:18 | reporter 3:16 | 160:7 161:11 | 184:2 185:8,12 | 72:4 86:19 |
| relocate 35:25 | 4:24 5:25 8:7 | 164:5 165:14 | 185:21 186:1 | 88:7 111:24 |
| rely 161:1 | 193:17 248:1,4 | 165:16 175:2,11 | 186:10,16 | 118:4 140:21 |
| remain 28:25 | 248:21 | 177:5 179:19 | rerecorded | 140:22 141:4 |
| 91:19 94:22 | reporter's 2:21 | 184:16,23 | 122:5 | 142:9 159:19 |
| | | | l | l |

| | _ | _ | _ | _ | |
|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--|
| 162:2 170:12 | review 9:12 | 120:14,18 | role 7:6 193:25 | S-i-g-m-u-n-d | |
| 170:15,17 171:2 | 76:4 179:5 | 122:7 125:25 | 199:9,15 220:1 | 191:21 | |
| 171:6,8,13 | 185:7,12,17 | 126:6,8,9 | Roman 160:24 | sake 34:15 | |
| 201:13 | 222:9,13 | 127:5,8 129:5 | roof 206:13 | salaries 150:25 | |
| responsibility | reviewed 9:2,9 | 129:14,20 | room 31:18 | salary 31:4 | |
| 83:20 100:4,6 | 9:16,20 12:25 | 130:1,7,25 | 220:10 | sample 122:24 | |
| 198:23 | 90:11,11,13 | 132:13 133:18 | rough 20:19 | samples 123:5 | |
| responsible | reviewing 118:11 | 137:2 138:9 | 113:10 195:14 | sank 50:1 | |
| 17:2 30:14 | revocation | 142:16 143:17 | roughly 200:8 | sat 64:21 65:13 | |
| 112:7,20 142:2 | 222:2,7 | 143:20 145:2 | round 106:6,7 | 66:19 75:1 | |
| 142:12 179:5 | revoked 56:5 | 146:6 147:3 | routinely | 80:9 245:13 | |
| responsive | 65:6 130:16 | 149:11,16,19 | 229:10 | save 90:20 | |
| 53:16 63:10 | Richardson | 153:4,6,19 | rows 144:13 | 241:7 | |
| 96:12 192:22 | 92:24 93:25 | 155:19,23 | RubinBrown | saw 62:14 75:15 | |
| 194:22,25 | 125:16,18 | 156:17 158:2 | 98:2 99:9 | 82:18 228:25 | |
| 200:11 214:14 | 126:21 137:15 | 159:18,23 | 144:23,24 | saying 30:9 | |
| rest 104:5 133:4 | 176:8,13 | 161:22 163:20 | 158:8,9 | 34:13 51:17 | |
| restitution | right 13:24 19:6 | 164:3 165:22 | 159:24 160:1 | 94:20 95:6 | |
| 223:7 | 19:6,23,25 | 166:11,15 168:1 | 165:11 194:20 | 110:17 118:23 | |
| restriction | 20:12,18 21:16 | 169:12,19 | 208:1,9,20,25 | 124:1 136:2,11 | |
| 96:10 | 22:19,23 24:2 | 171:10 174:1 | 210:3,4 222:2 | 181:2 198:7 [°] | |
| result 7:3 42:9 | 24:5,10 29:5 | 175:4 178:1 | 231:21 | 200:24 209:6 | |
| 70:8 89:1 | 30:19,23 31:2 | 179:1,14,16,22 | rule 2:13 61:18 | 218:15 226:21 | |
| 115:20 116:2 | 32:20 34:10 | 183:5 188:10 | 97:20,22 | 243:17 | |
| 123:13 127:3,3 | 35:6,8,15 | 191:16 193:3,3 | 140:4,10,16 | says 6:4 13:22 | |
| 135:21 154:22 | 38:13,20 39:4 | 196:21,23 | 141:7,22 160:11 | 29:9 76:24 | |
| 175:13 | 40:7 41:23 | 202:14 207:13 | 160:14 161:5 | 116:7 129:2,3 | |
| resulted 59:10 | 45:19 48:15,16 | 210:15 213:13 | 170:25 214:1 | 129:12 130:22 | |
| results 112:13 | 48:23,25 | 220:5 237:4 | 220:22 233:3 | 131:8,10 139:16 | |
| 150:23,24 | 51:10,13,14 | 239:25 | 233:23 234:1 | 140:7 142:1 | |
| retain 53:6 | 57:8,9 59:13 | 241:22 243:11 | rules 103:23 | 143:14,22 | |
| 55:11 87:7,15 | 59:14 63:15,16 | 244:1,10 | 140:5,5,25 | 144:4,8 145:7 | |
| 105:12 114:17 | 63:18 64:2,4,5 | 246:20 | 141:11 142:3 | 148:22 151:19 | |
| retention 152:15 | 66:21,23 | right-hand | 154:14 160:11 | 158:18 162:24 | |
| retire 27:4 86:7 | 67:23 68:6,10 | 144:16 | 161:2,4,13 | 173:20 214:1 | |
| retired 27:3 | 68:22 72:23 | rises 237:4 | 162:2,24 | 216:15 221:11 | |
| 92:18 211:10 | 73:19 76:15,17 | risk 119:16 131:11 | 163:9 170:17 | scattered 78:17 | |
| 212:18 245:10 | 77:20 78:8 | risking 161:16 | 206:3 | scene 85:16 | |
| 245:11,22 | 79:6,10 82:3 | 162:7 | run 50:7 56:11 | scenes 159:21 | |
| retiring 245:12 | 85:9,18 86:5 | road 4:8 18:2 | 57:1 122:24 | schedule 78:9 | |
| return 10:9 | 87:12,21 90:15 | 57:18 235:7 | 122:25 183:5 | 122:12 | |
| 40:13 125:7 | 96:24 99:10 | robbery 198:4 | 229:16 | scheduled | |
| 139:9 249:16 | 106:23 107:1,3 | 199:20 | run-of-the-mill | 40:13 138:2 | |
| returning 40:14 | 107:3 109:13 [°] | Robinson | 208:12 | scheme 225:11 | |
| 94:19 | 111:9,12 112:8 | 135:12 | running 111:25 | Scherzer 2:3,6 | |
| revenue 49:5 | 113:4,16 116:18 | rock 222:21 | | 4:3 5:17,17 6:6 | |
| 83:24 | 117:8 118:17 | rogue 102:3 | S | 6:9 13:10 15:2 | |
| | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 96 of 107

| 15:8 50:23 | 220:16 | Senate 2:15 | 149:21 154:3 | 234:14 238:21 |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 69:23 70:5 | 224:23 | 97:24 148:3 | 161:19,20 | 249:1 |
| 89:24 93:19 | second-most | 150:4,17,18,24 | 162:6 | serving 92:22 |
| 124:21,25 | 164:17,18 | 151:4,7 153:3 | sentenced | set 18:16,17 |
| 125:6 131:25 | second-to-last | 194:15 | 70:11,15 | 54:14 66:14 |
| 132:7 133:22 | 145:1 | send 66:22 | sentences 101:6 | 68:22 120:17 |
| 141:19 142:22 | secretary | 101:16 131:22 | 132:24 161:18 | 126:16 133:7 |
| 153:10 156:6 | 129:13,17 | 136:6,9 180:13 | 174:21 | 133:13 155:13 |
| 157:4 165:23 | section 22:13 | 181:20 219:12 | sentencing | 158:2 160:1 |
| 165:25 171:17 | see 11:22 13:20 | 219:14 | 55:9,13 56:17 | 180:16,16 |
| 172:1 180:6 | 14:1,8,14 20:3 | sending 134:23 | 222:12 | 182:12 220:9 |
| 190:17 239:19 | 29:15 63:3 | 136:12 180:11 | sentiment | setting 143:4 |
| 247:2 | 78:5,12 92:23 | 181:8 | 162:9 | 234:20 |
| Schollmeyer | 94:3 114:8 | senior 28:9 | sentiments | settings 78:19 |
| 61:16 93:7 | 117:10,13 | 31:12 87:19,22 | 152:18 | setup 11:6 |
| 129:9 130:6 | 124:23 125:17 | 101:22 102:14 | separate 197:18 | seven 23:8,25 |
| school 16:17 | 127:13 129:23 | 102:16 103:4 | September | 26:15,17 40:5 |
| 85:7 191:16,25 | 130:3,13 | 136:1 228:16 | 15:16 24:16 | 52:2 55:18,21 |
| 192:3 204:16 | 141:24 144:6,11 | 233:20 | 25:13 27:6 | 56:13,21,24 |
| scoop 49:25 | 145:4 146:22 | sense 8:5,20 | 40:12 68:17,19 | 60:2 87:1 |
| scratch 34:11 | 147:1 148:9,22 | 12:3,23 33:22 | 69:8 101:20 | 129:2 145:25 |
| 46:12 95:17 | 149:7 173:11 | 33:23 38:8 | 101:23 102:6 | 172:22 |
| screened 88:24 | 174:13 192:15 | 43:13,17 69:9 | 102:18 224:3 | seven-year |
| screening | 196:9 203:10 | 106:16 107:3 | 228:15 | 56:11 |
| 202:16 | 204:9 206:9 | 108:9 194:8 | series 148:4,6 | severe 51:20 |
| 203:25 | 208:9 229:11 | 195:2,7,9 | 166:23 | sex 208:10 |
| se 30:10 50:14 | 233:6 | 205:14 | serious 41:17 | 213:3 215:14 |
| 58:9 64:15 | seeing 43:18 | 206:20 | 62:5 79:22 | 215:18 |
| 65:25 67:7 | 64:5,13 118:23 | 209:20 215:5 | 122:11 151:17 | shake 103:24 |
| 70:16 114:17 | 123:21 207:16 | 218:24 | 190:4 200:3 | shaky 208:19 |
| 118:25 123:15 | seek 33:7 57:16 | sent 9:22 90:6 | 246:23 | Shane 93:14 |
| 124:13 235:2 | 110:7 182:16 | 91:3 94:5,23 | serve 15:17 | SHEET 250:1 |
| seal 143:24 | seeking 58:7 | 101:13 126:7 | 159:20 220:2 | sheets 249:10 |
| seated 67:22 | seen 86:22 | 127:22 134:6 | served 59:12 | 249:13,16 |
| second 15:1 | 94:15 142:22 | 135:24 136:11 | serves 70:25 | shift 198:23 |
| 59:10 87:19 | 143:7 195:25 | 165:19,20 | 92:16 129:16 | shifting 93:20 |
| 87:22 94:20 | 230:16,19,21 | 180:18 181:2,7 | 131:4 224:1 | 228:16 |
| 127:25 132:15 | sees 109:2 | 181:13,14 | service 27:4 | shining 147:17 |
| 132:25 139:10 | 120:10 | sentence 54:15 | 28:20 49:4 | ship 50:1 |
| 152:5 155:2 | segue 69:22 | 54:24,25 55:1 | 99:18 239:9 | 229:16 |
| 171:18 172:13 | 221:17 | 55:3,4,23,25 | services 3:13 | Shipma 2:5 4:12 |
| 175:15 177:18 | self-reported | 56:1,3,4,6,8,10 | 5:10,14 44:14 | 5:23,23 8:17 |
| 191:11 194:2 | 163:3 165:4,17 | 56:11,12,15 | 51:9,13 64:12 | 9:2,7,15,16 |
| 197:8 198:18 | self-reporting | 59:12 76:4 | 88:25 176:17 | 14:25 90:22 |
| 215:24 218:23 | 166:2 | 81:9 94:20 | 178:22 203:9 | 132:12 232:1,3 |
| 219:14,17,18 | self-screen | 97:4 98:12,21 | 203:24 204:6 | 239:16 240:1 |
| 219:20,21,25 | 242:18 | 101:6 126:11,12 | 205:15 226:21 | 249:3,8 |
| | | • | • | • |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 97 of 107

| shock 55:7 | 91:3 108:4 | 217:5,7,10 | somebody | 140:17 151:4,10 |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Shondel 1:4 3:3 | 133:9 216:6 | 222:18,19 | 32:14 46:3,4 | 151:15 152:20 |
| 3:19 5:5 | 244:9 | 223:1,15 | 71:7 77:23 | 173:19 176:13 |
| 249:6 250:2 | simple 73:4,5 | 225:19 235:8 | 105:5 106:6 | 180:10,13 |
| short 21:19 86:3 | simply 101:2 | 235:14,17 | 114:15 153:24 | 181:2 192:16 |
| 130:10 151:15 | 116:13 117:4 | situations | 182:23 201:6 | 202:15 203:2 |
| 173:1 184:11,11 | 118:6,9 134:25 | 58:20 213:1 | soon 35:13 | 203:24 |
| 220:12 | 139:22 182:19 | six 40:20 41:4 | 113:24 118:16 | 204:14 205:4 |
| short-staffed | 182:20,25 | 119:7,23 | sorry 14:10 16:5 | 207:15 208:5 |
| 36:7 | 191:9 196:19 | 122:14 129:2 | 34:12 42:22 | 208:10 212:17 |
| shortage 122:13 | 200:17 212:23 | 145:25 172:22 | 46:12 75:3 | 212:18 218:18 |
| shortest 246:18 | 243:19 | 175:23 189:1,9 | 87:1 93:19,23 | 219:5 220:4,5 |
| shorthanded | simultaneously | 200:6 206:5 | 94:2 95:17 | 220:15 221:15 |
| 25:14 145:18 | 137:6 207:20 | six-month | 96:13 101:7 | 222:25 |
| shortly 122:4 | Sincerely | 206:8,16 | 104:21,22 | 223:13 226:4 |
| 173:16 | 249:20 | 233:5,10 | 105:21 115:1 | 226:8 228:2 |
| shoulders | single 42:4 | 241:3 | 128:1 129:23 | 228:10,14 |
| 205:1 | 48:25 91:19 | six-year-old | 132:10 136:5 | 229:20 231:9 |
| show 89:20 | 96:4 110:4 | 213:3 | 139:13 174:6 | 233:4,21 |
| 121:2,5 156:2 | 202:12 | sixth 168:14 | 206:24,24 | 241:4,5 |
| 156:25 | sink 105:10 | sixth-amend | 217:25 236:9 | 246:13 |
| showing 121:4 | 109:5 | 124:14 | 243:2 | sought 7:2 |
| 133:23 172:2 | sir 8:6 13:3,25 | skill 140:11,14,16 | sort 13:17 18:19 | 108:23 |
| shown 237:9 | 14:3 16:6 26:11 | slice 10:24 | 18:22,24,25 | sounds 16:14 |
| 237:24 | 50:16 210:15 | slightly 13:5 | 19:2,12 21:4 | 24:8 61:20 |
| shrinking 37:7 | 217:25 | 158:10 197:10 | 24:6 25:18 | 62:19 113:16 |
| sic 16:11 93:18 | sit 68:11,19 117:9 | 208:25 213:15 | 26:6 29:19 | 123:16 141:2,6 |
| sick 16:16 | 119:1,6,7 | slower 50:3 | 30:11 31:17 | 159:25 167:9 |
| side 53:9,10,19 | 209:25 | small 43:5 110:3 | 33:13,20 | 196:22 243:16 |
| 68:3 84:3 | sits 67:18,19 | 114:4 229:13 | 36:13 37:25 | source 193:14 |
| 245:17 | sitting 28:11 | 229:14 | 38:16 39:16,18 | sources 124:10 |
| Sigmund 191:20 | 61:4 65:4 | smaller 43:1 | 46:2 49:21,22 | 193:12 |
| sign 249:13 | 68:2 79:19 | 49:21 232:18 | 51:15 53:7 | span 229:3 |
| signature | 114:20 118:13 | social 240:23 | 59:2 60:10,17 | Spangenberg |
| 249:10,13,17 | 119:23 185:2 | Sodergren 93:5 | 63:8 64:8 | 98:1 194:16 |
| 250:25 | 196:24 205:13 | sodomies 81:11 | 66:9 67:3 | Spanish 192:8 |
| significant 29:2 | 212:7 215:1 | sodomy 80:16 | 68:14 78:8 | spark 101:18 |
| 30:7,11 49:11 | 226:13 | 80:18,22 81:5 | 80:19 83:16 | 228:6 |
| 76:6 81:12 | situation 17:9 | 81:11 | 85:8 86:14,25 | sparse 77:11 |
| 87:12 96:3 | 25:23 47:12 | software 10:12 | 88:17 96:2,10 | speak 109:19 |
| 175:19 203:13 | 48:9,10 62:11 | 231:6 | 98:24 101:17 | 121:19 151:11,13 |
| 203:13 | 90:19 102:10 | sole 94:11 | 102:1 103:2,6 | 188:23 189:2 |
| significantly | 109:25 124:11 | solely 42:16 | 103:10 104:16 | 196:11 202:3 |
| 86:18 100:14 | 128:8 155:14 | solo 42:25 43:3 | 105:3,4 106:13 | 227:19 |
| 246:5 | 163:14 165:8 | solution 92:7 | 107:1 114:10,12 | speaking 44:8 |
| similar 77:14 | 175:16 182:1 | 102:9 103:5,7 | 126:11 130:3 | 70:7 82:9 |
| 79:14 82:10 | 188:3 216:21 | solve 84:18 | 138:21 139:7 | 84:21 113:22 |
| | l | <u> </u> | l | <u> </u> |

| 183:13 185:4 | spite 27:8 | start 29:19 | 217:22 237:14 | stick 86:3 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 185:14,23 | 104:10,11 | 35:18 48:18 | 248:4 249:4,6 | stinks 103:8 |
| 186:12 187:2 | 165:14 | 81:22 85:3,5 | 250:2 251:1 | stir 38:19 |
| 187:12 196:17 | spoke 153:16 | 132:24 144:8 | state's 100:4 | stop 87:2 95:7 |
| 198:13 207:23 | 194:18 | 158:24 177:12 | 128:22 | 191:1 225:14 |
| 220:23 221:2 | spoken 162:18 | 177:16 191:15 | state-employ | stopped 21:6,7 |
| special 55:6 | 192:14,19 | 208:15 | 83:23 | 21:9,9,11 224:1 |
| 93:9 94:12 | 193:7,15 | 226:23 | statement | store 122:1 |
| 140:1 142:8 | 194:15 | 227:14 | 144:22 174:14 | stories 78:23 |
| specialty 122:13 | spreadsheet | started 15:21 | States 1:1 3:1,17 | straight 192:2 |
| specific 10:17,18 | 158:7 | 21:5,6,8,9 | 5:7 | strategy 86:25 |
| 18:20 19:17 | squeeze 21:22 | 45:4,10 46:6,8 | statewide 11:3 | 102:2 |
| 81:24 98:4 | squirrely 55:13 | 46:11,16,18 | 31:8 37:11 | straw 167:21 |
| 100:25 113:23 | St 17:25 18:1 | 48:20 68:4 | 102:4 151:3 | street 4:4,19 |
| 135:13 139:18 | 37:22 233:17 | 90:5 95:21 | 201:25 | 119:21 249:18 |
| 142:4 143:10 | 249:18 | 112:14 121:13 | stationing | stretches 82:11 |
| 208:19 209:4 | stack 118:12 | 138:19,20,24 | 182:21 | stricken 76:25 |
| specifically | 206:10 | 164:23 227:18 | statistician | 77:1 |
| 10:25 15:10 | staff 25:8 46:20 | 228:23 | 210:10 | Strickland 76:3 |
| 59:20 61:17 | 89:8 113:17 | starting 90:18 | statistics 20:23 | strict 160:2 |
| 140:6 213:9 | 118:3 131:22 | 91:15 99:13,17 | 210:24 | 241:2,9,15 |
| 222:6 226:25 | 145:25 151:2 | 109:14 169:24 | stats 210:11,16 | 243:24 |
| specifics 184:14 | 158:3,11 159:1 | 177:6,14 227:3 | 210:18,24 | stricter 229:19 |
| 185:15 186:3 | 159:2,4,6,9,23 | 233:5 | status 148:7 | strictly 162:5 |
| 186:18 187:17 | 173:17 177:13 | starts 35:23 | 222:19 | strike 64:15 |
| specify 226:9 | 179:5,10 194:4 | 132:9 174:22 | statute 97:23 | 223:13 224:5 |
| speculate | 198:21,24,25 | state 1:7 3:6,16 | 229:13 | String 2:11,12 |
| 115:14 | 231:6 | 3:20 4:12,15 | statutes 55:9,14 | struck 67:3 |
| speed 28:18 | staff's 109:15 | 5:6,15,22 | 131:12 | 89:3 234:6 |
| 29:10,12 | staffed 145:16 | 13:23 15:10,11 | statutory 80:17 | structure 31:17 |
| speedy 75:23 | 184:11 | 17:20 22:15 | 130:23 131:3 | struggles 39:17 |
| 77:2 80:22 | staffing 62:11 | 26:24 27:1 | stay 226:11 | 91:18 94:21 |
| spend 19:25 | 90:19 91:1 | 31:7 49:4 | stealing 130:19 | 125:25 |
| 20:24 28:16 | 148:7 | 52:13 57:16 | 130:25 198:2 | struggling 29:1 |
| 30:12 34:17 | stamp 134:5 | 100:2 101:22 | 198:5 | 151:14 |
| 214:2 216:10 | 172:16 180:14 | 102:23 105:2 | stems 124:18 | student 193:11 |
| spending 19:25 | 230:25 | 107:9,23 | step 89:15 | students 193:13 |
| 20:5 112:16 | Stan 92:15 | 109:3 115:16 | 131:23 | 193:15 |
| 246:24 | standard 18:12 | 115:18,19 135:6 | stepped 68:8 | studied 97:25 |
| spends 18:1 | 98:4 128:20 | 135:12 143:23 | steps 88:11 | study 97:25 |
| spent 76:20 | 201:20,23 | 144:4,12 148:5 | 97:10 98:18 | 98:2 99:9 |
| 213:23 217:12 | 210:12 233:19 | 153:18 154:8 | 181:25 231:10 | 128:12,13 |
| 218:11 244:2,2 | standards 18:23 | 154:19 160:16 | Sterling 212:7 | 192:5 208:1 |
| spike 25:1 184:6 | 97:18 165:11 | 162:18 164:10 | Steven 4:17 | stuff 18:9 42:21 |
| spiked 24:17,22 | 236:6 | 191:4,15 | 5:21 191:3 | 46:21 53:14 |
| spiraled 74:10 | standing 58:3 | 203:19 215:8 | steven.ramse | 78:9 93:16 |
| spiraling 26:6 | stands 122:22 | 215:20 216:20 | 4:20 | 119:21 217:2 |
| | | | ı | ı |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 99 of 107

| 245:17 | 156:22 157:6 | 100:25 139:18 | suspend 54:25 | 24:25 26:1 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| subject 125:24 | 160:11,21 | 141:5,9 190:6 | suspended | 33:10 35:8 |
| submit 141:14 | Suite 3:14 4:13 | supplemental | 54:16,24 | 36:16 37:3 |
| 176:7 | 249:4 | 143:5,14,15 | 55:24 56:1,3,4 | 48:16 49:1,24 |
| submitted 9:16 | sum 113:4 | supplementary | 56:9,14,18 | 49:25 50:4 |
| 172:5,23 173:4 | 126:21 | 18:25 | 208:6 | 56:10 60:12 |
| 173:18 176:12 | summary | support 49:8 | SUTCLIFFE 4:3 | 61:17 69:23 |
| subpoena | 126:24 148:22 | 50:25 51:5,6 | 4:7 | 83:8 84:6 |
| 212:25 | 151:15 | 51:7 53:12 | swear 6:1 | 104:18 105:7 |
| subpoenas | summation | 151:2 156:20 | swim 86:22 | 106:11 109:4 |
| 159:20 | 183:7 | 157:7 158:3,11 | swing 39:25 | 113:12 116:23 |
| subscribe | summer 112:14 | 159:2,6,7,9,23 | switch 16:7 | 117:14 119:1 |
| 251:11 | 120:19 148:13 | 160:21 177:12 | switched 16:2 | 123:14 124:21 |
| subsequent | sun 198:5 | 179:10 180:24 | 111:7 156:1 | 131:25 134:11 |
| 175:24 | Sunday 78:11 | 204:1 240:17 | Switching | 135:4,7 136:13 |
| Subsequently | Sundays 111:18 | suppose 194:19 | 225:7 | 138:11,13 |
| 75:19 | Superman | supposed | sworn 3:10 6:3 | 154:11 155:3 |
| subset 134:12 | 100:14 | 135:16 | 248:6 | 157:18,22 |
| substance | supervise 150:1 | Supreme 97:17 | sympathy 49:8 | 158:15,18,22 |
| 120:11 251:8 | 152:10 168:20 | 97:18 98:8 | 83:4 | 160:7 163:6,11 |
| substantial | 194:3,4 | 140:5 155:25 | system 4:11,12 | 170:14,18 |
| 21:14 28:16 | 207:24 | 161:22 162:9 | 10:13 15:11 | 174:5,23 175:3 |
| 52:21 69:18 | 214:24 215:7 | 167:4,4 | 29:17 37:17 | 175:10 176:5,9 |
| 176:2 | 218:5 | 248:20 | 102:23 144:13 | 176:10 177:10 |
| substantially | supervised | sure 10:11 11:20 | 144:20 146:16 | 179:4 180:10 |
| 25:15 | 212:1 217:9 | 15:2 33:24 | 147:3 154:9 | 181:25 190:22 |
| substantive | 240:9 | 34:17 36:24 | 158:2 159:25 | 195:22 208:16 |
| 72:15,19 76:8 | supervises | 65:17 67:1 | 164:11 178:17 | 208:18 210:17 |
| 81:22 110:23 | 12:19 17:20 | 84:1 86:5 | 178:18 191:16 | 211:24 212:2,3 |
| 118:16 134:4 | supervising | 91:17 92:15 | 191:17 193:4 | 213:6 217:19 |
| 189:6 | 112:7,20 | 99:16 101:8 | 195:11 196:25 | 219:5 220:1 |
| succeed 120:4 | 149:12,23 | 109:9 128:11 | 205:22 211:21 | 221:18 226:7 |
| success 136:23 | supervision | 131:25 134:20 | 213:19 216:20 | 226:22 |
| successfully | 112:2 121:3,6 | 137:1,23,23 | 228:24 229:5 | 232:23,25 |
| 110:11 | supervisor | 140:3,23 | 233:14 | 237:6 245:15 |
| succinct 213:21 | 12:15,16 17:1 | 152:6 166:19 | 235:25 | taken 1:18 7:16 |
| sue 233:17 | 74:1 112:5,22 | 195:16 197:1 | 237:15 249:4 | 57:7 61:15 |
| suffer 152:10 | 135:24 140:2 | 201:1,9 209:10 | | 70:2 79:10 |
| sufficient 140:12 | 140:22 142:9 | 215:10 218:17 | T | 86:10 87:6 |
| suggest 133:2 | 152:21 170:12 | 230:7 237:12 | table 67:15,17 | 97:10 98:18 |
| 136:15 | 170:16 171:2,8 | Surely 43:23 | 68:3 105:7 | 119:22 125:3 |
| suggested | 214:4 217:8 | surgery 6:24 | 176:10 220:10 | 132:4 171:21 |
| 61:21 | supervisors | surprised 43:6 | tack 57:1 | 207:21 210:16 |
| suggesting | 112:19 134:24 | surrounding | tag 122:19 | 215:6,7 |
| 133:12 | 135:2 212:5,6 | 96:11 | tail 206:8,15 | 221:23 246:9 |
| suggestions | 240:16 242:11 | suspect 170:21 | take 8:13,24 | 246:19 248:7 |
| 2:18 156:20 | supervisory | 214:25 | 20:8 21:20 | 248:11 249:9 |
| | l | l | | |
| | | | | |

| 250:3 | task 198:20 | 56:19 77:14 | themself 213:2 | 222:14,20 | |
|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--|
| takes 35:25 | 223:20 | 87:5 89:17 | theory 207:17 | 225:4 228:3 | |
| 119:8 121:23 | 224:16 | 98:7 111:25 | thereof 52:3 | 228:21 229:9 | |
| 195:12 219:21 | tasked 224:15 | 121:21 199:1 | thereon 251:10 | 229:15 233:15 | |
| 244:9 | tasks 20:24 | 201:4 207:12 | thereto 248:13 | think 15:20 | |
| talk 7:14 19:21 | 112:6 | 207:24 | they'd 86:14 | 17:24 20:11 | |
| 27:13,14 29:11 | tax 84:2,21 | 213:22,24 | 103:18 203:14 | 43:2,2,3,8,22 | |
| 29:12 30:6 | teach 87:8 | 215:19 221:3 | thing 13:12 17:7 | 44:23 47:11 | |
| 62:25 63:3 | team 18:16 19:8 | 221:10 227:17 | 21:18 25:16 | 52:24 53:22 | |
| 64:14 66:24 | tell 10:9,20 | 229:1 230:19 | 29:11 32:2,17 | 58:20 59:8 | |
| 68:7 73:20 | 23:2,4 37:14 | 232:19 246:14 | 32:23 35:13 | 66:17 70:14,14 | |
| 84:6 85:13 | 45:20 51:18 | terrible 116:19 | 56:19,25 62:2 | 70:24 77:13 | |
| 113:23 122:20 | 52:10 59:21 | test 120:16 | 68:3,8 75:22 | 81:10 82:1 | |
| 158:14 188:7 | 66:6 77:9 | 122:18,19,22 | 81:22 97:25 | 85:3 97:7 | |
| 212:24,25 | 78:6 82:22 | 122:24 123:8 | 102:14,20 | 98:23 104:9 | |
| 232:20 | 83:5 86:22 | 140:12 | 104:9 105:3 | 109:11,13 110:5 | |
| 234:10 238:18 | 90:24 92:13 | tested 122:9,17 | 111:15 116:25 | 110:6,16 114:18 | |
| 244:10 | 105:17 135:3 | testified 7:8,10 | 117:11 122:4,22 | 114:21 115:8 | |
| talked 19:13 | 136:24 139:25 | 7:11 137:9,16 | 123:13 124:10 | 119:25 121:25 | |
| 64:23 65:11 | 145:10,22 | 148:16 149:14 | 143:2 163:13 | 122:23 129:15 | |
| 75:10 77:19 | 146:24 151:24 | 150:4,16,18 | 169:9 179:22 | 131:4,7 135:25 | |
| 78:1 80:9,10 | 158:25 159:3 | 153:3 199:8 | 199:23 201:16 | 137:1,20 151:1 | |
| 102:15 103:12 | 165:5 166:7,17 | 211:1 225:12 | 201:22 204:14 | 158:18 159:14 | |
| 138:15 169:14 | 171:15 173:8 | 237:7 | 209:8 212:13 | 165:8 167:1 | |
| 189:3 192:12 | 178:14 196:2,6 | testify 7:2 | 221:16 231:21 | 168:15 169:10 | |
| 193:16 221:11 | 206:23 226:1 | 227:24 | things 11:24 | 176:22,24 | |
| 232:8 233:2 | 226:11 231:3 | 237:10 | 18:5,9 20:8,9 | 182:21 184:16 | |
| 241:1,21 242:7 | telling 26:1 | testifying 150:17 | 25:18 33:14 | 184:23 185:3 | |
| talking 18:8 | 30:22 74:7 | 225:8 | 48:15 49:20 | 185:15 186:4 | |
| 24:4 37:13 | 83:2,14 90:18 | testimony | 49:21 73:22 | 186:19 187:18 | |
| 49:9 50:8 | 98:24 111:9 | 149:24 152:5 | 76:14 83:17 | 188:3,5,17,17 | |
| 53:1 68:4 | 134:10 165:15 | 190:18 201:25 | 88:17,19 89:9 | 190:12,15 | |
| 83:10 104:7 | tells 58:2 75:15 | 205:19 216:19 | 89:15 95:24 | 194:23 196:20 | |
| 121:14 122:16 | 91:25 | 217:8 218:4,5 | 95:25 96:2,8 | 207:25 | |
| 142:8 216:14 | template 155:13 | 223:17 225:12 | 96:17 98:13 | 208:16 212:11 | |
| 219:18,19 | 155:15 | 228:22 | 99:19 101:17 | 212:14 214:9 | |
| 223:5 229:3 | ten 7:21 219:5,8 | 230:14 248:5 | 102:4 104:10 | 215:11,13,15,16 | |
| 237:13 | 224:7 | 248:7 | 104:12 109:15 | 215:21 216:3 | |
| 246:20 | tend 117:22 | tests 120:15 | 117:22 121:22 | 216:21 217:5,7 | |
| talks 148:25 | tends 84:16 | Texas 215:25 | 130:20 138:25 | 217:10 218:1,6 | |
| tangential 53:17 | 219:7 | text 131:21 | 139:1 142:7 | 218:7,7,8 | |
| tangentially | tenure 212:18 | Thank 6:12 | 174:7 178:22 | 227:17 228:9 | |
| 40:25 90:16 | 223:25 | 12:24 13:13 | 178:23 183:7 | 231:24 232:4 | |
| 130:15 131:20 | termed 177:5 | 50:21 69:24 | 192:21 197:4,11 | 233:5,7 238:2 | |
| 135:11 167:22 | terms 9:3 11:24 | 190:17 200:13 | 202:7 203:10 | 238:20 | |
| Tartaglio 4:7 | 12:24 18:6 | 238:7 | 203:20 | 242:20 | |
| 5:19,19 | 29:3 39:5 | Thanks 135:19 | 204:21 205:4 | 243:14 | |
| | l | l | <u> </u> | | |

| 244:25 | 17:22,22 18:2 | 185:24 186:4 | 235:2 | 225:1 |
|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------|
| thinking 59:8 | 20:1 21:2,3,4 | 186:6,8,14,19 | Titanic 50:1 | total 26:12 |
| 101:13 114:21 | 21:5,11,18,19,21 | 186:20,24 | title 15:12 17:15 | 43:14 195:3 |
| 188:5,12 212:7 | 22:9 28:13,16 | 187:4,8,13,18 | 17:16 159:7 | 213:20 |
| thinks 222:3 | 29:9 30:13 | 187:19,22 | 160:25 | totally 203:21 |
| third 69:12 93:1 | 34:18 36:4 | 188:2,4 189:2 | to-do 109:15 | 216:23 |
| 164:18 219:14 | 38:4 41:24 | 194:8 195:2 | Tobin 4:23 5:12 | touch 135:18 |
| 220:5 | 43:11,15 44:18 | 196:17 202:15 | today 13:2 | touched 85:6 |
| Thomas 93:5 | 48:13 50:6 | 203:25,25 | 150:8 152:18 | tough 196:15 |
| thought 86:24 | 54:11 56:17 | 204:12 206:2 | 183:3,8,25 | town 68:13,16 |
| 103:21 115:12 | 57:5 58:8,19 | 206:14,17,22 | 190:18 196:24 | track 92:11 |
| 167:25 168:4 | 59:8,12 63:24 | 212:17 215:1 | 205:13 221:13 | 121:14 158:4 |
| 194:12 201:22 | 69:25 70:3,7 | 216:10,19 | 226:13 | 188:15 223:22 |
| thread 9:21 | 71:16 72:13,14 | 218:7 219:6 | Today's 5:3 | tracked 94:4 |
| 90:5 94:1 | 73:8 74:13,17 | 221:21,24 | toes 7:20 | tracking |
| 134:4 229:12 | 76:23 77:8 | 222:3 223:17 | told 21:12 25:25 | 223:20,24 |
| threatening | 78:10 79:2 | 223:18,20,21 | 49:13 61:17 | 224:4,7,10 |
| 91:23 | 82:3 84:6,8 | 223:22,24 | 62:16,20 64:1 | traffic 209:5 |
| three 20:11,19 | 84:10,23 | 224:4,11,22 | 65:9 68:5 | train 82:5 |
| 24:13,15 29:8 | 85:13,14,20 | 224:22,23,23 | 82:19,25 88:8 | trained 239:12 |
| 33:2,5,5 41:24 | 85:20 86:23 | 225:6,19 | 122:20 135:4 | training 17:3 |
| 41:25 42:1,1 | 88:17 89:4,7 | 229:1 230:1,6 | 136:9 138:15 | 28:17 112:7,17 |
| 43:10 45:7 | 90:24 91:1 | 233:2,16,25 | 138:25 139:1 | 190:7 207:12 |
| 47:3,4,24 69:1 | 92:21,24 96:2 | 235:1,9 236:11 | 167:25 211:22 | 207:15 224:17 |
| 75:2 78:3 82:1 | 96:11 97:13,17 | 238:18,19 | 212:19 216:23 | 224:20 |
| 87:14,23 95:11 | 98:1 105:3,15 | 242:12,14,19 | 216:24 225:17 | 240:13 |
| 114:21 115:9,23 | 105:17 112:16 | 243:11,15,20 | 226:6,21 | trainings 207:6 |
| 154:4 159:6,9 | 112:23,23 | 243:21,23 | 228:13 | 207:9 |
| 169:24 172:22 | 116:15 118:18 | 244:2,9,12 | 229:20 233:11 | transcribing 8:8 |
| 174:21 189:14 | 122:19 125:1,4 | 245:19,22 | tomorrow 65:14 | transcript 2:10 |
| 200:2,7 | 126:20 131:16 | 246:24 247:4 | 82:22 | 2:22 9:25 |
| three-plus 48:5 | 132:2,5 133:16 | timekeeping | ton 30:13 | 13:17 169:14,18 |
| 146:17 | 134:22 135:7 | 223:16 224:7 | tools 84:18 | 172:11 174:8 |
| three-year 55:3 | 138:25 143:7 | 224:13,18 | top 14:1 23:2 | 249:12 |
| 55:4 | 144:25 149:10 | times 6:18 7:11 | 30:18 45:20 | transfer 46:22 |
| threshold | 150:13,22 | 20:18 39:11 | 52:10,22 71:3 | transferred |
| 207:23 | 155:14 157:19 | 62:18,19,24 | 77:13 82:2 | 26:25 33:21 |
| throw 119:20 | 163:5 171:19 | 81:9 83:17 | 109:9 130:3 | 211:8 |
| 120:19 205:8 | 171:24 172:8 | 84:14 110:11 | 132:11,23 | transferring |
| 206:10 | 173:11,22 | 116:20 117:2,2 | 137:2,20 | 40:15 |
| tie 43:9 50:18 | 175:21 178:6 | 119:9,13 120:5 | 144:6 145:22 | transition |
| 112:4 | 180:10 183:1,9 | 160:12 164:16 | 173:11,13 | 202:25 |
| tied 77:24 | 183:14,18,18 | 164:21,22 | 175:18 193:20 | transmitted 12:7 |
| tightened 89:13 | 183:23 184:1,7 | 189:4 205:6 | 196:3,6 | transpired 10:6 |
| time 5:3 8:23 | 184:16,17,23 | 212:8 213:1,8 | 205:16 | transportation |
| 12:8,8,11,15 | 184:25 185:7 | 215:21 216:24 | 206:23 216:4 | 121:7 |
| 15:3,6 16:8,15 | 185:11,15,17,19 | 219:25 228:12 | topic 224:25 | travel 217:1 |
| 1 | | | <u> </u> | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 102 of 107

| treatment | 77:22 152:8 | 29:8 30:21 | 41:23 46:1 | 237:12 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| 117:24,25 | 152:22 159:22 | 33:6 35:17 | 52:11,13,15 | understanding |
| 223:8 | 174:25 188:2 | 41:23,25 | 57:3,6,14 | 7:1 11:10 12:6,9 |
| tremendous | 194:23 204:5 | 43:10 44:22 | 86:18 200:23 | 22:8 38:1 |
| 73:17 121:17 | 207:14 210:1 | 47:3 54:21 | 216:13 | 59:16 78:9 |
| 168:23 | 212:13 220:3 | 65:8 66:17 | typing 22:1 | 85:4 128:22 |
| trends 195:20 | 220:6 225:24 | 68:14 71:19 | typographical | 145:11 146:15 |
| trial 7:9,10 12:18 | 229:16 | 72:10,13 76:8 | 135:17 | 160:13 161:25 |
| 17:17 59:4,7,9 | ttartaglio@orr | 78:3,16 81:9,11 | typos 230:12 | 166:18 175:7 |
| 59:10,11,15 | 4:9 | 87:14,20 91:4 | 230:20,22 | 185:4 202:4 |
| 75:23 76:24 | Tuesday 77:22 | 91:15 92:12 | | 225:15,25 |
| 77:1,2 80:22 | 221:5,5,6 | 99:19 101:6 | U | 226:14 227:12 |
| 120:17,18 | tunnel 233:6 | 109:23 110:10 | Uh-huh 18:10 | understood |
| 186:25 187:5 | turn 13:4,6 14:8 | 112:17,20 117:9 | 19:4 20:22 | 170:5 188:14 |
| 218:21 219:10 | 67:12,23 91:10 | 121:23 122:14 | 39:14 40:4 | 198:19 223:16 |
| 219:13,20 | 125:10 127:12 | 133:13 137:1,8 | 69:10 82:24 | 230:4 |
| 226:3 237:16 | 128:24 129:7 | 137:18 154:4 | 88:3 108:10 | underwater |
| 237:17,18 | 132:22 133:19 | 158:18,20 | 149:13 199:10 | 89:18 103:1,1 |
| trials 196:16 | 144:1 147:20 | 159:8 161:18 | 200:22 228:7 | unethical 142:14 |
| 218:24 219:16 | 149:2 153:6 | 167:17 172:22 | 246:11 | unfolded 39:17 |
| 219:19,19 | 160:19 169:12 | 175:18 176:22 | ultimate 214:10 | 64:19 96:2 |
| Tribune 193:10 | 169:21 172:10 | 188:11 192:20 | ultimately 19:16 | unfolds 63:9 |
| 193:17 | 174:11 177:17 | 195:23 200:1 | 75:22 77:6 | unfortunately |
| trick 89:7 | 180:14 230:9 | 205:1 211:6,12 | 105:4,11 | 172:8 |
| tried 31:24 | turned 38:10 | 215:21 217:19 | 211:25 225:13 | unit 31:21 238:6 |
| 95:24 97:7 | 39:15 70:18 | 231:10 241:2 | unable 117:19 | 238:7 |
| 131:22 151:25 | turning 127:9 | 245:6,8,9 | 123:1 | United 1:1 3:1,17 |
| 163:11 218:24 | 174:8 192:9 | two-second | unclassified | 5:7 |
| triggers 158:11 | 202:8 218:21 | 132:1 | 81:7 | unlimited 81:7 |
| 231:9 | 220:17 222:1 | two-sentence | undergrad | unpaid 220:6 |
| triple-booked | 223:16 | 174:14 | 192:2,5 | unrelated 52:1 |
| 35:1 | 229:22 | twofold 191:9 | underlying | 197:3 |
| trouble 120:1 | turnover 25:2 | 193:22 | 54:18 231:14 | unusual 25:2 |
| true 189:20 | 25:15 26:21 | type 11:1 60:11 | underneath | 72:22 |
| 197:24 236:5 | 27:20,22,22 | 133:9 156:14 | 87:7 143:23 | upper 230:1 |
| 251:9,13 | 27:24 28:1 | 158:5 177:24 | understand 8:4 | upshot 102:5 |
| trust 164:24 | 30:11 32:7 | 199:18 207:9 | 8:16 9:17 12:16 | usage 196:5 |
| truthfully 8:1 | 87:4 92:1 | 208:18 | 32:5 35:9 | use 93:16 138:7 |
| try 8:14 32:11,18 | tutorials 225:6 | 225:24 227:4 | 52:9 81:2 96:1 | 217:22 245:3 |
| 49:22 76:14 | Twenty-eight | 229:14 232:9 | 111:2 116:18 | useless 85:19 |
| 87:8 98:15,18 | 146:25 | typed 181:6 | 166:22 193:1 | uses 93:15 |
| 141:15 181:25 | twice 98:1 146:9 | types 155:10 | 203:3 204:18 | usually 57:9 |
| 181:25 183:6 | 146:13,20 | typewriting | 205:19 | 220:8 |
| 200:7 204:7 | 164:15 | 248:8 | 225:20,24 | utilize 216:18 |
| 219:7,7 241:7 | two 9:8 10:23 | typical 235:19 | 230:5,14 | utilized 213:19 |
| trying 21:21 | 20:7,11,19 | typically 28:6 | 231:8,17,19,21 | utilizes 18:1 |
| 24:3,8 52:7 | 25:8,14 26:22 | 28:15,24 | 233:20 | |
| | <u> </u> | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ı | 1 |

| | | | I | I |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| V | 1:17 3:9 | waited 106:18 | 94:13 96:23 | 132:6 134:10 |
| v 5:6 13:23 | views 10:19,24 | waiting 76:21 | 103:17 104:9 | 151:13 157:21 |
| 135:12 153:18 | 11:21 159:21 | 106:1,2 116:14 | 105:21 134:7 | 159:22 163:2 |
| 155:24 249:6 | violate 170:15 | 123:15 189:10 | 204:19 235:15 | 171:16,20,25 |
| 250:2 | 170:16 171:6,7 | waive 66:24 | waste 242:19 | 174:24 177:12 |
| vacancy 16:10 | 175:4 | waived 64:25 | watch 30:5 | 179:23 180:3 |
| 35:14 | violated 222:24 | 65:12 105:13 | water 165:12 | 184:11 203:18 |
| vacant 88:20 | violating 154:14 | waiving 64:24 | waterfront | 204:4,21 |
| varied 232:9 | 161:12 170:12 | walk 212:3 | 108:5 | 207:13 208:14 |
| variety 19:9 | 181:4,17 | walking 95:5 | Waters 161:21 | 221:22,25 |
| 26:22 36:2 | violation 23:15 | 101:19 200:23 | 226:3,9 | 228:16,17 |
| 76:13 212:22 | 23:16 54:5,12 | wall 11:6 67:21 | way 19:19 23:9 | 229:3 233:13 |
| various 20:24 | 54:17 55:2,21 | Walmart 122:1 | 27:11 53:18 | 247:5 |
| 90:8 107:7 | 56:7 57:22 | want 13:4,6 14:4 | 61:14 64:19 | we've 25:2,4,5 |
| 167:23 172:5 | 64:18,20,25 | 23:22 31:14 | 67:16 86:2 | 25:13,17 29:5 |
| 230:12 231:18 | 65:20,23 | 37:24 40:2 | 87:7,15,18 | 30:8 32:19 |
| vary 20:14 31:7 | 66:9,13,16,18 | 48:12 57:11 | 126:15 176:14 | 33:4 41:23 |
| 208:17 209:7 | 67:6 69:20 | 60:12 61:13 | 177:9 181:23 | 43:22,23 49:1 |
| 223:4 | 75:24 77:3 | 65:5 66:21 | 200:18 209:6 | 49:7,12,17 61:8 |
| vastly 128:7 | 78:4 142:2 | 68:6,7,10,11 | 209:15 212:24 | 76:13 86:16 |
| vehicle 6:24 | 197:6,8 | 68:20 70:6 | 213:21 217:4 | 87:10,10,11 |
| vein 128:2,3 | 222:10,11,23 | 86:2,4 92:11 | 219:13 226:5 | 88:14 95:6 |
| venture 128:21 | 223:5,9 | 102:4 106:9 | 227:5 229:8 | 96:7 97:14,16 |
| venue 10:25 | violations 23:16 | 118:24 123:23 | 237:8 246:5 | 97:18,19,25 |
| 138:21 | 23:21,23 41:16 | 124:21 125:6 | ways 19:15,18 | 98:1,11 102:7 |
| verbally 19:4 | 45:22 52:18 | 126:22 133:19 | 51:2 60:9,18 | 102:22 107:24 |
| versus 195:23 | 52:20 54:8 | 137:21 144:1 | 228:11,13 | 111:25 116:20 |
| 198:10 | 55:16 58:17 | 162:5 169:12 | we'll 7:12,13 | 127:2 137:24 |
| veteran 164:10 | 80:23 246:21 | 177:17 182:3 | 31:25 35:22 | 156:24 157:13 |
| victim 215:18 | virtually 118:3 | 194:11 199:2 | 86:22 125:8 | 177:5 179:21 |
| video 8:9 | virtue 164:14 | 206:5 212:20 | 155:6 180:2 | 183:3,7 |
| 121:24 123:7 | visit 71:20 72:5 | 216:12 221:7 | we're 5:2 13:6 | 199:25 214:21 |
| video-recorded | 72:9 85:15,15 | 221:12,12 | 15:4,7 22:2 | 221:11 226:21 |
| 5:4 | 159:20 | 230:8 233:24 | 24:4 25:10,18 | 229:21 234:5 |
| videographer | visited 72:12 | 237:6,11,12 | 26:1 29:1 33:2 | Wednesday |
| 4:23 5:2,13,25 | visits 20:9,10 | wanted 27:11 | 38:16,17 43:18 | 35:16 |
| 15:3,6 50:15 | 72:1 111:18 | 39:11 59:14 | 43:19 48:7 | weeds 208:9 |
| 50:17,20 | volume 33:3 | 64:23 66:23 | 52:6 59:1 61:7 | 231:20 |
| 69:25 70:3 | 232:19 | 66:24 139:14 | 62:9,10 63:6 | week 19:10 |
| 125:1,4 132:2 | voluntarily | 211:23 | 64:13 70:4 | 20:3,4,11,16 |
| 132:5 171:19 | 80:20 81:1 | wants 57:11 | 86:20 88:16 | 46:23 47:1 |
| 171:24 221:21 | 177:8 212:24 | 59:3 117:24 | 94:19 95:8 | 65:8 121:5 |
| 221:24 247:4 | vs 1:6 3:5 | 131:23 179:2 | 96:9 102:1,13 | 126:14 208:21 |
| videos 30:4 | | 220:24 | 107:10 116:16 | 236:12 |
| 122:3 | <u>W</u> | warrants 68:18 | 116:17 118:23 | weekend |
| VIDEOTAPED | W 4:13 249:4 | wasn't 42:23 | 123:2,20 | 201:15 |
| | wait 8:10 106:8 | 79:24 82:12 | 125:2,5 132:3 | weekends |
| | | I | I | <u> </u> |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 104 of 107

| 111:20 235:20 | 67:20 206:8 | 114:25 115:2 | writing 19:2 | 219:1 227:18 |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| weeks 20:17 | Winfrey 93:11 | 116:15,25 | 129:24 | 234:10 246:2 |
| 35:17,23 47:4 | 127:14,16 129:1 | 117:18 118:17 | written 51:16 | 246:13 |
| 47:4,24 78:16 | Wisconsin 216:1 | 119:3,8 122:23 | 60:24 173:14 | years 27:4 |
| 118:14 208:21 | wish 91:16,18 | 124:18 169:6 | 199:7 200:21 | 31:23 37:8 |
| weird 178:23 | 102:8 210:17 | 174:25 206:10 | 202:6 203:8 | 45:7 48:3,5 |
| welcome 50:22 | 214:6,7 | 215:15 224:7 | 216:11 217:11 | 51:23 52:2 |
| well-functioni | withdraw 49:6 | 224:8 228:23 | 217:15 234:19 | 54:21 55:18,21 |
| 87:5 | 64:9 100:16 | 235:20,23,23 | wrote 78:6 | 56:21 58:24 |
| went 25:9 27:6 | 103:15 108:7 | 236:12,13 | 126:6 153:21 | 60:3 69:12 |
| 27:7 40:13 | 108:19,23,25 | 245:15,15,16 | 120.0 133.21 | 73:6,9 75:2,15 |
| 59:9,12 65:1,11 | 109:1,6,8 110:7 | 245:18 | X | 75:19,24 76:8 |
| 90:22 101:22 | 113:10,13,18,25 | worked 146:15 | X 218:15 | 76:21 77:5 |
| 156:21 159:13 | 124:6 136:18 | 191:18 | XYZ 221:11,12 | 79:5,19 80:8 |
| 197:16 204:16 | 137:4 163:1,17 | workers 240:23 | | 80:14 81:8,8 |
| 211:17,18 | witness 6:1 | working 16:8,16 | Y | 81:16 85:5,23 |
| 215:16,25 | 50:16,19,22 | 21:24 78:20 | yeah 14:10 19:6 | 87:14,20,23 |
| weren't 59:15 | 69:21,24 | 111:15,20 163:7 | 24:24 27:21 | 91:4 110:24 |
| 62:14 96:17 | 124:23 215:14 | 179:15 207:25 | 39:8 48:4 | 121:20 146:16 |
| 169:1 | 219:23 221:20 | 223:19 229:5 | 50:20 57:9 | 146:17 149:19 |
| | | | 62:16 71:18 | |
| West 4:4,19 | 248:5,7 | 235:10 236:3 | 86:1,14 88:10 | 150:5,19 153:3 |
| 37:24,25 | 249:12 250:1 | workload 20:21 | 96:14 107:2 | 160:4 193:4,5 |
| Western 1:1 3:1 | 250:25 | 192:11 207:11 | 131:25 162:11 | 200:2 206:24 |
| 3:18 5:8 | witnessed | 207:12 227:16 | 165:1,21 194:19 | 210:24 212:6 |
| Whichever | 63:25 | 229:1 | 218:14 239:4 | 215:11 219:6 |
| 37:18 | witnesses | workloads | year 10:21,22 | 227:2 232:15 |
| wide 246:15 | 121:21 123:6 | 205:20 | 21:25 22:25 | yesterday 23:4 |
| wife 16:17 | 212:23 214:6 219:23 223:9 | works 7:24 | 23:3 24:19,20 | 23:5,25 24:12 |
| 114:24,25 | | 146:4 221:6 | 25:3,4 26:9 | 77:21 107:11 |
| 169:7 | woe 128:3 | 240:8 | 26:10,18 31:10 | 195:5 209:22 |
| wild 53:1,4,21 | wooden 67:21 | world 44:3 84:8 | 31:25 37:4 | York 4:4,4 |
| 219:3,4 | word 133:10 | 244:22 | 39:4,16,21 | You-all 233:13 |
| wildly 78:7 | 166:12,25 | worlds 127:7 | 49:1 52:24 | younger 112:21 |
| 82:22 197:3 | 167:1 207:9 | worries 206:25 | 53:4,24 54:20 | youth 213:7 |
| willful 111:15 | 231:14 | 209:14 218:1 | 72:19,24 73:13 | youthfulness |
| 117:11 | words 22:7 | 220:14 | 75:9 76:8 | 244:16 |
| Williams 2:19 | 59:24 60:16 | worse 39:4 | 81:24,24 82:1 | Yup 195:19 |
| 13:24 153:19 | 242:12 243:4 | 150:22 | 83:3 87:17 | Z |
| 154:24 169:18 | work 10:11 17:11 | worst 127:6,7 | 111:7 128:21 | zero 42:9 118:3 |
| 175:21,22 | 17:23 18:9 | worth 101:9 | 130:18 132:13 | 136:23 |
| 188:25 | 27:6 29:25 | wouldn't 57:5 | 134:7 144:5 | 130.23 |
| Williams' 155:4 | 37:24 63:3 | 57:21 103:20 | 145:18 147:5 | 0 |
| 156:12,19 | 73:14,21 75:18 | 243:6 | 151:19 152:3 | 01 197:22 |
| 169:25 170:6 | 76:1,8 79:2,20 | wound 151:5,17 | 191:23,24 | |
| 172:7 | 81:23 82:11 | wrap 215:23 | 193:5 208:21 | 1 |
| wind 123:7 | 84:9,23 98:6 | wreck 82:5 | | 1 13:18 32:9.10.11 |
| window 67:20 | 110:23 111:14 | writ 155:24 | 200,22 211,2 | |
| window 67:20 | 110:23 111:14 | writ 155:24 | 208:22 211:2 | 113:18 32:9,10,11 |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES Phone: 1.800.280.3376

Fax: 314.644.1334 www.alaris.us Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 105 of 107

| 36:11,13 68:17 | 123 197:3 198:1 | 120:22 125:7 | 2008 38:11 39:9 | 245:8 249:2 |
|--|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 68:19,23 69:7 | 221:12,12 | 139:9 | 2009 194:17 | 249:9 250:3 |
| 69:8,8,9 73:10 | 124 169:22 | 180 2:20 119:9 | 201 3:14 | 2018 25:10 |
| 75:13 104:15 | 125 169:22 | 180 2.20 113.3 | 2010 45:5 | 120:19 |
| 144:15 159:13 | 170:4 197:5 | 208:23 | 87:22 | 2080 208:20 |
| | 170.4 197.5 127 197:14 | 18328 148:20 | 2012 206:2 | 21 2:14 142:19 |
| 224:2,2 227:18 229:2 | 209:23 | | | |
| | | 18330 149:2 | 233:2 241:3 | 142:20 230:9 |
| 234:15 | 12th 5:3 181:13 | 19 2:12 15:13 | 2014 15:16,25 | 230:10 237:6 |
| 1,664 151:20 | 13 2:10 | 16:21 18:20 | 16:2 44:19 | 210 145:13,15 |
| 1,788 195:6 | 133 2:12 | 22:17 25:3,18 | 76:13 77:18 | 147:8 |
| 241:21 246:12 | 137 174:11 | 32:18 37:16 | 81:25 82:5 | 210.3 145:8 |
| 1:03 125:4 | 13th 45:10 | 82:2 91:8 | 88:12,19 90:18 | 211 110:8 |
| 1:12 132:2 | 164:23 | 98:18 99:4 | 95:21 96:3,15 | 212 4:5 244:3 |
| 1:16 132:5 | 14 16:3 180:14 | 112:22 133:20 | 97:1 203:7 | 213 23:5,22 |
| 10 174:22 | 181:13 | 133:23 145:4 | 205:18 224:3 | 24:11 48:6 |
| 10:16 125:22 | 141 2:13 | 152:25 154:8 | 229:8,16 | 109:21 111:21 |
| 10:35 69:25 | 142 2:14 | 154:12 160:25 | 2016 9:23 25:13 | 164:5 189:24 |
| 10:54 70:3 | 147 2:15 | 180:25 181:3 | 25:15 40:12 | 190:4,5 |
| 100 4:13 107:19 | 15 48:3 58:24 | 181:15 223:23 | 70:25 89:11 | 213-plus 163:23 |
| 107:20 158:9 | 73:9 85:5,23 | 241:24 | 90:7,12 95:4 | 22 2:15 92:1 |
| 164:22 208:2 | 146:16 160:4 | 191 2:4 | 96:3,15 101:20 | 114:11 126:8 |
| 249:4 | 164:21 193:4 | 1990 s 6:21 | 101:23 102:6 | 147:21,22 |
| 100,000 128:19 | 215:11 | 19th 65:14 93:3 | 125:21 127:23 | 220 173:14,20 |
| 1000 4:8,13 | 15-year 164:9 | 1st 68:16 144:8 | 132:16 144:8 | 173:22 |
| 249:4 | 150 23:12,13 | | 183:18,21 | 221 4:19 |
| 10019 4:4 | 24:7 131:7 | 2 | 184:4,20 | 224 173:13,20 |
| 102 158:10 | 1500 107:21 | 2 32:13,15 68:17 | 185:5,10,23 | 23 2:16 153:7,8 |
| 103 158:13 | 151 160:21 | 140:6 159:14 | 186:12 187:2 | 153:10 |
| 11 149:18,18 | 153 2:16 | 176:6 | 187:12 228:15 | 233 2:5 |
| 150:4,19 153:3 | 156 2:17 | 2- 82:6 107:24 | 229:8,16 | 24 2:17 156:3,4 |
| 181:14 249:9 | 157 2:18 | 108:2 113:7 | 241:17 | 156:7 157:11,13 |
| 250:3 | 16 77:18 | 2:15 171:19 | 2017 1:19 3:11 | 24/7 235:22 |
| 11/7/17 174:2 | 16-year-old | 2:20 171:24 | 5:3 13:19 25:3 | 24/7-hour 201:3 |
| 11th 1:19 3:10 | 44:4 | 20 2:13 7:21 | 25:12 26:7,10 | 24/7-hour-type |
| 249:18 | 163 113:19 | 21:20 46:6 | 26:23 36:11,13 | 201:12 |
| 12 15:20 56:13 | 17 2:10,12 13:7,8 | 47:5 141:16,20 | 39:22 40:14 | 240 2:6 |
| 80:9 119:24 | 169:13 172:10 | 210:24 251:15 | 73:10 74:15 | 25 2:18 157:1,2 |
| 120:16 164:21 | 174:8 | 200 85:11 86:7 | 75:14 81:23 | 157:13 160:20 |
| 208:22 219:8 | 17-04057-CV | 207:19 | 85:25 88:1 | 250 99:6 |
| 224:8 | 1:6 3:5 | 2002 15:22 | 104:3 130:24 | 2511 3:14 5:10 |
| 12/29/16 2:11 | 170 24:8 | 2004 104:13 | 143:19 144:5,9 | 25th 143:19 |
| 12:21 125:1 | 170-something | 2005 15:23,25 | 145:17,18 | 148:23,25 |
| 120 48:6 55:11 | 208:23 | 194:18 195:22 | 147:5 155:19 | 26 2:19 171:22 |
| 55:12 107:12 | 1704057-CV | 196:13 | 155:22 181:13 | 172:3,5,21 |
| 107:18 | 5:7 | 2006 15:23,25 | 195:4,23 211:4 | 177:19,21 |
| 120-plus 113:8 | 171 2:19 | 148:13,25 | 224:2,3 | 26th 92:16,19 |
| 120-pius 113.8 120ish 108:2 | 18 2:11 89:21,22 | 2007 148:12 | 238:15 241:17 | 92:22 93:1 |
| 1201311 100.2 | 10 2.11 00.21,22 | | 255.15 241.17 | 32.22 33.1 |
| | | | | |

ALARIS LITIGATION SERVICES
www.alaris.us Phone: 1.800.280.3376 Fax: 314.644.1334

Case 2:17-cv-04057-NKL Document 160-2 Filed 02/21/18 Page 106 of 107

| 27 2:20 147:10 | 93:23,24 | 600.063 | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----|
| | · · | | |
| 180:3,4 209:5 | 125:10 127:10 | 229:22 | |
| 28 249:2 | 39421 91:12 | 614-7478 4:9 | |
| 28th 147:6 | 139:13 | 63 23:13,20 | |
| 29 90:7,25 | 39564 249:25 | 63101 249:18 | |
| 95:4 102:18 | | 64 31:15 | |
| 127:23 199:19 | 4 | 65,000 31:15 | |
| 229:8 | 4 14:9 140:4,16 | 31:25 | |
| 298 151:20,24 | 160:11 161:5 | 650 4:9 | |
| 29th 125:21 | 4-1.1 154:15 | 65101 4:19 | |
| 129:19 | 4-1.16(a) 154:15 | 65201 3:15 | |
| | 4-1.3 141:1 | 65203 4:13 | |
| 3 | 154:15 | 249:5 | |
| 3 160:24 | 4-1.4 154:15 | | |
| 3:01 181:14 | 4-1.7 154:15 | 7 | |
| 3:35 221:21 | 4-5.1 2:13 141:3 | 7 2:3 13:22 73:6 | |
| 3:45 221:24 | 141:22 154:15 | 175:22,24 | |
| 30 16:13 23:22 | 4:21 247:4,6 | 70 45:19 47:23 | |
| 31:23 35:10 | 4:26 129:19 | 199:14 209:9 | |
| 109:11 145:17 | 40 208:21 | 209:18 | |
| | | | |
| 232:14,17 | 236:12 | 700 113:20,24 | |
| 249:17 | 451 154:4 | 71 176:3,15,16,16 | |
| 30-some-odd | 5 | 176:18,22 | |
| 70:19 | | 711 249:17 | |
| 300 21:24 24:18 | 5,000 214:2 | 750 242:3,4 | |
| 24:22 82:6 | 50 46:1,9,9,12 | 751-2590 4:20 | |
| 107:24 108:2 | 46:13,19,23 | 78 164:23 | |
| 113:7 | 52:24 53:5 | | |
| 30th 144:9 | 53:23,23 | 8 | |
| 33 144:12,15,19 | 109:13 246:1 | 8- 14:8 | |
| 147:2,6,10 | 246:13,17,17 | 8:59 5:1,4 | |
| 237:14 | 246:18,19 | 80 195:14 208:1 | |
| 330 21:23 | 50-ish 113:9 | 209:23 | |
| 35 23:22 | 500 113:11,24 | 82 14:9,11 172:14 | |
| 38 119:6,9 | 506-5000 4:5 | 172:16 | |
| 38976 144:2 | 51 4:4 | 8286 133:19 | |
| 230:25 | 52 208:21 | 89 2:11 | |
| 237:10 | 52nd 4:4 | 899 4:18 | |
| 39,000 31:10 | 573 4:20 | | |
| * | 573-777-9977 | 9 | |
| 39414 134:5,19 | 4:14 | 9:11 15:3 | |
| 39415 132:9,18 | 7.17 | 9:13 15:6 | |
| 39416 129:8 | 6 | 9:54 126:7 | |
| 132:22 | 6- 113:19,24 | 90 133:6,15 | |
| 39417 129:23 | 60 13:12 | 90ish 209:24 | |
| 39419 127:13,23 | | | |
| 128:25 | 600 51:8 131:13 | 94025 4:8 | |
| 39420 93:20 | 163:2 | 99.5 94:16 | |
| | Ī | Ī | i 1 |